Numerical simulation of optimal transport paths

Qinglan Xia

Abstract—Transport networks with branching structures are observable not only in nature as in trees, blood vessels, etc. but also in efficiently designed transport systems such as used in railway configurations and postage delivery networks. Mathematically, such a branching transport network is modeled by an optimal transport path between two probability measures (representing the source and the target). An essential feature of such a transport path is to favor group transportation in a large amount. This article provides numerical simulation of optimal transport paths. We first construct an initial transport path, and then modify the path as much as possible by using both local and global minimization algorithms.

Keywords—optimal transport path, Stein tree, simulation, transport network.

I. INTRODUCTION

The optimal transportation problem aims at finding an optimal way to transport a given measure (the source) into another (the target) with the same mass. In contrast to the wellknown Monge-Kantorovich problem [5], the ramified optimal transportation problem aims at modeling a branching transport network by an optimal transport path between two given probability measures. An essential feature of such a transport path is to favor transportation in groups via a nonlinear (typically concave) cost function on mass. Transport networks with branching structures are observable not only in nature as in trees, blood vessels, river channel networks, lightning, etc. but also in efficiently designed transport systems such as used in railway configurations and postage delivery networks. Several equivalent formulations of the branched transportation problem may be found for instance in [3], [6], [4], [7], [1], [9] and [2]. Also, in [10], we showed that an optimal transport path is exactly a geodesic in the sense of metric geometry on the metric space of probability measures with a suitable metric. As a result, people are interested in knowing what an optimal transport path look like numerically. Some numerical studies involving the optimization of the graph, when the topology is given (i.e. a topology for the graph is given and the position of the vertices is optimized) may be found in [2], [11] and [12]. Nevertheless, for practical applications, one needs numerical simulations of optimal transport paths using algorithms that allow changing topology. This is the motivation of this article. Currently, we are using optimal transport paths generated here to model blood vessel structures found in placentas of human babies and also river channel networks. More application of optimal transport paths is expected in modeling systems simulating what we seen in the nature.

This article is organized as follows. After briefly recalling some preliminary definitions about optimal transport paths, we study algorithms for generating optimal transport paths. We first consider how to generate an initial transport path, then study how to reduce the cost by modifying the initial transport path as much as possible. We not only use an algorithm of local minimization but also a global one. Topology of transport paths are not fixed during the modification process. Examples of approximating optimal transport paths are given in the end.

II. PRELIMINARIES

We first recall some concepts about optimal transport paths between measures as studied in [6]. Let X be a convex compact subset in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d . For any $x \in X$, let δ_x be the Dirac measure centered at x. An atomic measure in X is in the form of

$$\sum_{i=1}^k m_i \delta_{x_i}$$

with distinct points $x_i \in X$, and $m_i > 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let **a** and **b** be two fixed atomic measures in the form of

$$\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i \delta_{x_i} \text{ and } \mathbf{b} = \sum_{j=1}^{l} n_j \delta_{y_j}$$
(1)

of equal total mass

$$\sum_{i=1}^k m_i = \sum_{j=1}^l n_j.$$

Definition 2.1: A transport path from **a** to **b** is a weighted directed graph G consists of a vertex set V(G), a directed edge set E(G) and a weight function $w : E(G) \to (0, +\infty)$ such that

- 1) $\{x_{1,}x_{2,\cdots},x_{k}\} \cup \{y_{1},y_{2},\cdots,y_{l}\} \subset V(G)$
- 2) for any vertex $v \in V(G) \setminus \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, y_1, y_2, ..., y_l\}$

$$\sum_{\substack{e \in E(G) \\ e^{-}=v}} w(e) = \sum_{\substack{e \in E(G) \\ e^{+}=v}} w(e)$$
(2)

where e^- and e^+ denotes the starting and ending endpoints of each directed edge $e \in E(G)$.

3) for each x_i with $i = 1, \cdots, k$

$$\sum_{\substack{e \in E(G) \\ e^- = x_i}} w(e) = \sum_{\substack{e \in E(G) \\ e^+ = x_i}} w(e) + m_i$$
(3)

4) for each y_i with $j = 1, \dots, l$

$$\sum_{\substack{e \in E(G) \\ e^- = y_j}} w(e) = \sum_{\substack{e \in E(G) \\ e^+ = y_j}} w(e) - n_j.$$
(4)

Remark 2.2: The balance equations (2)(3)(4) simply mean that the total mass flows into v equals to the total mass flows out of v. When G is viewed as a polyhedral chain, (2)(3)(4) can be simply expressed as $\partial G = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}$.

