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#### Abstract

In this paper, we are interested in obtaining large values of Dirichlet $L$-functions evaluated at zeros of a class of $L$-functions, that is, $\max \underset{F}{F}(\rho)=0 \quad L(\rho, \chi)$, where $\chi$ is a primitive Dirichlet $T \leq \Im \rho \leq 2 T$ character and $F$ belongs to a class of $L$-functions. The class we consider includes $L$-functions associated to automorphic representations of $G L(n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.


## 1. Introduction

The study of the value distribution of the Riemann zeta function dates back to the work of H . Bohr. Using the theory of almost periodic functions, he showed that $\zeta(s)$ takes any nonzero complex value $z$ infinitely often in any strip $1<\Re(s)<1+\epsilon$. Later in [6], together with B. Jessen, he showed that $\log \zeta(\sigma+i t)$ has a continuous limiting distribution on the complex plane for any $\sigma>\frac{1}{2}$. On the critical line, A. Selberg [42, 41] showed that $\log \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right|$ is approximately Gaussian distributed in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T} \text { meas }\left\{t \in[T, 2 T]: \frac{\log \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right|}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \log \log T}} \geq \lambda\right\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2} / 2} d x, \text { as } T \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the typical size of $\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right|$ is $\exp \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \log \log T}\right)$. Regarding the exceptional large values of $\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right|$, the Lindelöf Hypothesis asserts that $\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right|=o\left(t^{\epsilon}\right)$ for any $\epsilon>0$. Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis, one can show ([34, 14]) that

$$
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right|=O\left(\exp \left(c \frac{\log t}{\log \log t}\right)\right), \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

for some absolute constant $c$. D. Farmer, S. Gonek, and C. Hughes [20] conjectured that the maximum value of $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)$ for $t$ in the interval $[0, T]$ is of order $\exp \left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}+o(1)\right) \sqrt{\log T \log \log T}\right)$. For omega results, E. C. Titchmarsh [46, Theorem 8.12] first showed that there exist arbitrarily large $t$ with $\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right| \geq \exp \left(\log ^{\alpha} t\right)$ for any $\alpha<1 / 2$. Under the Riemann Hypothesis, H. Montgomery [37], proved that there exist arbitrarily large values of $t$ such that

$$
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right| \gg \exp \left(\frac{1}{20} \sqrt{\frac{\log t}{\log \log t}}\right)
$$

R. Balasubramanian and K. Ramachandra [2] showed unconditionally that there are arbitrarily large $t$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right| \gg \exp \left(c \sqrt{\frac{\log t}{\log \log t}}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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for some positive constant $c$. Later, K. Soundararajan [43] introduced the resonance method and obtained (1.2) for $c=1+o(1)$. More recently, A. Bondarenko and K. Seip in a series of papers $[9,10,11]$ proved that for any $0 \leq \beta<1$ and $0 \leq c \leq \sqrt{1-\beta}$, if $T$ is sufficiently large, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{T^{\beta} \leq t \leq T}\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right| \gg \exp \left(c \sqrt{\frac{\log T \log \log \log T}{\log \log T}}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $c$ has been further improved by R. de la Bretèche and G. Tenenbaum [19] by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$. Fewer results have been investigated on large values of degree $\zeta(s)$ at discrete points on the critical line. X. Li and M. Radziwiłl[33] considered the large values of $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)$ in vertical arithmetic progressions on the critical line. J. Kalpokas and P. Sarka [31] considered large values at generalized Gram points. In this paper, we consider the large values of the Riemann zeta function and Dirichlet $L$-functions at the zeros of a class of $L$-functions.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet L-functions with conductor $q>1$. If all non-trivial zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ are on the critical line $\Re(s)=\frac{1}{2}$, then for $T$ sufficiently large,

$$
\max _{\substack{L(\rho, \chi)=0 \\ T \leq \Im \rho \leq 2 T}}|\zeta(\rho)| \gg \exp \left(c \sqrt[4]{\frac{\log T}{\phi(q)(\log \log T)^{2}}}\right)
$$

where $c$ is some absolute positive constant.
This can be improved if we assume the Riemann Hypothesis holds for all Dirichlet $L$-functions.
Theorem 1.2. Let $\chi$ and $\psi$ be two different primitive Dirichlet characters. Under the assumption that the Riemann Hypothesis is true for all Dirichlet L-functions,

$$
\max _{\substack{L(\rho, \psi)=0 \\ T \leq \Im \rho \leq 2 T}}|L(\rho, \chi)| \gg \exp \left(c \sqrt{\frac{\log T}{\phi(d) \log \log T}}\right)
$$

for some $c>0$, where $d$ is the least common multiple of the conductors of $\chi$ and $\psi .^{1}$
It is believed that the values of distinct primitive $L$-functions are uncorrelated. For example, it is conjectured that different primitive Dirichlet $L$-functions have no common non-trivial zeros ([23, Conjecture 3]). A. Fujii [22] proved this is true for a positive proportion of distinct primitive Dirichlet characters. Under the Riemann Hypothesis, B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, and S. Gonek [15, 16] showed that at most two-thirds of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are also zeros of $L(s, \chi)$, where $\chi$ is a non-principal Dirichlet character. They remarked in [16] that similar results hold for Dirichlet $L$-functions with inequivalent characters under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis. R. Garunkštis and J. Kalpokas [24] gave a lower bound for the proportion uniformly in the size of the conductors of the characters. Our result shows that under GRH, the values of $\zeta(s)$ at the zeros of another primitive $L$-function can be almost as large as the extreme large values of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line without constraints.

Even though we were not able to obtain a bound as good as in (1.3) for individual $L$-functions, we can show a bound of the same shape as in (1.3) for the value $\left|\zeta_{K}(s)\right|$, the Dedekind zeta function associated to a number field $K$, on the critical line. When $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$, we know that $\zeta_{K}(s)=$ $\prod_{\chi(\bmod n)} L(s, \chi)$. For a general number field, $\zeta_{K}(s)$ can be factored into Artin $L$-functions associated to irreducible representations of $\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$. The Langlands reciprocity conjecture implies that each factor is an $L$-function for an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G L(m)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus it make sense to study the values of $\zeta(s)$ at the zeros of automoprhic $L$-functions. We give a result in this direction.

[^1]Theorem 1.3. Let $m \geq 2$ and $\pi$ be an irreducible automorphic representation of $G L(m)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assuming that $L(s, \pi)$ has all its non-trivial zeros on the line $\Re(s)=\frac{1}{2}$, then for sufficiently large $T$

$$
\max _{\substack{L(\rho, \pi)=0 \\ T \leq T \leq 2 T}}|\zeta(\rho)| \gg \exp \left(c_{1} \sqrt[4]{\frac{\log T}{(\log \log T)^{2}}}\right)
$$

for some positive constant $c_{1}$ depending on the conductor of $\pi$. Let $\chi(\bmod q)$ be a Dirichlet character such that $L(s, \pi \otimes \chi)$ has no pole at $s=1$. Then under the Grand Riemann Hypothesis, we have for sufficiently large $T$

$$
\max _{\substack{L(\rho, \pi)=0 \\ T \leq \Im \rho \leq 2 T}}|L(\rho, \chi)| \gg \exp \left(c_{2} \sqrt{\frac{\log T}{\log \log T}}\right)
$$

where $c_{2}>0$ is some positive constant depending on $\pi$ and $\chi$.
As a corollary, we have
Theorem 1.4. Let $f$ be a holomorphic primitive cusp form of weight $k \geq 1$, level $q$ and let $\chi$ be $a$ primitive Dirichlet character. If $L(f, s)$ has all non-trivial zeros on the critical line $\Re(s)=\frac{1}{2}$, then for $T$ large enough,

$$
\max _{\substack{L(f, \rho)=0 \\ T \leq \Im \rho \leq 2 T}}|\zeta(\rho)| \gg \exp \left(c_{3} \sqrt[4]{\frac{\log T}{(\log \log T)^{2}}}\right)
$$

where $c_{3}>0$ is some positive constant depending on $f$ and $\chi$.
Automorphic $L$-functions are conjectured to belong to the Selberg class. The Riemann zeta function and Dirichlet $L$-functions are examples of degree $1 L$-functions from the Selberg class. Many results mentioned above have been generalized to $L$-functions in the Selberg class with additional conditions. For example, E. Bombieri and D. Hejhal [7] proved that $\left\{\log \left(L_{j}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i t\right)\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ behave like independent Gaussian distributed random variables for certain $L_{j}$ 's in the Selberg class. A short interval analogue was proved by E. Bombieri and A. Perelli [8]. In the same paper [8], they also considered the simultaneous non-vanishing in the setting of the Selberg class under certain additional hypotheses. Some unconditional results for cuspidal automorphic representations have been established by R. Raghunathan [40]. In terms of large values of $L$-functions in the Selberg class, C. Aistleitner and L. Pańkowaski [1] have some results for $L$-functions in the Selberg class with polynomial Euler products. Our result could apply to $L$-functions in the Selberg class with some additional conditions (see Section $3)$.

## 2. Outline

We prove a general theorem for a class of functions $\mathcal{S}^{*}$. Theorem 1.1- Theorem 1.4 will then follow. The idea is to use the resonance method to compute

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1} & =\sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0 \\
T_{1} \leq \Im \rho \leq T_{2}}} L(\rho, \chi) X(\rho) Y(1-\rho)  \tag{2.1}\\
S_{0} & =\sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0 \\
T_{1} \leq \Im \rho \leq T_{2}}} X(\rho) Y(1-\rho) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F(s)$ is an $L$-function in $\mathcal{S}, L(s, \chi)$ is a Dirichlet $L$-function, $T_{1}=T+O(1)$ and $T_{2}=2 T+O(1)$ are chosen to be $\gg 1 / \log T$ away from the zeros of $F, X(s)=\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{x_{n}}{n^{s}}$ and $Y(s)=\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{y_{n}}{n^{s}}$. If $\Re \rho=\frac{1}{2}$ and $y_{n}=\overline{x_{n}}$, then $X(\rho) Y(1-\rho)=|X(\rho)|^{2}$ and thus

$$
\max _{\substack{F(\rho)=0 \\ T \leq \Im \rho \leq 2 T}}|L(\rho, \chi)| \geq \frac{\left|S_{1}\right|}{S_{0}} .
$$

To compute the values of $L(\rho, \chi)$ at zeros of $F$, we use the method of Conrey, Ghosh and Gonek [17] in the study of simple zeros of $\zeta(s)$. To this end, we need some additional conditions on $F$ and thus we restrict ourselves to a subclass $\mathcal{S}^{*}$. This was also used by Ng [39] in studying extreme values of $\zeta^{\prime}(\rho)$. The size of the resonator requires a large zero free region so that the error terms are negligible. When taking $F$ to be a Dirichlet $L$-function, the classical zero free region allows one to take $M=\exp (c \sqrt{\log T})$ for some positive constant $c$ if there are no Siegel zeros. Even though non-existence of Siegel zeros is still an open problem, we do know that Siegel zeros are very rare if they exist. If we assume the non-trivial zeros of all $L$-functions $\mathcal{S}$ are on the line $\Re(s)=\frac{1}{2}$, we can take the length of the resonator $M$ to be $T^{c}$ for some positive constant $c$ under the Ramanujan Conjecture. This will give a bound of the form as in (1.2). An essential part is related to the study of the coefficients of $\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s)$ in arithmetic progressions. We employ a variant of Perron's formula by J. Liu and Y. Ye [35] to avoid assuming the Generalized Ramanujan Conjecture on the coefficients of $F(s)$.