Let $Path(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b})$ be the space of all transport paths from \mathbf{a} to $\mathbf{b}.$

978-0-7695-3941-6/10 \$26.00 © 2010 IEEE DOI 10.1109/ICCMS.2010.30



Definition 2.3: For any parameter $0 \le \alpha \le 1$, and any $G \in Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, define

$$\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}(G) := \sum_{e \in E(G)} \left[w\left(e \right) \right]^{\alpha} length\left(e \right).$$

In [6, Proposition 2.1], we showed that for any transport path $G \in Path$ (a, b), there exists another transport path $\tilde{G} \in$ Path (a, b) such that $\mathbf{M}_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{G}\right) \leq \mathbf{M}_{\alpha}\left(G\right)$, with $V\left(\tilde{G}\right) \subseteq$ $V\left(G\right)$ and \tilde{G} contains no cycles. Here, a weighted directed graph $G = \{V\left(G\right), E\left(G\right), w: E\left(G\right) \rightarrow (0,1]\}$ contains a *cycle* if for some $k \geq 3$, there exists a list of distinct vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_k\}$ in $V\left(G\right)$ such that for each $i = 1, \cdots, k$, either the segment $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$ or $[v_{i+1}, v_i]$ is a directed edge in E(G), with the agreement that $v_{k+1} = v_1$.

An \mathbf{M}_{α} minimizer in $Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ is called an *optimal* transport path from \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} .

III. SIMULATION OF OPTIMAL TRANSPORT PATHS FROM A SINGLE SOURCE

Let X be a convex subset in \mathbb{R}^d . Given two atomic measures in the form of

$$\mathbf{a} = m\delta_O$$
 and $\mathbf{b} = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \delta_{y_i}$ with $m = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i$ (5)

in X of equal total mass, we are interested in seeing what an optimal transport path G from the single source **a** to **b** look like numerically.

If N = 1, then G is clearly consisting of only one edge $[O, y_1]$ with weight m. If N = 2, then we can calculate the optimal transport path as follows.

A. One source to two targets

Suppose there are two atomic measures

$$\mu = m_O \delta_O \text{ and } \nu = m_P \delta_P + m_Q \delta_Q, \tag{6}$$

with $m_O = m_P + m_Q$ for three points P, Q, O in the space X. To find an optimal transport path from μ to ν , we need to minimize the function

$$f(B) = (m_O)^{\alpha} \left| \overrightarrow{OB} \right| + (m_P)^{\alpha} \left| \overrightarrow{BP} \right| + (m_Q)^{\alpha} \left| \overrightarrow{BQ} \right|$$

among all points B in the triangle $\triangle POQ$. Here, we use the notation \overrightarrow{BP} etc. to denote the vector P-B in X, and let $\left|\overrightarrow{BP}\right|$ be the magnitude of this vector. Since f(B) is a continuous function on a compact set, f must achieve its minimum at some point B^* . Indeed, we can find B^* as follows. Suppose B^* is located in the interior of the triangle $\triangle POQ$, then it must satisfy the balance equation

$$(m_O)^{\alpha} \frac{\overrightarrow{OB}}{\left|\overrightarrow{OB}\right|} + (m_P)^{\alpha} \frac{\overrightarrow{BP}}{\left|\overrightarrow{BP}\right|} + (m_Q)^{\alpha} \frac{\overrightarrow{BQ}}{\left|\overrightarrow{BQ}\right|} = \vec{0}$$

at $B = B^*$. From it, one can easily find the angles

$$\measuredangle OB^*P = \theta_1, \measuredangle OB^*Q = \theta_2$$
 and $\measuredangle PB^*Q = \theta_3$

where

$$\theta_1 = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{k_2 - k_1 - 1}{2\sqrt{k_1}}\right),$$
(7)

$$\theta_2 = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{k_1 - k_2 - 1}{2\sqrt{k_2}}\right),$$
(8)

$$\theta_3 = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{1-k_1-k_2}{2\sqrt{k_1k_2}}\right)$$
(9)

for

$$k_1 = \left(\frac{m_P}{m_O}\right)^{2\alpha}, k_2 = \left(\frac{m_Q}{m_O}\right)^{2\alpha}.$$