The organization of the rest of the paper is as follows. In Section 3, we define a class of $L$-functions $\mathcal{S}$ and its subclass $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and give their properties. In Section 4, we show that $L$-functions associated to irreducible cuspidal representations of $G L(n)$ belong to $\mathcal{S}^{*}$. In Section 5 , we give an estimate of $S_{0}$ as defined in (2.2). In Section 6, we give the asymptotic for $S_{1}$ as defined in (2.1) for $F \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$. In Section 7, we define the resonator coefficients and give some properties of the resonator. In Section 8, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1-Theorem 1.4. Throughout the paper $c, \beta, \epsilon$ denotes positive numbers whose value may change from one line to the next.

## 3. Definition of the class of $L$-functions $\mathcal{S}$

We define a class of functions $\mathcal{S}$ as follows. A function $F$ is in $\mathcal{S}$ if

1) Dirichlet Series representation: For $\Re(s)>1, F(s)$ can be represented as an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series $F(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{F}(n)}{n^{s}}$.
2) Analytic continuation: There exists a non-negative integer $m$ such that $(s-1)^{m} F(s)$ is an entire function of finite order.
3) Functional equation: $F(s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\Xi_{F}(s)=w_{F} \overline{\Xi_{F}(1-\bar{s})}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{F}(s):=F(s) Q^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{f} \Gamma\left(\lambda_{j} s+\mu_{j}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with positive real numbers $Q, \lambda_{j}$ and complex numbers $w_{F}, \mu_{j}$ with $\left|w_{F}\right|=1, \Re \frac{\mu_{j}}{\lambda_{j}}>-\frac{1}{2}$.
4) Euler product: For $\Re(s)$ sufficiently large, $F(s)$ has the Euler product representation

$$
F(s)=\prod_{p} F_{p}(s), F_{p}(s)=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{F}\left(p^{k}\right)}{p^{k s}}\right)
$$

where $b_{F}\left(p^{k}\right)$ are some coefficients satisfying $b_{F}\left(p^{k}\right) \ll p^{k \theta_{F}}$, for some constant $\theta_{F}<1 / 2$.
For $F \in \mathcal{S}$, we define the degree $d_{F}$, weight $\lambda$, and conductor $q_{F}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{F}=2 \sum_{j=1}^{f} \lambda_{j}, \quad \lambda=\prod_{j=1}^{f} \lambda_{j}^{2 \lambda_{j}}, q_{F}=(2 \pi)^{d_{F}} Q^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{f} \lambda_{j}^{2 \lambda_{j}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the analytic conductor of $F(s)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{F}(s)=Q^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{f}\left(\left|\lambda_{j} s+\mu_{j}\right|+3\right)^{2 \lambda_{j}}, Q_{F}=q_{F}(0) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi$ be a Dirichlet character. The twisted $L$-function $F_{\psi}$ is defined as

$$
F_{\psi}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{F}(n) \psi(n)}{n^{s}}, \text { for } \Re(s)>1
$$

We define a subclass of $\mathcal{S}$, denoted by $\mathcal{S}^{*}$, which consists of $L$-functions that satisfy the following additional conditions.
(i) $F_{\psi} \in \mathcal{S}$ for any primitive character $\psi(\bmod g)$ and $d_{F_{\psi}}=d_{F}, Q_{F_{\psi}} \ll Q_{F} g^{d_{F}}$.
(ii) $F_{\psi}$ is entire for all primitive characters $\psi$ with the exception of at most one primitive character $\psi^{*}\left(\bmod g^{*}\right)$.
(iii) For any $Q \gg 1$, there exists $B_{Q}$ which is either 1 or a prime $\gg_{F} \log _{2} Q$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\sigma \ggg>_{F} \frac{1}{\log (Q(|\Im(s)|+2))} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $F_{\psi}(\sigma+i t)=0$ and $\psi(\bmod g)$ is a Dirichlet character with square-free conductor $\tilde{g} \leq Q$ and $\left(\tilde{g}, B_{Q}\right)=1$.
(iv) For $\Re(s)>1$, denote

$$
-\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(n)}{n^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \lambda_{F}(n)}{n^{s}} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right| \leq d_{F} n^{\theta_{F}} \log n \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n)\left|\lambda_{F}(n)\right|^{2}=x(1+o(1)) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) For $x \gg_{d_{F}}\left(Q_{F} \nu\right)^{c}$ with $c=c\left(d_{F}\right)$ is some constant depending only on $d_{F}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \leq n \leq x e^{1 / \nu}}\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right|<_{d_{F}} \frac{x}{\nu} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of $\mathcal{S}$, we have the following properties.
Lemma 3.1 (Convexity Bound). Let $F \in \mathcal{S}$ be as above. Define

$$
\mu_{F}(\sigma)=\underset{|t| \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{\log |F(\sigma+i t)|}{\log |t|} .
$$

Then $\mu_{F}(\sigma)$ is a convex function, and

$$
\mu_{F}(\sigma) \leq \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \sigma>1 \\ \frac{1}{2} d_{F}(1-\sigma), & \text { if } 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1 \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}-\sigma\right) d_{F}, & \text { if } \sigma<0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. This is follows from the general theory of $L$-functions that can be found in Theorem 6.8 in [45].

Lemma 3.2. Let $\psi$ be a primitive character. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$, then we have
(1) For $\Re(s) \geq 1, \frac{F_{\psi}^{\prime}(s)}{F_{\psi}(s)}$ has no poles except for the character $\psi^{*}$, where it has a simple pole at $s=1$.
(2) For any $\kappa>1$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\left(\Lambda_{F} * \psi\right)(n)\right|}{n^{\kappa}} \ll \frac{1}{(\kappa-1)^{2}}
$$

(3) Let $B_{Q}$ be defined in condition (iii). Let $\psi$ be any primitive character with square-free conductor $g \leq Q$ such that $\left(g, B_{Q}\right)=1$. There exists some constant $c=c(F)>0$ such that for $\Re(s) \geq$ $1-c / \log (Q(|\Im s|+2))$

$$
\frac{F_{\psi}^{\prime}(s)}{F_{\psi}(s)} \ll{ }_{F} \log ^{2}(Q(|\Im s|+2))
$$

If $F_{\psi}(z)$ has no zeros for $\Re(s)>1-a$ for some $a>0$, then the above bound hold for $\Re(s)>$ $1-a+1 / \log (Q(|\Im s|+2))$.

Proof. For (1), it follows from the definition of $\mathcal{S}^{*}$. For (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\left(\Lambda_{F} * \chi\right)(n)\right| n^{-\sigma} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right| n^{-\sigma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\sigma} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.6) and partial summation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right|}{n^{\sigma}} \ll \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)+\Lambda(n)\left|\lambda_{F}(n)\right|^{2}}{n^{\sigma}} \ll \frac{1}{\sigma-1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (3.8) yields the desired conclusion. To prove part (3), we can choose $c=c(F)$ such that $\Re\left(\rho_{F_{\psi}}\right) \leq 1-\frac{2 c}{\log (Q(|\Im(s)|+2))}$ for all non-trivial zeros $\rho_{F_{\psi}}$ of $F_{\psi}$ by assumption (iii). Similar to [28, Proposition 5.7 (2)], we have

$$
\frac{F_{\psi}^{\prime}(s)}{F_{\psi}(s)}+\frac{m}{s}+\frac{m}{s-1}-\sum_{\left|s+\mu_{\psi, j}\right|<1} \frac{1}{s+\frac{\mu_{\psi, j}}{\lambda \psi, j}}-\sum_{\left|s-\rho_{F_{\psi}}\right|<1} \frac{1}{s-\rho_{F_{\psi}}} \ll \log q_{F_{\psi}}(s)
$$

for some absolute constant. Since $\Re(s) \geq 1-\frac{c}{\log q_{F_{\psi}}(s)}, \Re\left(\frac{\mu_{\psi, j}}{\lambda_{\psi, j}}\right)>-\frac{1}{2}$, and $d_{F_{\psi}}=d_{F}$ we have

$$
\frac{m}{s}+\frac{m}{s-1}-\sum_{\left|s+\mu_{\psi, j}\right|<1} \frac{1}{s+\frac{\mu_{\psi, j}}{\lambda_{\psi, j}}}<_{F} d_{F} \log q_{F_{\psi}}(s)
$$

Since $Q_{F_{\psi}} \ll Q_{F} g^{d_{F}}$, we have

$$
\log q_{F_{\psi}}(s) \ll \log Q_{F} g^{d_{F}}(|\Im s|+2)^{d_{F}}<_{F} \log Q(|\Im s|+2)
$$

We also have from [28, Proposition 5.7, (1)] that the number of zeros $\rho_{F_{\psi}}$ such that $\left|\Im \rho_{F_{\psi}}-\Im s\right|<1$ is bounded by $\log q_{F_{\psi}}(s)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{F_{\psi}^{\prime}(s)}{F_{\psi}(s)} & \ll d_{F} \log \left(q_{F_{\psi}}(s)\right)+\sum_{\left|s-\rho_{F_{\psi}}\right|<1} \frac{1}{s-\rho_{F_{\psi}}} \\
& \ll F \log ^{2} Q(|\Im s|+2)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\psi$ with square-free conductor $g \leq Q$ and $\left(g, B_{Q}\right)=1$. A similar argument can be applied when $F_{\psi}$ has no zero in the region $\Re(s)>1-a$.

## 4. Properties of automorphic L-Functions

In this section, we will show that $L$-functions associated to irreducible cuspidal representations of $G L(n)$ belong to the class $\mathcal{S}^{*}$.