Let M (and H) be the projection of the point Q (and P, respectively) along the segment \overrightarrow{OP} (and \overrightarrow{OQ} respectively). Then, the centers R (, and S) of the circles passing through the triangles $\triangle OB^*P$ (and $\triangle OB^*Q$ respectively) is given by

$$R = \frac{O+P}{2} - \frac{\cot\theta_1}{2} \frac{\overrightarrow{QM}}{\left|\overrightarrow{QM}\right|} \left|\overrightarrow{OP}\right|$$
$$S = \frac{O+Q}{2} - \frac{\cot\theta_2}{2} \frac{\overrightarrow{PH}}{\left|\overrightarrow{PH}\right|} \left|\overrightarrow{OQ}\right|$$

where

$$\overrightarrow{QM} = \frac{\overrightarrow{OP} \cdot \overrightarrow{OQ}}{\left|\overrightarrow{OP}\right|^2} \overrightarrow{OP} - \overrightarrow{OQ} \text{ and } \overrightarrow{PH} = \frac{\overrightarrow{OP} \cdot \overrightarrow{OQ}}{\left|\overrightarrow{OQ}\right|^2} \overrightarrow{OQ} - \overrightarrow{OP}.$$

By (7),

$$\cot \theta_1 = \frac{k_2 - k_1 - 1}{\sqrt{4k_1 - (k_2 - k_1 - 1)^2}}$$

and

$$\cot \theta_2 = \frac{k_1 - k_2 - 1}{\sqrt{4k_2 - (k_1 - k_2 - 1)^2}}$$

Now, B^* is just the reflection of the point O along the segment RS. That is,

$$B^* = 2\left[(1-\lambda)R + \lambda S\right] - O \text{ with } \lambda = \frac{\overrightarrow{RO} \cdot \overrightarrow{RS}}{\left|\overrightarrow{RS}\right|^2}$$

whenever B^* is located in the interior of the triangle $\triangle POQ$. Note that a necessary condition for B^* being located in the interior of the triangle $\triangle POQ$ is the angles must satisfy

$$\angle OQP < \theta_1, \angle OPQ < \theta_2 \text{ and } \angle POQ < \theta_3.$$

In case the condition fails, we have three degenerate cases. If the angle $\angle POQ \ge \theta_3$, then take B^* to be O and we get a "Vshaped" path. If the angle $\angle OQP \ge \theta_1$ and $\angle POQ < \theta_3$, then take B^* to be Q. If the angle $\angle OPQ \ge \theta_2$ and $\angle POQ < \theta_3$, then take B^* to be P.

As a result, given μ and ν in (6), we achieved a formula for finding B^* . The optimal transport path G from μ to ν has at most three edges: $[B^*, P]$ with weight m_P , $[B^*, Q]$ with weight m_Q and $[O, B^*]$ with weight m_Q .



Fig. 1. Cases for transporting μ to ν

We denote the point B^* by $V(\mu, \nu)$ and let

$$g(\mu,\nu) = f(O) - f(B^*)$$
 (10)

which gives the advantage of taking a "Y-shaped" path over taking a "V-shaped" path.

B. The construction of an initial transport path

When $N \geq 3$, we would like to find an approximately optimal transport path. The idea is to construct an initial transport path $G \in Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ and then modify G as much as possible until we can not reduce the cost of G any further.

1) The method of transporting small number of points: If $N \leq 2$, then we have found the optimal transport path G as above. If $2 < N \leq K$ for a small given number K, then for any pair $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, let

$$g_{ij} = g\left(\left(m_i + m_j\right)\delta_O, m_i\delta_{y_i} + m_j\delta_{y_j}\right)$$

where the function g is defined as in (10). Suppose the maximum of $\{g_{ij}\}$ is achieved at $1 \leq i^* < j^* \leq N$. Then, the desired path G is given recursively by

$$G = \tilde{G} + m_{i^*} [B^*, y_{i^*}] + m_{j^*} [B^*, y_{j^*}],$$

where $B^* = V\left(\left(m_{i^*} + m_{j^*}\right)\delta_O, m_{i^*}\delta_{y_{i^*}} + m_{j^*}\delta_{y_{j^*}}\right)$ is the point in X given by (10), and \tilde{G} is the path from **a** to $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{b} - m_{i^*}\delta_{y_{i^*}} - m_{j^*}\delta_{y_{j^*}} + \left(m_{i^*} + m_{j^*}\right)\delta_{B^*}$ achieved by recursively applying this algorithm.