Let $\pi$ be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G L\left(d_{\pi}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, with unitary central character. For $\Re(s)>1$, let

$$
L(s, \pi):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi}(n)}{n^{s}}=\prod_{p \text { prime }} \prod_{j=1}^{d_{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{\pi}(p, j)}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1}
$$

be the global $L$-function attached to $\pi$ (cf. R. Godement and H. Jacquet [25], H. Jacquet and J. Shalika 29,30$]$ ). Denote by $\lambda_{\pi}\left(p^{k}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\pi}\left(p^{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{d_{\pi}} \alpha_{\pi}(p, j)^{k} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $\Re(s)>1$, we have

$$
-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \pi):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{\pi}(n)}{n^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n) \lambda_{\pi}(n)}{n^{s}}
$$

where $\Lambda(n)$ is the von Mangoldt function. It is known that $L(s, \pi)$ can be analytically continued to an entire function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(s, \pi)=q_{\pi}^{s / 2} \gamma(s, \pi) L(s, \pi) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the functional equation

$$
\Phi(s, \pi)=\epsilon_{\pi} \bar{\Phi}(1-s, \pi)
$$

where $\bar{\Phi}(s)=\overline{\Phi(\bar{s})}$ and $\gamma(s, \pi)=\prod_{j=1}^{d_{\pi}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(s+\mu_{j}\right), \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)=\pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma(s / 2), \mu_{j} \in \mathbb{C},\left|\epsilon_{\pi}\right|=1$. We also have the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{\pi}(n)\right| \leq d_{\pi} n^{\theta_{\pi}},-\Re\left(\mu_{j}\right) \leq \theta_{\pi} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\theta_{\pi}<\frac{1}{2}$. The Generalized Ramanujan Conjecture asserts that $\theta_{\pi}=0$. It is known from W. Luo, Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnark [36] that $\theta_{\pi} \leq \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{d_{\pi}^{2}+1}$. When $K=\mathbb{Q}$ and $d_{\pi}=2$, Kim and Sarnak [32] improved the bound to $\left|\alpha_{j}(p)\right| \leq \frac{7}{64}$ based on the work of Kim on the symmetric fourth $L$-functions. V. Blomer and F. Brumley [4] extended this bound to general number fields and obtained better bounds for $G L(3)\left(c \leq \frac{5}{14}\right)$ and $G L(4)\left(c \leq \frac{9}{22}\right) L$-functions over general number field.

Given a Dirichlet character $\psi \bmod g$, where $\left(g, q_{\pi}\right)=1$, let

$$
L(s, \pi \otimes \psi):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi}(n) \psi(n)}{n^{s}}=\prod_{p \text { prime }} \prod_{j=1}^{d_{\pi}}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{j}(p) \psi(p)}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1}, \text { for } \Re(s)>1
$$

We have

$$
-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \pi \otimes \psi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{\pi}(n) \psi(n)}{n^{s}}, \Re(s)>1
$$

It is known that $L(s, \pi \otimes \psi)$ can be analytically continued to an entire function, and furthermore

$$
\Phi(s, \pi \otimes \psi)=\left(g^{d_{\pi}} q_{\pi}\right)^{s / 2} \gamma_{\psi}(s, \pi) L(s, \pi \otimes \psi)
$$

is an entire function of order 1 satisfying the functional equation

$$
\Phi(s, \pi \otimes \psi)=\epsilon_{\pi, \psi} \bar{\Phi}(1-s, \pi \otimes \psi)
$$

where $\bar{\Phi}(s, \pi \otimes \psi)=\overline{\Phi(\bar{s}, \pi \otimes \psi)}, \gamma_{\psi}(s, \pi)=\prod_{j=1}^{d_{\pi}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\left(s+\mu_{j, \psi}\right), \Re \mu_{j, \psi}>-\frac{1}{2},\left|\epsilon_{\pi, \psi}\right|=1$. This shows that $G L(n) L$-functions belong to $\mathcal{S}$ and it remains to prove conditions (i)-(v).

Condition (i) can be verified by properties of Rankin-Selberg $L$-functions (see [28, Section 5, p. 97, eq (5.11)]). Condition (ii) is satisfied for $G L(1) L$-functions and when $d_{\pi} \geq 2$, we know that $L(s, \pi \otimes \psi)$ is entire and thus condition (ii) is also satisfied. The zero free region of $L(s, \pi \otimes \psi)$ can be found in [5, Proposition 2.11]. In particular, there is only possible one real zero in the region $\sigma>1-\frac{c}{\log \left(Q_{\pi}(|t|+2)\right)}$ for any irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $G L\left(d_{\pi}\right)$, where $c$ is an absolute positive constant depending only on $d_{\pi}$. The exceptional zero is called a Siegel zero. It is believed that the only possibility of a Siegel zero is from a Dirichlet $L$-function associated to a quadratic character. In fact, J. Hoffstein and D. Ramakrishnan proved that there is no Siegel zero for cups forms on $G L(n)$ for $n>1$ if the functoriality of Langlands holds. This implies that cusp forms on $G L(2)$ admit no Siegel zeros. W. Banks [3] proved the non-existence of Siegel zeros for cups forms on $G L(3)$. Thus
condition (iii) in the definition of $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ is satisfied for $d_{\pi}=2$ or 3 . For $G L(1) L$-functions, we know (iii) is true from Theorem 4.1 below. For $G L(n) L$-functions, we prove an analogue in Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.1 (Landau-Page,[21, Corollary 6]). For $Q \geq 100$, there exists $B_{Q}$ which is either 1 or a prime $\gg \log _{2} Q$ such that $1-\sigma \gg \frac{1}{\log Q(|t|+1)}$ whenever $L(\sigma+i t, \chi)=0$ and $\chi$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $q$ with $q \leq Q,\left(q, B_{Q}\right)=1$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible cuspidal representation of $G L(n)$, and let $Q$ be a sufficiently large integer. Then, there exists a quantity $B_{Q}$ which is either 1 or a prime of size $\gg \log _{2} Q$ such that $L(s, \pi \otimes \psi)$ has no zero in the region

$$
1-\Re(s) \ll \frac{1}{\log Q(|t|+1)},
$$

whenever the conductor of $\psi$ is squarefree and coprime to $B_{Q}$. All implied constants only depend on $\pi$.

Lemma 4.3 (J. Hoffstein and D. Ramakrishnan, [27, Theorem A]; [5, Remark 2.12]). Let $\pi$ be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of $G L(n)$ with $Q_{\pi} \leq Q$. Then there is an absolute constant $c>0$ such that $L(s, \pi)$ has no zeros in the interval $1-\frac{c}{\log Q} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ with the exception of at most one of such $\pi$.

Lemma 4.4 (F. Brumley, [13, Corollary 6]). Let $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ be cuspidal automorphic representations of $G L_{n}(\mathbb{A})$ with analytic conductor $\leq Q$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. There exist constants $c=c\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)>0$ and $A=A\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)>0$ such that $L\left(\sigma, \pi \times \pi^{\prime}\right)$ has no zeros in the interval

$$
1-\frac{c}{Q^{A}} \leq \sigma \leq 1 .
$$

Proof. [Proof of Theorem 4.2] Let $\psi$ be a Dirichlet character of squarefree conductor $g$ and $\pi$ be a cusp form on $G L(n)$ with conductor $Q_{\pi}$. Then $\pi \otimes \psi$ is a cusp form on $G L(n)$ with conductor $\ll Q_{\pi} g^{n}$. From [5, Proposition 2.11], we have $L(s, \pi \otimes \psi)$ has no zeros for $\Re(s) \geq_{\pi} 1-\frac{c}{\log Q(t t+1)}$ for all $g \leq Q$, with exception of at most one real zero. From Lemma 4.3, we see that for all primitive characters with conductor at most $Q$, there is at most one exceptional character $\psi_{Q}\left(\bmod g_{Q}\right)$ such that it has a real zero $\beta$ satisfying

$$
1-\beta \ll_{\pi} \frac{1}{\log Q} .
$$

From Lemma 4.4, we see that

$$
1-\beta \ggg{ }_{\pi} \frac{1}{\left(Q_{\pi} g_{Q}^{n}\right)^{A}}
$$

Thus, $g_{Q}>_{\pi}(\log Q)^{1 / A^{\prime}}$. Since $g_{Q}$ is squarefree, by prime number theorem, there exists $B_{Q} \gg$ $\log g_{Q} \gg \log _{2} Q$ such that $L(s, \pi \otimes \psi)$ has no zero in the region

$$
\Re(s) \geq 1-\frac{c}{\log Q},
$$

for all Dirichlet characters $\psi$ with squarefree conductor at most $Q$ and coprime to $B_{Q}$.
Condition (iv) follows from Rankin-Selberg theory. A proof can be found in [28, Theorem 5.13] (see also [35, Lemma 5.2]). Condition (v) is also satisfied for automorphic L-functions of $G L(n)$ (See [44, eq (1.10)]). Therefore, we see that $L$-functions associated to irreducible automorphic representations of $G L(n)$ belong to $\mathcal{S}^{*}$.

## 5. Moment of the Resonator

Theorem 5.1. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}$. Suppose $X(s)=\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{x_{n}}{n^{s}}, Y(s)=\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{y_{n}}{n^{s}}$ with $x_{n}=\overline{y_{n}}$, and $M \leq T$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{0}= & \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \log \left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right) d t\right) \sum_{m \leq M} \frac{x_{m} y_{m}}{m} \\
& -\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}}{2 \pi} \sum_{m \leq M} \frac{\left(\Lambda_{F} * x\right)(m) y_{m}+\overline{\Lambda_{F}} * y(m) x_{m}}{m}+\mathcal{E}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_{0}=O & \left((\log T)^{2}\left(M\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\right)\right) \\
& +O\left((\log T)^{2} M^{1+\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left(\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\right) . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. From the residue theorem, we have for any $c>1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{0} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{c+i T_{1}}^{c+i T_{2}}+\int_{c+i T_{2}}^{1-c+i T_{2}}+\int_{1-c+i T_{1}}^{c+i T_{1}}+\int_{1-c+i T_{2}}^{1-c+i T_{1}}\right) X(s) Y(1-s) \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s) d s \\
& =J_{R}-J_{L}+J_{H} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{R} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c+i T_{1}}^{c+i T_{2}} X(s) Y(1-s) \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s) d s  \tag{5.3}\\
J_{L} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{1-c+i T_{1}}^{1-c+i T_{2}} X(s) Y(1-s) \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s) d s  \tag{5.4}\\
J_{H} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(\int_{c+i T_{2}}^{1-c+i T_{2}}+\int_{1-c+i T_{1}}^{c+i T_{1}}\right) X(s) Y(1-s) \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s) d s \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

For $J_{H}$, we first note that

$$
\begin{align*}
|X(s) Y(1-s)| & =\left|\sum_{u \leq M} \frac{x_{u}}{u^{s}} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{y_{k}}{k^{1-s}}\right| \\
& \leq M\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+M^{c-1}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+M^{c-1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where each part corresponds to a bound for $0 \leq \Re(s) \leq 1,1-c \leq \Re(s) \leq 0$, and $1 \leq \Re(s) \leq c$ respectively. Since $T_{1}=T+O(1)$ and $T_{2}=2 T+O(1)$ are chosen such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\left(\sigma+i T_{1}\right) \ll(\log T)^{2}, \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}\left(\sigma+i T_{2}\right) \ll(\log T)^{2} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\sigma \in[-1,2]$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{H} \ll(\log T)^{2}\left(M\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+M^{c-1}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+M^{c-1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\right) \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking logarithmic derivative of the functional equation (3.1), we have

$$
\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s)=\frac{\Delta_{F}^{\prime}}{\Delta_{F}}(s)-\frac{\overline{F^{\prime}}}{\bar{F}}(1-s)
$$

where $\Delta_{L}(s)=w Q^{1-2 s} \prod_{j=1}^{f} \frac{\Gamma\left(\lambda_{j}(1-s)+\overline{\mu_{j}}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\lambda_{j} s+\mu_{j}\right)}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{L} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{1-c+T_{1}}^{1-c+i T_{2}} X(s) Y(1-s) \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s) d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c+i T_{1}}^{c+i T_{2}}\left\{\frac{\Delta_{F}^{\prime}}{\Delta_{F}}(s)-\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s)\right\} \bar{X}(1-s) \bar{Y}(s) d s \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{L}=K-\overline{I_{R}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
K=\overline{\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c+i T_{1}}^{c+i T_{2}} \frac{\Delta_{F}^{\prime}}{\Delta_{F}}(s) \bar{Y}(s) \bar{X}(1-s) d s}
$$

and

$$
I_{R}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c+i T_{1}}^{c+i T_{2}} \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s) \bar{Y}(s) \bar{X}(1-s) d s
$$

If $X(s)=\bar{Y}(s)$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{R}=J_{R} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Stirling's formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta_{F}^{\prime}}{\Delta_{F}}(s)=-\log \left(\lambda Q^{2}|t|^{d_{F}}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus by (5.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
K= & -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \log \left(\lambda Q^{2}|t|^{d_{F}}\right) Y(c-i t) X(1-c+i t) d t \\
& +O\left(\log T\left(M\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+M^{c-1}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+M^{c-1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\right)\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The main term in $K$, denoted by $K_{0}$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{0} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \log \left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right) \sum_{u \leq M} \frac{x_{u}}{u^{1-c+i t}} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{y_{k}}{k^{c-i t}} d t \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{u \leq M} \frac{x_{u}}{u^{1-c}} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{y_{k}}{k^{c}} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \log \left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right)\left(\frac{k}{u}\right)^{i t} d t \\
& =K_{d}+K_{n d}, \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{d}$ denotes the contribution from the diagonal terms with $k=u$, and $K_{n d}$ denotes the contribution from the off-diagonal terms with $k \neq u$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{d} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{u \leq M} \frac{x_{u} y_{u}}{u} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \log \left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right) d t \\
& =-\left(\frac{d_{F}}{2 \pi} T \log T+O(T)\right) \sum_{u \leq M} \frac{x_{u} y_{u}}{u} \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

For $K_{n d}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{n d} & \ll \sum_{\substack{u, k \leq M \\
u \neq k}} \frac{\left|x_{u} y_{k}\right|}{u^{1-c} k^{c}} \frac{\log T}{|\log k / u|} \\
& \ll \log T M^{c-1} \sum_{u \leq M}\left|x_{u}\right| \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\left|y_{k}\right|}{k^{c}}+\log T \sum_{u \leq M}\left|x_{u}\right| \sum_{u / 2 \leq k \leq 2 u} \frac{\left|y_{k}\right|}{k^{c}} \frac{u}{|k-u|} \\
& \ll \log T M^{c-1}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{k}}{k^{c}}\right\|_{1}+\log T\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \log M \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

For $J_{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{R} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c+i T_{1}}^{c+i T_{2}} X(s) Y(1-s) \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s) d s \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \sum_{u \leq M} \frac{x_{u}}{u^{c+i t}} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{y_{k}}{k^{1-c-i t}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(n)}{n^{c+i t}} d t \\
& =J_{d}+J_{n d} \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{d}$ denotes the contribution from the diagonal terms with $k=n u$, and $J_{n d}$ denotes the contribution from the off diagonal terms with $k \neq n u$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{d}=-\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}}{2 \pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{u \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(n) x_{u} y_{n u}}{n u} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $J_{n d}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{n d} & \ll \log T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right|}{n^{c}} \sum_{u \leq M} \frac{\left|x_{u}\right|}{u^{c}} \sum_{\substack{k \leq M \\
k \neq n u}} \frac{\left|y_{k}\right|}{k^{1-c}} \frac{1}{\log |k / n u|} \\
& \ll \log T \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right|}{n^{c}} \sum_{u \leq M} \frac{\left|x_{u}\right|}{u^{c}} M^{c-1} \max _{h \neq k} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\left|y_{k}\right|}{|\log (k / h)|} \\
& \ll \log T M^{c-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right|}{n^{c}}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n^{c}}\right\|_{1}\left(\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} M \log M\right) . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $c=1+\theta_{F}+\epsilon$, and combining (5.8), (5.13), (5.15), (5.16), (5.17), (5.18), and (5.19), we complete the proof.

## 6. First moment

In this section, we obtain asymptotic formulas for $S_{1}$ defined in (2.1) for $F \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ in Theorem 6.1. We will see that Theorem 6.1 is a consequence of (6.11), Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.4. We first prove (6.11), then we prove Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.4.

Theorem 6.1. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}^{*}, \psi^{*}\left(\bmod g^{*}\right)$ be as in (ii). Let $\chi$ be a primitive character modulo $q$. If $x_{n}, y_{n}$ are multiplicative and supported on squarefree integers up to $M$ whose prime factors are coprime to $B_{M}$ (defined in (iii)) and are congruent to 1 modulo $\operatorname{lcm}\left(q, g^{*}\right)$. Then there exists some
constant $c=c(F)>0$ such that uniformly for $M \leq \exp (\sqrt{\log T})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}= & \frac{T}{2 \pi}\left(\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{x_{u} y_{n u}}{n u} r_{0}(n)-\sum_{s v \leq M} \frac{x_{s} y_{s v}}{s v} r_{1}(v)\right) \\
& -\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{u \leq M} \sum_{\substack{v \leq M \\
(v, u)=1}} \frac{x_{u} y_{v} r_{3}(u)}{u v} \sum_{s \leq M} \frac{y_{s} x_{s}}{s} \\
& +O\left(T^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+T^{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left(\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} M+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}\right)\right) \\
& +O\left(q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2} \mathcal{L}^{3}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\bar{y}_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+q^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\right) \\
& +\mathcal{E}+\mathcal{E}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{0}(n)=d_{F} P_{1}\left(\log \left(\left(\lambda Q^{2}\right)^{1 / d_{F}} T\right)\right)-\left(\Lambda_{F} * 1\right)(n), \\
& r_{1}(v)=\log \left(\frac{2 q T}{\pi v e}\right) \overline{f_{-1}}+\frac{\overline{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \tau\left(\psi^{*}\right)}{\phi(q)} \overline{\mu\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \tilde{f}_{-1}}, \ell_{0}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, g^{*}\right), \\
& G(z, \bar{\chi})=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(d) \bar{\chi}(d)}{d^{z}}=\frac{f_{-1}}{z-1}+f_{0}+f_{1}(z-1)+\ldots, \\
& G\left(z, \psi^{*}\right)=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(d) \psi^{*}(d)}{d^{z}}=\frac{\tilde{f}_{-1}}{z-1}+\tilde{f}_{0}+\tilde{f}_{1}(z-1)+\ldots, \\
& r_{3}(u)=-\Lambda(u) \overline{f_{-1}}+\overline{r_{4}(u)}, \\
& r_{4}(u)=\sum_{h k=u} \mu(k)\left(\widetilde{X_{1}}(h, k q)+f_{-1} \widetilde{X}_{2}(k)\right), \\
& \widetilde{X_{1}}(h, k)=\sum_{a \mid(h, k)}^{\Lambda_{F}(a)+\sum_{p \mid h, p \nmid k} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{r}\right)}{p^{r}}(p-1),} \\
& \widetilde{X_{2}}(k)=f_{0}-\eta(1 ; k q, 1)+\left(\gamma+\sum_{p \mid k q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right) f_{-1}, \\
& \eta(1 ; k, 1)=\sum_{p \mid k} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m}}, \\
& \mathcal{E} \ll M^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} q^{1+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\frac{\tau_{3} *|y|(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{(\tau *|y|)(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}^{n}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}), \\
& \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \ll q^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T\left\|\frac{\left|x_{s} y_{s}\right|}{s}\right\|\left(\tau_{1} *|x|\right)(n) \\
& n
\end{aligned}\left\|\frac{y_{v}}{v}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) . \quad .
$$

If there exist some positive constant $a=a(\chi, F)$ such that both $F_{\psi}$ and $L(s, \chi \psi)$ have no zeros in the region $\Re(s) \geq 1-a$ for all $\psi$, then the term $\exp (-c \sqrt{\log T})$ in the error terms $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ can be replaced by $T^{-\delta+\epsilon}$ for some small enough $\delta=\delta(F, a)>0$ uniformly for $M \leq \sqrt{T}$.
6.1. Set up. First we recall the functional equations and definitions of $F(s)$ and $L(s, \chi)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(s)=\Delta_{F}(s) \bar{F}(1-s), \bar{F}(s)=\overline{F(\bar{s})}  \tag{6.1}\\
& \Delta_{F}(s)=w Q^{1-2 s} \prod_{j=1}^{f} \frac{\Gamma\left(\lambda_{j}(1-s)+\overline{\mu_{j}}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\lambda_{j} s+\mu_{j}\right)}  \tag{6.2}\\
& L(s, \chi)=B(s) L(1-s, \bar{\chi})  \tag{6.3}\\
& B(s)=\frac{\tau(\chi)}{i^{\mathfrak{a}} q^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-2 s)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-s+\mathfrak{a})\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(s+\mathfrak{a})\right)} \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathfrak{a}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0, & \text { if } \chi(-1)=1, \\
1, & \text { if } \chi(-1)=-1,
\end{array}, B(s) \overline{B(1-s)}=1\right.
$$

and

$$
\tau(\chi)=\sum_{m=1}^{q} \chi(m) e_{q}(m), \quad \tau(\bar{\chi})=\chi(-1) \overline{\tau(\chi)}
$$

From the definition of $S_{1}$ in (2.1), the functional equation (6.3) and the residue theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{1}= & \sum_{\substack{F(\rho)=0 \\
T_{1} \leq \Im \rho \leq T_{2}}} L(\rho, \chi) X(\rho) Y(1-\rho) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\bar{F}(1-\rho)=0 \\
T_{1} \leq \Im \rho \leq T_{2}}} B(\rho) L(1-\rho, \bar{\chi}) X(\rho) Y(1-\rho)  \tag{6.5}\\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\bar{F}^{\prime}}{\bar{F}}(1-s) B(s) L(1-s, \bar{\chi}) X(s) Y(1-s) d s  \tag{6.6}\\
& :=-S_{R}+S_{L}-S_{H}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is the positively oriented rectangle with vertices at $1-\kappa+i T_{1}, \kappa+i T_{1}, 1-\kappa+i T_{2}, \kappa+i T_{2}$, with $\kappa=1+O\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\right), \mathcal{L}=\log \left(\lambda Q^{2} T^{d_{F}}\right), T_{1}=T+O(1)$ and $T_{2}=2 T+O(1)$ are chosen so that the nearest zeros of $F(s)$ are $\gg \frac{1}{\log T}$ distance away, and $S_{R}, S_{L}$ and $S_{H}$ are defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{R}= & \int_{\kappa+i T_{1}}^{\kappa+i T_{2}} \frac{\bar{F}^{\prime}}{\bar{F}}(1-s) B(s) L(1-s, \bar{\chi}) X(s) Y(1-s) d s  \tag{6.7}\\
S_{L}= & \int_{1-\kappa+i T_{1}}^{1-\kappa+i T_{2}} \frac{\bar{F}^{\prime}}{\bar{F}}(1-s) B(s) L(1-s, \bar{\chi}) X(s) Y(1-s) d s  \tag{6.8}\\
S_{H}= & \int_{1-\kappa+i T_{1}}^{\kappa+i T_{1}} \frac{\bar{F}^{\prime}}{\bar{F}}(1-s) B(s) L(1-s, \bar{\chi}) X(s) Y(1-s) d s \\
& -\int_{1-\kappa+i T_{2}}^{\kappa+i T_{2}} \frac{\bar{F}^{\prime}}{\bar{F}}(1-s) B(s) L(1-s, \bar{\chi}) X(s) Y(1-s) d s \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By Stirling's formula, for $t>0$, equation (6.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{F}(s)=\left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-i t} \exp \left(i t d_{F}+\frac{i \pi\left(\mu-d_{F}\right)}{4}\right)\left(w+O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mu=2 \sum_{j=1}^{f}\left(1-2 \Re \mu_{j}\right), \quad \lambda=\prod_{j=1}^{f} \lambda_{j}^{2 \lambda_{j}} .
$$