2) The subdivision method: To construct an initial transport path in $Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, one may simply take a trivial transport path

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \left[O, y_i \right].$$

This is an allowable transport path in $Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$. Nevertheless, the degree of the vertex O (i.e. the total number of edges in G having O as an endpoint) is N. Then, it might become time consuming later for modifying the path at the vertex O when N is very large. Instead, we use the following subdivision method to construct an initial transport path $G_{sd}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, which contains no cycles and has degree at most K at every vertex for some given K defined below.

Let $K = \lambda^d$ where

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } d = 2\\ 2, & \text{if } d \ge 3 \end{cases}$$

and d is the dimension of the ambient space \mathbb{R}^d .

Algorithm (subdivision method):

Input: two atomic measures \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} in the form of (5) and a parameter $0 \le \alpha \le 1$;

Output: a transport path $G \in Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ *with degree* $(v) \leq K$ for each $v \in V(G)$.

If $N \leq K$, then we use the method of transporting small number of points described above to construct a transport path from **a** to **b**.

If N > K, then let Q be a cube in \mathbb{R}^d that contains the supports of both a and b. We may split the cube Qinto totally $K = \lambda^d$ smaller cubes $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^K$ of size equal to $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ of the size of Q. For each $i = 1, \dots, K$, let G_i be the path $G_{sd}(\mathbf{b}(Q_i)\delta_{c(Q_i)}, \mathbf{b}|_{Q_i})$ from the center $c(Q_i)$ of the smaller cube Q_i to the restriction of b in Q_i achieved by recursively applying this algorithm. Also, let G_0 be the path from **a** to $\sum_{i=1}^K \mathbf{b}(Q_i)\delta_{c_{Q_i}}$ by using the method of transporting small number of points. Then,

$$G = \sum_{i=0}^{K} G_i$$

provides the desired path $G_{sd}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ from \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} .



Fig. 2. (a) A single source (2, -1) and the targeting measure represented by 100 random points. (b) An initial transport path constructed by the subdivision method. (c)A modified transport path achieved by repeated modifying the initial path in (b) using the local minimization. (d)An optimal transport path achieved by modifying the path in (c) using the global minimization method.

C. Modification of an existing transport path

Now, suppose G is an existing transport path from **a** to **b** that contains no cycles. We want to modify G to reduce the transport cost as much as possible. Before describing algorithms, we first introduce some concepts about vertices of an transport path G.

For any two vertices $v, u \in V(G)$, we say that v is an *ancestor* of u and u is a *descendant* of v, if there exists a list of vertices $v_1 = v, v_2, \dots, v_{h-1}, v_h = u$ such that each $[v_i, v_{i+1}]$ is a directed edge in E(G) for $i = 1, \dots, h-1$.

Also, if [v, u] is a directed edge in E(G), then we say that v is a *parent* of u and u is a *child* of v.

For each vertex $u \in V(G) \setminus \{O\}$, u has exactly one parent $p(u) \in V(G)$ because G contains no cycles and has a single source $\mathbf{a} = m\delta_O$. Let m(u) be the associated weight on the directed edge [p(u), u] in E(G) for each $u \in V(G) \setminus \{O\}$, and also set m(O) = m. Note that $m(v) \ge m(u)$ whenever v is an ancestor of u. Moreover, the vertex O is always an ancestor of each u. That is, there exists a list of vertices v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_k in V(G) such that $[v_i, v_{i+1}] \in E(G)$ with $v_1 = O$ and $v_k = u$. Then, for each $t \in [-m(u), m(u)]$, we consider the path

$$R(G;t,u) := G - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t\left[v_i, v_{i+1}\right] \in Path\left(\mathbf{a} - t\delta_O + t\delta_u, \mathbf{b}\right).$$

When t > 0, we say that a mass of t is *removed* from the path G at vertex u, When t < 0, we say that a mass of t is *added* to the path G at vertex u. Moreover, the potential function of G at a vertex $u \in V(G)$ is defined by

$$P_{G}(u,t) = P_{G}(p(u),t) + |p(u) - u| [m(u)^{\alpha} - (m(u) - t)^{\alpha}]$$
(11)

for $u \neq O$ for $t \in [-m(u), m(u)]$ and $P_G(O, t) = 0$. Note that $P_G(u, t)$ has the same sign as t.