6.2. Horizontal Integral. From Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and (6.10), we have the bounds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(\sigma+i t) \ll_{F} \log ^{2} t \\
& B(\sigma+i t) \ll t^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \\
& L(\sigma+i t, \chi) \ll \frac{t^{\frac{1-\sigma}{2}}}{1-\sigma}, 1 / 2 \leq \sigma \leq 1-\frac{A}{\log T} \\
& L(\sigma+i t, \chi) \ll \log t, \sigma>1-\frac{A}{\log t}, \\
& L(\sigma+i t, \chi)=B(\sigma) L(1-\sigma-i t, \chi) \ll t^{1 / 2} \log t,-\frac{A}{\log t}<\sigma<0 \\
& X(s) \ll M^{1-\sigma}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}, 1-\kappa \leq \sigma \leq \kappa \\
& Y(1-s) \ll M^{\sigma}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}, 1-\kappa \leq \sigma \leq \kappa
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the horizontal integral $S_{H}(6.9)$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M T^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} \log ^{3} T \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.3. Right integral. By taking the logarithmic derivative of the functional equation of $F(s)$, we find that

$$
\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s)=\frac{\Delta_{F}^{\prime}}{\Delta_{F}}(s)-\frac{\bar{F}^{\prime}}{\bar{F}}(1-s),
$$

and so the right integral $S_{R}$ defined in (6.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{R}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\kappa+i T_{1}}^{\kappa+i T_{2}}\left\{\frac{\Delta_{F}^{\prime}}{\Delta_{F}}(s)-\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s)\right\} L(s, \chi) X(s) Y(1-s) d s \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we use the following lemma to evaluate $S_{R}$.
Lemma 6.2. Set $D(s):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} n^{-s}$. Suppose that there exists $\alpha>0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\alpha_{n}\right| n^{-\sigma} \ll$ $(\sigma-1)^{-\alpha}$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 1$. Suppose that $\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \ll n^{\theta_{D}+\epsilon}$. Then for $M \leq T$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{k}(T):= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\kappa+i T_{1}}^{\kappa+i T_{2}}\left(\frac{\Delta_{F}^{\prime}(s)}{\Delta_{F}(s)}\right)^{k} D(s) X(s) Y(1-s) d s \\
= & \frac{(-1)^{k} d_{F}^{k} T P_{k}\left(\log \left(\left(\lambda Q^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_{F}}} T\right)\right)}{2 \pi} \sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{\alpha_{n} x_{u} y_{n u}}{n u} \\
& +O_{k}\left(T^{\theta_{D}+\epsilon}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+T^{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left(\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} M+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From (6.10), we have

$$
\frac{\Delta_{F}^{\prime}}{\Delta_{F}}(s)=-\log \left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)
$$

for $1 / 2 \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $t \geq 1, \kappa=1+1 / \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}=\log \left(\lambda Q^{2} T^{d_{F}}\right)$. Thus,

$$
J_{k}(T)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\kappa+i T_{1}}^{\kappa+i T_{2}}\left(\left(-\log \left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right)\right)^{k}+O_{k}\left(\mathcal{L}^{k-1} t^{-1}\right)\right) D(s) X(s) Y(1-s) d s
$$

The error terms contribute at most

$$
\frac{1}{T} \mathcal{L}^{k-1} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\alpha_{n}\right|}{n^{\kappa}} \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|x_{u}\right|}{u} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty}\left|y_{v}\right| d t \ll \mathcal{L}^{\alpha+k}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}
$$

Changing the order of summation and integration gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{k}(T) & =\sum_{n, u, v} \frac{\alpha_{n} x_{u} y_{v}(-1)^{k}}{n^{\kappa} u^{\kappa} v^{1-\kappa} 2 \pi} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}}\left(\log \left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right)\right)^{k}\left(\frac{v}{n u}\right)^{i t} d t+O_{k}\left(T^{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}\right) \\
& :=J_{d}+J_{n d}+O_{k}\left(T^{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s=\kappa+i t, J_{d}$ consists of the diagonal terms with $v=n u$, and $J_{n d}$ consists of the off-diagonal terms with $v \neq n u$. Note that

$$
\int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \log ^{k}\left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right) d t=d_{F}^{k} T P_{k}\left(\log \left(\left(\lambda Q^{2}\right)^{1 / d_{F}} T\right)\right)+O_{k}\left(T^{\epsilon}\right)
$$

where $P_{k}$ is a monic polynomial of degree $k$. Since $\left|\alpha_{n}\right| \ll T^{\theta_{D}+\epsilon}$, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{d} & =\frac{(-1)^{k}}{2 \pi} \sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{\alpha_{n} x_{u} y_{n u}}{n u} \int_{T}^{2 T} \log ^{k}\left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right) d t \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{k} d_{F}^{k} T P_{k}\left(\log \left(\left(\lambda Q^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_{F}}} T\right)\right)}{2 \pi} \sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{\alpha_{n} x_{u} y_{n u}}{n u}+O_{k}\left(T^{\theta_{D}+\epsilon}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the off-diagonal terms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{n d} & =\sum_{n, u, v, v \neq n u} \frac{(-1)^{k} \alpha_{n} x_{u} y_{v}}{n^{\kappa} u^{\kappa} v^{1-\kappa} 2 \pi} \int_{T}^{2 T} \log ^{k}\left(\lambda Q^{2} t^{d_{F}}\right)\left(\frac{v}{n u}\right)^{i t} d t \\
& \ll \mathcal{L}^{k+\alpha}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} \sum_{v \leq M, v \neq n u} \frac{\left|y_{v}\right|}{v^{1-\kappa}|\log (v / n u)|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it is enough to consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{h} \sum_{v \leq M, v \neq h} \frac{\left|y_{v}\right|}{v^{1-\kappa}|\log (v / h)|} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $h \geq 2 M$, we see that (6.13) can be bounded by $\left\|y_{n}\right\|$ since $\kappa=1+O\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1}\right)$. For $h \leq 2 M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \leq M, v \neq h} \frac{\left|y_{v}\right|}{|\log (v / h)|} & \ll \sum_{\substack{v \leq M \\
|v / h|>3 / 2 \\
o r|v / h|<1 / 2}}\left|y_{v}\right|+\sum_{\substack{v \leq M \\
1 / 2 \leq|v / h| \leq 3 / 2}} \frac{\left|y_{v}\right|}{|\log (v / h)|} \\
& \ll\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{s \leq h / 2}\left(\frac{1}{|\log (h /(h-s))|}+\frac{1}{|\log (h /(h+s))|}\right) \\
& \ll\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{s \leq v / 2} \frac{h}{s} \\
& \ll\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} M \log M .
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
J_{n d} \ll \mathcal{L}^{k+\alpha}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left(\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} M \log M\right)
$$

which completes the proof.

Theorem 6.3. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$. If $x_{n}$ and $y_{n}$ are coefficients supported on integers $n \leq M \leq T$, then the right integral $S_{R}$ defined in (6.7) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{R}= & \frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{\chi(n) x_{u} y_{n u}}{n u}\left(-d_{F} P_{1}\left(\log \left(\left(\lambda Q^{2}\right)^{1 / d_{F}} T\right)\right)+\sum_{d \mid n} \Lambda_{F}(d) \bar{\chi}(d)\right) \\
& +O\left(T^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+T^{\epsilon}\left\|\frac{x_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}\left(\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} M+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 6.2 with $k=1, \alpha=\chi$ and $k=0, \alpha=\Lambda_{F} * \chi$. The assumptions in Lemma 6.2 can be verified from Lemma 3.2.
6.4. Left integral. In this section, we prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 6.4. Let $F, \psi^{*}\left(\bmod g^{*}\right)$ and $\chi(\bmod q)$ be as before. If $x, y$ are multiplicative functions supported on squarefree integers up to $M$ whose prime factors are coprime to $B_{M}$ and are congruent to 1 modulo $\operatorname{lcm}\left(q, g^{*}\right)$. Then uniformly for $M \leq \exp (\sqrt{\log T})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{L}= & -\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{s u \leq M} \frac{x_{s u}}{s u} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{y_{s v}}{v}\left(\delta(u) \log \left(\frac{2 q T}{\pi v e}\right) \overline{f_{-1}}-\Lambda(u) \overline{f_{-1}}\right) \\
& -\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{s u \leq M} \frac{x_{s u}}{s u} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{y_{s v}}{v} \sum_{h k=u} \mu(k)\left(\overline{\widetilde{X}_{1}(h, k)}+\overline{f_{-1} \widetilde{X_{2}}(k)}\right) \\
& -\frac{\overline{\tau(\bar{\chi})} \tau\left(\psi^{*}\right) T}{2 \pi} \overline{L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right)} \tilde{f}_{-1} \frac{\mu\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) \psi\left(q / \ell_{0}\right)}{\phi(q)} \sum_{s \leq M} \frac{x_{s}}{s} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{y_{s v}}{v} \\
& +O\left(q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2} \mathcal{L}^{3}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\bar{y}_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+q^{\left.1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon T^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\right)}\right. \\
& +\mathcal{E}+\mathcal{E}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell_{0}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, g^{*}\right), g^{*}=\ell_{0} g_{0}, \\
& \delta(u)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u=1, \\
0, & \text { if } u>1,\end{cases} \\
& G(z, \psi)=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(d) \psi(d)}{d^{z}}, \quad f_{-1}=\operatorname{Res}_{z=1} G(z, \bar{\chi}), \quad f_{0}=\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} G(z, \bar{\chi})-\frac{f_{-1}}{z-1}, \\
& \eta(z ; p ; l, \psi)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{l+m}\right) \psi\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m z}}, \quad \eta(z ; k, \psi)=\sum_{p \mid k} \eta(z ; p, 0, \psi), \\
& \widetilde{f}_{-1}=\operatorname{Res}_{z=1} G\left(z, \psi^{*}\right), \\
& \widetilde{X}_{1}(h, k)=\sum_{a \mid(h, k)} \Lambda_{F}(a) \bar{\chi}(a)+\sum_{p^{l} \mid h, p \nmid k} \bar{\chi}\left(p^{l}\right) \eta(1 ; p, l, \bar{\chi})\left(1-p^{-1}\right), \\
& \widetilde{X}_{2}(k)=f_{0}-\eta(1 ; k q, \bar{\chi})+\left(\gamma+\sum_{p \mid k q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right) f_{-1}, \\
& \mathcal{E} \ll M^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} q^{1+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|\frac{\tau_{3} *|y|(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{(\tau *|y|)(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}), \\
& \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \ll q^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T\left\|\frac{\left|x_{s} y_{s}\right|}{s}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\left(\tau_{3} *|x|\right)(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{v}}{v}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If there exist some positive constant $a=a(\chi, F)$ such that both $F_{\psi}$ and $L(s, \chi \psi)$ have no zeros in the region $\Re(s) \geq 1-a$ for all $\psi$, then the term $\exp (-c \sqrt{\log T})$ in the error terms $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ can be replaced by $T^{-\delta+\epsilon}$ for some small enough $\delta=\delta(F, a)>0$ uniformly for $M \leq \sqrt{T}$.
6.4.1. Initial Manipulations. The integral on the left (6.8) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{L} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{1-\kappa+i T_{1}}^{1-\kappa+i T_{2}} \frac{\bar{F}^{\prime}}{\bar{F}}(1-s) B(s) L(1-s, \bar{\chi}) X(s) Y(1-s) d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \frac{\bar{F}^{\prime}}{\bar{F}}(\kappa-i t) B(1-\kappa+i t) L(\kappa-i t, \bar{\chi}) X(1-\kappa+i t) Y(\kappa-i t) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(\kappa+i t) \bar{B}(1-\kappa-i t) L(\kappa+i t, \chi) \bar{X}(1-\kappa-i t) \bar{Y}(\kappa+i t) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\kappa+i T_{1}}^{\kappa+i T_{2}} \frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s) \bar{B}(1-s) L(s, \chi) \bar{X}(1-s) \bar{Y}(s) d s \\
& :=\overline{I_{L}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{B}(s)=\overline{B(\bar{s})}, \bar{X}(s)=\overline{X(\bar{s})}$ and $\bar{Y}(s)=\overline{Y(\bar{s})}$. Let