1) local minimization: We first use a local minimization method to modify any existing transport path G containing no cycles.

Input: a transport path $G \in Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ containing no cycles and $0 \le \alpha \le 1$;

Output: a locally optimized path $\tilde{G} \in Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ *with* $M_{\alpha}(\tilde{G}) \leq M_{\alpha}(G)$.

Idea: For each vertex u in G, replace $G_{old}(u)$ by $G_{new}(u)$ whenever $M_{\alpha}(G_{old}(u)) > M_{\alpha}(G_{new}(u))$.

Here, for each vertex u of G, two transport paths $G_{old}(u)$ and $G_{new}(u)$ are defined as follows. Let

$$\mu_{C} = \sum_{h \in V(G), p(h) = u} m(h) \,\delta_{h} \text{ and } \mu_{P} = m(u) \,\delta_{p(u)}$$

be two atomic measures corresponding to the children and the parent of *u*. Then,

$$G_{old}\left(u\right) = \sum_{h \in V(G), p(h) = u} m\left(h\right) \left[u, h\right] + m\left(u\right) \left[p\left(u\right), u\right]$$

in $Path(\mu_P, \mu_C)$ is the union of all weighted edges in G sharing u as their common endpoint. On the other hand, one may generate another path $G_{new}(u) \in Path(\mu_P, \mu_C)$ by using the method of transporting small number of points stated in III-B1.

If

$$M_{\alpha}\left(G_{old}\left(u\right)\right) > M_{\alpha}\left(G_{new}\left(u\right)\right)$$

then by replacing $G_{old}(u)$ by $G_{new}(u)$ in G, we get a new path

$$\tilde{G} = G - G_{old}(u) + G_{new}(u) \in Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$$

and $M_{\alpha}\left(\tilde{G}\right) \leq M_{\alpha}\left(G\right) - M_{\alpha}\left(G_{old}\left(u\right)\right) + M_{\alpha}\left(G_{new}\left(u\right)\right) < M_{\alpha}\left(G\right)$. So, \tilde{G} is a transport path with less cost. Replace G by

this modified path \tilde{G} , and continue this process for all vertices of G until one can not reduce the cost any further.

The main drawback of this algorithm is that the result is only local minimization rather than global minimization. For instance, edges may intersect with each other. Sometimes, using eyes of a human being, one can easily observe a better transport path. To overcome these drawbacks, we adopt the following algorithm.

2) global minimization: Now, we introduce the following algorithm of global minimization:

Input: two probability measures **a**, **b** in the form of (5) and a parameter $0 \le \alpha \le 1$;

Output: an approximately M_{α} *optimal transport path* $G \in Path(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ *.*

step 1: construct a transport path G from a to b using the subdivision method;

step 2: modify the existing path G using the local minimization method;

step 3: subdivide long edges of G into shorter edges;

step 4: for each vertex u of G, remove a mass of m(u) at vertex u from the path G; change the parent p(u) of u to a better one if possible and then add back a mass of m(u) at vertex u. More precisely,

substep 1: A list of potential parents of u is defined as

$$L\left(u\right) = \left\{v \in V\left(G\right) : |v - u| \le \sigma, \text{ and } v \text{ is not a descendant of } u\right\},$$

where $\sigma = P_G(u, m(u)) / [m(u)]^{\alpha}$ and P_G is defined in (11). Note that the parent p(u) is automatically in L(u) because

$$\sigma = \frac{P_G(p(u), m(u)) + |p(u) - u| m(u)^{\alpha}}{[m(u)]^{\alpha}} \ge |p(u) - u|.$$

substep 2: By removing a mass of m(u) at vertex u from the path G, we get another path $\tilde{G} = R(G; m(u), u)$.

substep 3: For each $v \in L(u) \setminus \{p(u)\}$, let

$$c(v) = -P_{\tilde{G}}(v, -m(u)),$$

where $P_{\tilde{G}}$ is defined as in (11) with G replaced by \tilde{G} . The number c(v) measures the extra cost of transporting a mass of m(u) on the system \tilde{G} from the source O to the vertex u via the vertex v.

substep 4: Find the maximum of c(v) over all $v \in L(u) \setminus \{p(u)\}$. If max $c(v) > \sigma [m(u)]^{\alpha}$, then we find a better parent for the vertex u. In this case, suppose the maximum of c(v) is achieved at v^* . Then, let

$$G^{*} = R\left(\tilde{G}; -m(u), v^{*}\right) + m(u)[v^{*}, u].$$

That is, we change the parent of u from p(u) to v^* and then add a mass m(u) at u to the modified path. For convenience, the final modified transport path G^* is still denoted by G.

step 5: Repeat steps 2-4 until one can not reduce the cost any further.