$$
\frac{F^{\prime}}{F}(s) L(s, \chi) \bar{Y}(s)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a(m) m^{-s}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(m)=-\sum_{u v w=m} \Lambda_{F}(u) \chi(v) \bar{y}_{w} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
I_{L}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a(m) \int_{\kappa+i T_{1}}^{\kappa+i T_{2}} \bar{B}(1-s)\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^{-s} d s
$$

Lemma 6.5. Let $x_{n}, y_{n}$ be supported on $n \leq M \leq T$. Then,

$$
I_{L}=\mathcal{M}+O\left(q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2} \mathcal{L}^{3}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\bar{y}_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1}+q^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{m=\left\lceil\frac{k q T}{2 \pi}\right\rceil}^{\frac{k q T}{\pi}} a(m) e\left(-\frac{m}{k q}\right) . \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Lemma 6.5, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 6.6. For large $A$ and $A<B \leq 2 A$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A}^{B} \exp \left(i t \log \left(\frac{t}{r e}\right)\right)\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} d t \\
= & \begin{cases}(2 \pi)^{1-a} r^{a} e^{-i r+\pi i / 4}+E_{a}(r, A, B), & \text { if } A<r \leq B \leq 2 A, \\
E_{a}(r, A, B), & \text { if } r \leq A \text { or } r>B,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a$ is a fixed real number and

$$
E_{a}(r, A, B)=O\left(A^{a-\frac{1}{2}}\right)+O\left(\frac{A^{a+\frac{1}{2}}}{|A-r|+A^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)+O\left(\frac{B^{a+\frac{1}{2}}}{|B-r|+B^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)
$$

Proof. This is Lemma 2 in [26].

Lemma 6.7. Let $r, \kappa_{0}>0, T_{1}=T+O(1)$ and $T_{2}=2 T+O(1)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\kappa+i T_{1}}^{\kappa+i T_{2}} \bar{B}(1-s) r^{-s} d s=\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \delta_{q}(r) e_{q}(-r)+O\left(E(r, \kappa, T) r^{-\kappa} q^{\kappa-1 / 2}\right) \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\kappa_{0} \leq \kappa \leq 2$, where $\delta_{q}(r)=1$ if $T_{1} / 2 \pi<r / q \leq T_{2} / 2 \pi$ and 0 otherwise, and $E(r, \kappa, T)=$ $E_{\kappa}\left(\frac{2 \pi r}{q}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right) \ll T^{\kappa-\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{T^{\kappa+\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|T-\frac{2 \pi r}{q}\right|+T^{1 / 2}}+\frac{T^{\kappa+\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|T-\frac{\pi r}{q}\right|+T^{1 / 2}}$.
Proof. From (6.4) and (6.10),

$$
B(\sigma+i t)=\left(\frac{q|t|}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-i t} \exp \left(i t+\operatorname{sign}(t) \frac{i \pi(1-2 \mathfrak{a})}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\tau(\chi)}{i^{\mathfrak{a}} q^{\frac{1}{2}}}+O\left(\frac{1}{|t|}\right)\right)
$$

Applying Lemma 6.6, for $T_{1}<2 \pi r / q \leq T_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\kappa+i T_{1}}^{\kappa+i T_{2}} \bar{B}(1-s) r^{-s} d s \\
= & \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{i \pi(1-2 \mathfrak{a})}{4}\right)}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\overline{\tau(\chi)}}{i^{-\mathfrak{a}} q^{\frac{1}{2}}}+O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right) \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}}\left(\frac{q t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\kappa-\frac{1}{2}+i t} r^{-\kappa-i t} \exp (-i t) d t \\
= & \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{i \pi(1-2 \mathfrak{a})}{4}\right)}{2 \pi r^{\kappa}}\left(\frac{\overline{\tau(\chi)}}{i^{-\mathfrak{a}} q^{\frac{1}{2}}}+O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right) \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}}\left(\frac{q t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\kappa-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(i t \log \left(\frac{q t}{2 \pi r e}\right)\right) d t \\
= & \frac{i^{\mathfrak{a}}}{2 \pi r^{\kappa}} q^{\kappa-\frac{1}{2}}(2 \pi)^{1-\kappa}\left(\frac{2 \pi r}{q}\right)^{\kappa} \exp (-2 \pi i r / q) \frac{\overline{\tau(\chi)}}{i^{-\mathfrak{a}} q^{\frac{1}{2}}}+E_{\kappa}\left(\frac{2 \pi r}{q}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right) r^{-\kappa} q^{\kappa-1 / 2} \\
= & \frac{\chi(-1) \overline{\tau(\chi)}}{q} \exp \left(-2 \pi i \frac{r}{q}\right)+E_{\kappa}\left(\frac{2 \pi r}{q}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right) r^{-\kappa} q^{\kappa-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
E_{\kappa}\left(\frac{2 \pi r}{q}, T_{1}, T_{2}\right) \ll T^{\kappa-\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{T^{\kappa+\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|T-\frac{2 \pi r}{q}\right|+T^{1 / 2}}+\frac{T^{\kappa+\frac{1}{2}}}{\left|T-\frac{\pi r}{q}\right|+T^{1 / 2}}
$$

since $T_{1}=T+O(1)$ and $T_{2}=2 T+O(1)$.
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 6.5]
Applying Lemma 6.7, we write

$$
I_{L}=\mathcal{M}+\mathcal{E}_{0}+\mathcal{E}_{1}+\mathcal{E}_{2}+\mathcal{E}_{3}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M} & =\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{m=\left\lceil\frac{k q T}{2 \pi}\right\rceil}^{\frac{k q T}{\pi}} a(m) e\left(-\frac{m}{k q}\right),  \tag{6.17}\\
\mathcal{E}_{0} & \ll q^{-1 / 2} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right|}{k} k q(k q T)^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|y_{k}\right\|_{\infty} \ll q^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon}(M T)^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty},  \tag{6.18}\\
\mathcal{E}_{1} & \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2} \sum_{k \leq M}\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a(m)|}{m^{\kappa}},  \tag{6.19}\\
\mathcal{E}_{2} & \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{3 / 2} \sum_{k \leq M}\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a(m)|}{m^{\kappa}}\left(\left|T-\frac{2 \pi m}{q k}\right|+T^{1 / 2}\right)^{-1}  \tag{6.20}\\
\mathcal{E}_{3} & \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{3 / 2} \sum_{k \leq M}\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a(m)|}{m^{\kappa}}\left(\left|T-\frac{\pi m}{q k}\right|+T^{1 / 2}\right)^{-1} \tag{6.21}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\mathcal{E}_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{1} \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1} \sum_{m \leq M} \frac{\left|\bar{y}_{m}\right|}{m^{\kappa}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right|}{n^{\kappa}} \zeta(\kappa) \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2} \mathcal{L}^{3}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\bar{y}_{m}}{m}\right\|_{1} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimate for $\mathcal{E}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{3}$ is similar and we focus on $\mathcal{E}_{2}$. We write $\mathcal{E}_{2}=\mathcal{E}_{21}+\mathcal{E}_{22}+\mathcal{E}_{23}$ corresponding to the following cases
(a) $\left|T-\frac{2 \pi m}{q k}\right|>T / 2$;
(b) $\sqrt{T} \leq\left|T-\frac{2 \pi m}{q k}\right| \leq T / 2$;
(c) $\left|T-\frac{2 \pi m}{q k}\right| \leq \sqrt{T}$.