IV. EXAMPLES

Example 4.1: Let $\{y_i\}$ be 50 random points in the square

 $[0,1] \times [0,1]$. Then, $\{y_i\}$ determines an atomic probability measure $\mathbf{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{50} \frac{1}{50} \delta_{y_i}$. Let $a = \delta_O$ where O = (0,0)

is the origin. Then an optimal transport path from a to b looks like the following figures with $\alpha = 1, 0.75, 0.5$ and 0.25 respectively:



Example 4.2: Let $\{y_i\}$ be 100 random points in the rectangle $[-2.5, 2.5] \times [0, 1]$. Then, $\{y_i\}$ determines an atomic probability measure $\mathbf{b} = \sum_{i=1}^{100} \frac{1}{100} \delta_{y_i}$. Let $\mathbf{a} = \delta_O$ where O = (0, 0) is the origin, and let $\alpha = 0.85$. Then an optimal transport path from \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} looks like the following figure.



Example 4.3: Optimal transport paths from the center to the unit circle. Here, the unit circle is represented by 400 points uniformly distributed on the circle. The parameter $\alpha = 0.75$ in the first figure and $\alpha = 0.95$ in the second one.



Example 4.4: Optimal transport paths from the center to the unit disk. The first one is using random generated points in the disk with $\alpha = 2/3$ while the second one use uniformly generated points in the disk with $\alpha = 0.75$.



Example 4.5: An optimal transport path from a point on the boundary to the unit square, which is represented by 400

randomly generated points, with $\alpha = 0.85$.



Example 4.6: A placenta of a new baby may be represented by a planar domain. Here, we model blood vessels in the placenta by an optimal transport path from the Lebesgue measure of the domain to the the base point of the cord insertion.



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the support of an NSF grant DMS-0710714.

REFERENCES

- A. Brancolini, G. Buttazzo, F. Santambrogio, Path functions over Wasserstein spaces. J. Eur. Math. Soc. Vol. 8, No.3 (2006),415–434.
- [2] M. Bernot; V. Caselles; J. Morel; Optimal Transportation Networks: Models and Theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1955, (2009).
- [3] E.N. Gilbert, Minimum cost communication networks, Bell System Tech. J. 46, (1967), pp. 2209-2227.
- [4] F. Maddalena, S. Solimini and J.M. Morel. A variational model of irrigation patterns, Interfaces and Free Boundaries, Volume 5, Issue 4, (2003), pp. 391-416.
- [5] C. Villani. Topics in mass transportation. AMS Graduate Studies in Math. 58 (2003).
- [6] Q. Xia. Optimal paths related to transport problems. Communications in Contemporary Mathematics. Vol. 5, No. 2 (2003) 251-279.
- [7] Q. Xia. Interior regularity of optimal transport paths. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations. 20 (2004), no. 3, 283–299.
- [8] Q. Xia. Boundary regularity of optimal transport paths. Preprint.[9] O. Xia. The formation of tree leaf. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc.
- [9] Q. Xia. The formation of tree leaf. ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 13 (2007), no. 2, 359–377.
- [10] Q. Xia. The geodesic problem in quasimetric spaces. Journal of Geometric Analysis: Volume 19, Issue2 (2009), 452–479.
- [11] G. Xue, T. Lillys and D. Dougherty. Computing the Minimum Cost Pipe Network Interconnecting One Sink and Many Sources. SIAM Journal on Optimization. Volume 10, Issue 1 (1999) Pages: 22 - 42.
- [12] Zhang and Zhu. A bilevel programming method for pipe network optimization. SIAM Journal on Optimization, Vol 6, 838 (1996).

Qinglan Xia University of California at Davis, Department of Mathematics, Davis, CA, 95616, USA. Email:qlxia@math.ucdavis.edu.