In case (a), we have

$$
\mathcal{E}_{21} \ll q^{1 / 2} \frac{T^{3 / 2}}{T} \sum_{k \leq M}\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a(m)|}{m^{\kappa}} \ll \mathcal{E}_{1} \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2} \mathcal{L}^{3}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\bar{y}_{m}}{m}\right\|_{1}
$$

In case (b), without loss of generality we can assume $\sqrt{T} \leq \frac{2 \pi m}{q k}-T \leq T / 2$. We can divide this range into $\ll \log T$ intervals of the form $T+P<2 \pi m / k q \leq T+2 P$ with $\sqrt{T} \ll P \ll T$. Thus, $m$ lies in intervals of the form $I:=\left[\frac{q k}{2 \pi}(T+P), \frac{q k}{2 \pi}(T+2 P)\right]$. From (6.14), we have $|a(m)|=$ $\left|\sum_{u v w=m} \Lambda_{F}(u) \chi(v) \bar{y}_{w}\right| \ll d_{F} \tau_{3}(m) m^{\theta_{F}} \log m\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}$, and this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{22} & \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{3 / 2} \sum_{k \leq M}\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right| \sum_{P} \sum_{m \in I} \frac{|a(m)|}{m^{\kappa} P} \\
& \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{3 / 2} \sum_{P} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right|}{q k} \sum_{m \in I} \frac{|a(m)|}{T P} \\
& \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{P} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right|}{q k P} \sum_{m \in I} \tau_{3}(m) m^{\theta_{F}} \log m \\
& \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{P} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right|}{q k P} q k P(q M T)^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} \\
& \ll q^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For case (c), we have $\left|T-\frac{2 \pi m}{q k}\right| \leq \sqrt{T}$, thus $m$ lies in intervals of the form

$$
J:=\left[\frac{q k}{2 \pi}(T-\sqrt{T}), \frac{q k}{2 \pi}(T+\sqrt{T})\right]
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{23} & \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{3 / 2} \sum_{k \leq M}\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right| \sum_{m \in J} \frac{|a(m)|}{q k T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \\
& \ll q^{1 / 2}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \sum_{k \leq M}\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right| \sum_{m \in J} \frac{\tau_{3}(m) m^{\theta_{F}} \log m}{q k} \\
& \ll q^{1 / 2}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1} \frac{1}{q k} q k \sqrt{T}(q M T)^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} \\
& \ll q^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining all three cases, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{2} \ll q^{1 / 2} T^{1 / 2} \mathcal{L}^{3}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\bar{y}_{m}}{m}\right\|_{1}+q^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T^{1 / 2+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1} \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.5 thus follows from (6.17), (6.22), and (6.23).
6.4.2. Main Term Set up. We want to evaluate the main term $\mathcal{M}$, which is given in (6.15) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{m=\left\lceil\frac{k q T}{2 \pi}\right\rceil}^{\frac{k q T}{\pi}} a(m) e\left(-\frac{m}{k q}\right) \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $\frac{m}{q k}=\frac{m^{\prime}}{k^{\prime}}$, where $\left(m^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(-\frac{m}{q k}\right)=\frac{1}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{\psi} \tau\left(\overline{\left.\bmod k^{\prime}\right)}<\bar{\psi}^{\prime} \psi\left(-m^{\prime}\right)\right. \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we write the sum over $\psi$ in terms of primitive characters. If $\psi \bmod k^{\prime}$ is induced by the primitive character $\tilde{\psi} \bmod g$, then (cf. [18, p. 67])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(\psi)=\mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g}\right) \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g}\right) \tau(\tilde{\psi}) \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
e\left(-\frac{m}{q k}\right) & =\frac{1}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{\psi \bmod } \tau\left(\overline{k^{\prime}}\right) \psi\left(-m^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{g \mid k^{\prime}} \sum_{\tilde{\psi}}^{*} \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g}\right) \overline{\tilde{\psi}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g}\right) \tau(\overline{\tilde{\psi}}) \tilde{\psi}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)  \tag{6.27}\\
& =\frac{\tau(\chi) \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{q}\right) \chi\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{q}\right) \bar{\chi}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} 1_{q \mid k^{\prime}}  \tag{6.28}\\
& +\frac{\tau\left(\overline{\psi^{*}}\right) \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g^{*}}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g^{*}}\right) \psi^{*}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} 1_{g^{*} \mid k^{\prime}}  \tag{6.29}\\
& +\frac{1}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \sum_{g \mid k^{\prime}} \sum_{\tilde{\psi}(\bmod g)}^{*} \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g}\right) \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g}\right) \tau\left(\overline{\tilde{\psi}}, \psi^{*}\right) \tilde{\psi}\left(-m^{\prime}\right) \tag{6.30}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sum^{*}$ denotes the sum is over primitive characters. Using the Möbius inversion formula for an arbitrary function $f$ (cf. [17, eq. (5.10) ]), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(m^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right) & =f\left(\frac{m}{(m, k q)}, \frac{k q}{(m, k q)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{d \mid(m, k q)} \sum_{e \mid d} \mu\left(\frac{d}{e}\right) f\left(\frac{m}{e}, \frac{k q}{e}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e\left(-\frac{m}{q k}\right)-\frac{\tau(\chi) \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{q}\right) \chi\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{q}\right) \bar{\chi}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} 1_{q \mid k^{\prime}}-\frac{\tau\left(\overline{\psi^{*}}\right) \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g}\right) \psi^{*}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)}}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} 1_{g^{*} \mid k^{\prime}} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{d|m \\
d| k q}} \sum_{e \mid d} \frac{\mu(d / e)}{\phi(k q / e)} \sum_{g \mid k q / e} \sum_{\substack{\bmod g \\
\psi \neq \bar{\chi} \\
\psi \neq \psi^{*}}}^{*} \mu\left(\frac{k q}{e g}\right) \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{k q}{e g}\right) \tau(\bar{\psi}) \psi\left(-\frac{m}{e}\right) \\
& =\sum_{g \mid k q} \sum_{\substack{(\bmod g) \\
\psi \neq \bar{\chi} \\
\psi \neq \psi^{*}}} \tau(\bar{\psi}) \sum_{\substack{d|m \\
d| k q}} \sum_{e \mid k q / g}^{e \mid d} \\
& =\sum_{g \mid k q} \frac{\mu(d / e)}{\phi(k q / e)} \bar{\psi}\left(-\frac{k q}{e g}\right) \psi\left(\frac{m}{e}\right) \mu\left(\frac{k q}{e g}\right) \\
& \sum_{\substack{(\bmod g) \\
\psi \neq \bar{\chi} \\
\psi \neq \psi^{*}}}^{*} \tau(\bar{\psi}) \sum_{\substack{d|m \\
d| k q}} \psi\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) \delta(g, k q, d, \psi)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(g, k q, d, \psi)=\sum_{\substack{e|d \\ e| k q / g}} \frac{\mu(d / e)}{\phi(k q / e)} \bar{\psi}\left(-\frac{k q}{e g}\right) \psi\left(\frac{d}{e}\right) \mu\left(\frac{k q}{e g}\right) . \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $\mathcal{M}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\chi}}+\mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}+\mathcal{E} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{M}_{\bar{\chi}}=\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{k q T / 2 \pi \leq m \leq k q T / \pi} a(m) \frac{\left.\tau(\chi) \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{q}\right) \chi\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{q}\right)\right) \bar{\chi}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} 1_{q \mid k^{\prime}}  \tag{6.33}\\
& \mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}=\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{k q T / 2 \pi \leq m \leq k q T / \pi} a(m) \frac{\tau\left(\overline{\psi^{*}}\right) \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g^{*}}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g^{*}}\right) \psi^{*}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)}}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} 1_{g^{*} \mid k^{\prime}}  \tag{6.34}\\
& \mathcal{E}=\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{k q T / 2 \pi \leq m \leq k q T / \pi} a(m) \sum_{\ell \mid q} \sum_{g \mid k} \sum_{\substack{(\bmod g \ell) \\
\psi \neq \bar{\chi} \\
\psi \neq \psi^{*}}}^{*} \tau(\bar{\psi}) \sum_{\substack{d|m \\
d| k q}} \psi\left(\frac{m}{d}\right) \delta(g \ell, k q, d, \psi) . \tag{6.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have used the fact that $(k, q)=1$ to rewrite $\psi(\bmod g)$ with $g \mid k q$ as $\psi(\bmod g \ell)$ with $\ell \mid q$ and $g \mid k$ in $\mathcal{E}$. To evaluate (6.33), (6.34) and (6.35), we need the following lemma.
Lemma 6.8. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$, $\chi$ be a non-real primitive character modulo $q>1$ and $\psi$ a primitive character modulo $g$. If $g$ is squarefree, $g \leq Q$ and $\left(g, B_{Q}\right)=1$, then for positive integers $h, k$ and $Q \leq \exp (2 \sqrt{\log x})$, we have

$$
\sum_{\substack{u \leq x \\(u, k)=1}} \Lambda_{F} * \chi(h u) \psi(u)=R(x, h, k, \psi)+O\left(h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h) j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3} x \exp (-c \sqrt{\log x})\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(x, h, k, \psi) \\
&= \begin{cases}\frac{\phi(k)(k, q)}{k \phi((k, q))} \frac{\phi(q)}{q} x\left(f_{-1} \chi(h) \log (x / e)+X_{1}(h, k)+\chi(h) X_{2}(k)\right), & \text { if } \psi=\bar{\chi} \\
\chi(h) x \Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi) L(1, \chi \psi) f_{-1}, & \text { if } \psi \neq \bar{\chi}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& G(z, \psi)=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(d) \psi(d)}{d^{z}}, \\
& f_{-1}=\operatorname{Res}_{z=1} G(z), \quad f_{0}=\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} G(z)-\frac{f_{-1}}{z-1}, \\
& \eta(z ; p, l, \psi)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{l+m}\right) \psi\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m z}}, \quad \eta(z ; k, \psi)=\sum_{p \mid k} \eta(z, p, 0, \psi), \\
& X_{1}(h, k)=\sum_{a \mid(h, k)} \Lambda_{F}(a) \chi(h / a)+\sum_{\substack{p^{l}|h, p| q \\
(p, k)=1}} \chi\left(h / p^{l}\right) \Lambda_{F}\left(p^{l}\right) \\
& \\
& \quad+\chi(h) \sum_{\substack{p^{l} \mid h \\
(p, k q)=1}} \bar{\chi}\left(p^{l}\right) \eta(1 ; p, l, \psi)\left(1-p^{-1}\right), \\
& X_{2}(k)=f_{0}-\eta(1 ; k, \psi)+\left(\gamma+\sum_{p \mid k q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right) f_{-1},  \tag{6.36}\\
& j(n)=\prod_{p \mid n}\left(1+10 p^{-1 / 2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $c$ is some positive absolute constant depending only on $F$ and $\chi$. If there exists some absolute constant $a>0$ such that $L(s, \chi \psi)$ and $F_{\psi}$ have no zeros in the region $\Re(s) \geq 1-a$ for all $\psi$, then the error term can be replaced by

$$
O\left(h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h) j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3} x^{1-\delta+\epsilon}\right),
$$

for some small enough $\delta=\delta(F, a)>0$ uniformly for $Q \leq x$.
To prove Lemma 6.8, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 6.9 (Decomposition of convolutions, [38, Lemma 6.1]). Let $j, D \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{j}$ be arithmetic functions. Given a decomposition of integers $D=\prod_{i=1}^{j} d_{i}$, define the integers $D_{i}=$ $\prod_{u=1}^{j-i} d_{u}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j-1$ and $D_{j}=1$. Then we have the following identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\substack{m \leq X \\
(m, k)=1}}\left(f_{1} * \cdots * f_{j}\right)(m D)=\sum_{d_{1} \cdots d_{j}=D} \sum_{\substack{m_{1} \cdots m_{j} \leq X \\
\left(m_{i}, k D_{i}\right)=1}} f_{1}\left(m_{1} d_{j}\right) f_{2}\left(m_{2} d_{j-1}\right) \cdots f_{j}\left(m_{j} d_{1}\right),  \tag{6.37}\\
& \sum_{(m, k)=1} \frac{\left(f_{1} * \cdots * f_{j}\right)(m D)}{m^{s}}=\sum_{d_{1} d_{2} \cdots d_{j}=D} \prod_{i=1}^{j} \sum_{\left(m_{i}, k D_{i}\right)=1} \frac{f_{i}\left(m_{i} d_{j-i+1}\right)}{m_{i}^{s}} \tag{6.38}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 6.10 (A variant of Perron's Formula, [35, Theorem 2.1]). Let $f(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} n^{-s}$ be $a$ Dirichlet series with abscissa of absolute convergence $\sigma_{a}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\sigma)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n}\right|}{n^{\sigma}} \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\sigma>\sigma_{a}$. Then for $b>\sigma_{a}, x \geq 2, U \geq 2$, and $H \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x} a_{n}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{b-i U}^{b+i U} f(s) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s+O\left(\sum_{x-x / H \leq n \leq x+x / H}\left|a_{n}\right|\right)+O\left(\frac{x^{b} H B(b)}{U}\right) \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.11 (Dirichlet's Hyperbola Principle in short intervals, [12, Lemma 4.1]). Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1 \leq \max \left(y, \frac{x}{y}\right) \leq z \leq x$. Then for any arithmetic function $f$ and $g$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \leq n \leq x+y}(f * g)(n) & =\sum_{d \leq z} f(d) \sum_{\frac{x}{d} \leq k \leq \frac{x+y}{d}} g(k)+\sum_{k \leq x / z} \sum_{\frac{x}{k} \leq d \leq \frac{x+y}{k}} g(d) \\
& +O\left(\max _{k \leq 2 x / z}|g(k)| \sum_{z \leq d \leq z(1+y / x)}|f(d)|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we are ready to prove Lemma 6.8.
Proof. [Proof of Lemma 6.8] Let

$$
A(z ; h, \psi)=A(z)=\sum_{\substack{u=1 \\(u, k)=1}}^{\infty} \Lambda_{F} * \chi(h u) \psi(u) u^{-z}
$$

From Lemma 3.2, we have for $\kappa=1+O\left((\log x)^{-1}\right)$ and $h \leq x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F} * \chi(h u) \psi(u)\right|}{u^{\kappa}} & \leq \sum_{u} \frac{\left(\left|\Lambda_{F}\right| * 1\right)(h u)}{u^{\kappa}}=\sum_{h_{1} h_{2}=h} \sum_{\left(u_{1}, h_{1}\right)=1} \frac{1}{u_{1}^{\kappa}} \sum_{u_{2}} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}\left(u_{2} h_{1}\right)\right|}{u_{2}^{\kappa}} \\
& \ll \tau(h) h^{\kappa} \sum_{n} \frac{\left(\left|\Lambda_{F}\right| * 1\right)(n)}{n^{\kappa}} \ll \tau(h) h(\log x)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, taking $b=\kappa$ and $H=\sqrt{U}$ in Lemma 6.10, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{u \leq x \\
(u, k)=1}} \Lambda_{F} * \chi(h u) \psi(u) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\kappa-i U}^{\kappa+i U} A(z) x^{z} \frac{d z}{z}+O\left(\sum_{x-x / \sqrt{U} \leq u \leq x+x / \sqrt{U}}\left|\Lambda_{F} * \chi(h u) \psi(u)\right|\right)+O\left(\frac{\tau(h) h x(\log x)^{2}}{\sqrt{U}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x-x / \sqrt{U} \leq u \leq x+x / \sqrt{U}}\left|\Lambda_{F} * \chi(h u) \psi(u)\right| \\
& \ll \sum_{x-x / \sqrt{U} \leq n \leq x+x / \sqrt{U}} \sum_{d \mid h n}\left|\Lambda_{F}(d)\right| \\
& \ll \sum_{x-x / \sqrt{U} \leq n \leq x+x / \sqrt{U}}\left(\sum_{d \mid h}\left|\Lambda_{F}(d)\right|+\sum_{d \mid n}\left|\Lambda_{F}(d)\right|\right) \\
& \ll \frac{x}{\sqrt{U}} \tau(h) h^{\theta_{F}} \log h+\sum_{x-x / \sqrt{U} \leq n \leq x+x / \sqrt{U}}\left|\left(1 * \Lambda_{F}\right)(n)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using property (iv) of $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and partial summation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right|}{n} \leq\left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(n)\left|\lambda_{F}(n)\right|^{2}}{n}\right)^{1 / 2} \ll \log x \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have, from property (v) of $\mathcal{S}^{*}$, that for $x>_{F} U^{c}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \leq n \leq x+\frac{x}{\sqrt{U}}}\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right| \ll \sum_{x \leq n \leq x e^{1 / \sqrt{U}}}\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right| \ll \frac{x}{\sqrt{U}} \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply Lemma 6.11 with $f=\left|\Lambda_{F}\right|, g=1, y=z=2 x / \sqrt{U}$. Then for $\eta=\eta(F)>0$ small enough, we have uniformly for $U \leq x^{\eta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \gg U^{c}, z \gg\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{c} \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x-x / \sqrt{U} \leq n \leq x+x / \sqrt{U}}\left|\left(\Lambda_{F} * 1\right)(n)\right| \\
& =\sum_{d \leq z}\left|\Lambda_{F}(d)\right| \sum_{\frac{x-x / \sqrt{U}}{d} \leq k \leq \frac{x+x / \sqrt{U}}{d}} 1+\sum_{k \leq x / z \frac{x-x / \sqrt{U}}{k} \leq d \leq \frac{x+x / \sqrt{U}}{k}}\left|\Lambda_{F}(d)\right|+O\left(\sum_{z \leq d \leq z\left(1+\frac{y}{x-\frac{x}{\sqrt{U}}}\right)}\left|\Lambda_{F}(d)\right|\right) \\
& \ll y \sum_{d \leq z} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}(d)\right|}{d}+\sum_{k \leq x / z} \frac{y}{k}+O\left(\frac{y z}{x-x / \sqrt{U}}\right) \\
& \ll y \log z+y \log x+z \\
& \ll \frac{x}{\sqrt{U}} \log x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for $h \leq x$ and $U \leq x^{\eta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{u \leq x \\(u, \bar{k})=1}} \Lambda_{F} * \chi(h u) \psi(u)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\kappa-i U}^{\kappa+i U} A(z) x^{z} \frac{d z}{z}+O\left(\tau(h) h \frac{x(\log x)^{2}}{\sqrt{U}}\right) \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 6.9, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z)=\sum_{a b=h} \sum_{(c, a k)=1} \frac{\chi(b c) \psi(c)}{c^{z}} \sum_{(d, k)=1} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(a d) \psi(d)}{d^{z}}:=\sum_{a b=h} A_{1}(z ; a, b) A_{2}(z ; a) \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{1}(z ; a, b)=\chi(b) \sum_{(c, a k)=1} \frac{\chi \psi(c)}{c^{z}}=\chi(b) L(s, \chi \psi) \prod_{p \mid a k}\left(1-\chi \psi(p) p^{-z}\right)
$$

and

$$
A_{2}(z ; a)= \begin{cases}\sum_{(d, k)=1} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(d) \psi(d)}{d^{z}}, & \text { if } a=1 \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{l+k}\right) \psi\left(p^{k}\right)}{p^{k z}}, & \text { if } a=p^{l}, p \nmid k \\ \Lambda_{F}(a), & \text { if } a=p^{l}, p \mid k \\ 0, & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

Using the following notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta(z ; p, l, \psi)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{l+k}\right) \psi\left(p^{k}\right)}{p^{k z}}  \tag{6.46}\\
& \Phi(z ; k, \chi)=\prod_{p \mid k}\left(1-\chi(p) p^{-z}\right)  \tag{6.47}\\
& G(z ; \psi)=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(d) \psi(d)}{d^{z}}=\sum_{p} \eta(z ; p, 0, \psi)  \tag{6.48}\\
& \eta(z ; k, \psi)=\sum_{p \mid k} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right) \psi\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m z}}=\sum_{p \mid k} \eta(z ; p, 0, \psi) \tag{6.49}
\end{align*}
$$

we can represent the $A(z)$ in (6.45) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(z) & =\chi(h) L(s, \chi \psi) \Phi(z ; k, \chi \psi)(G(z ; \psi)-\eta(z ; k, \psi)) \\
& +\sum_{p^{l} \mid h, p \nmid k} \chi\left(h / p^{l}\right) L(s, \chi \psi) \Phi\left(z ; p^{l} k, \chi \psi\right) \eta(z ; p, l, \psi) \\
& +\sum_{p^{l} \mid(h, k)} \chi\left(h / p^{l}\right) L(s, \chi \psi) \Phi\left(z ; p^{l} k, \chi \psi\right) \Lambda_{F}\left(p^{l}\right) \\
& :=B_{1}(z)+B_{2}(z)+B_{3}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $F \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and Lemma 3.2, we deduce that $G(z ; \psi)$ has at most a simple pole at $z=1$. This shows that $A(z)$ has a pole at $z=1$ of order at most 2. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\kappa-i U}^{\kappa+i U} A(z) x^{z} \frac{d z}{z}= & \operatorname{Res}_{z=1} A(z) x^{z} z^{-1}+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\sigma_{0}(U)-i U}^{\sigma_{0}(U)+i U} A(z) x^{z} \frac{d z}{z}  \tag{6.50}\\
& +\left(\int_{\sigma_{0}(U)+i U}^{\kappa+i U}+\int_{\kappa-i U}^{\sigma_{0}(U)-i U}\right) A(z) x^{z} \frac{d z}{z} \tag{6.51}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{0}(U)=1-\frac{c}{\log (Q(U+2))}$ for some positive constant $c=c(F)$. From Lemma 3.2 and [18, Ch 14, eq (13) ], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |G(z, \psi)| \ll \log ^{2}(Q(|z|+2)), \text { for } \Re(z) \geq 1-c / \log (Q(|\Im(z)|+2)), \\
& |L(z, \chi \psi)| \ll \log (Q(|z|+2)), \text { for } \Re(z) \geq 1-c / \log (Q(|\Im(z)|+2)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (6.36) we have $j(n) \ll \exp (o(\sqrt{\log n}))$ and $\Phi(z ; k, \chi) \ll j(k)$, for $\Re(z) \geq 1-c / \log (Q(U+2))$. Moreover, since $\left|\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{j}\right)\right| \ll_{F} j p^{j \theta_{F}} \log p$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(z ; p, l, \psi)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{l+r}\right) \psi\left(p^{r}\right)}{p^{r z}} \ll \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(r+l) p^{(r+l) \theta_{F}} \log p}{p^{r z}} \ll(l+1) p^{l \theta_{F}} \log p \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta(z ; k, \psi) \ll \sum_{p \mid k} \eta(z ; p, 0, \psi) \ll \sum_{p \mid k} \log p \ll \log k, \\
& B_{2}(z) \ll \sum_{p^{l} \mid h} j(h k)(l+1) p^{l \theta_{F}} \log p \log (Q(|\Im z|+2)) \ll j(h k) h^{\theta_{F}}(\log h)^{3} \log (Q(|\Im z|+2)), \\
& B_{3}(z) \ll \sum_{p^{l} \mid(h, k)} j(h k) \log (Q(|\Im z|+2)) h^{\theta_{F}} \log h \ll j(h k) \tau(h) h^{\theta_{F}} \log h \log (Q(|\Im z|+2)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that for $\Re(z) \geq \sigma_{0}(U)$ and $|\Im z| \leq U$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(z) & =B_{1}(z)+B_{2}(z)+B_{3}(z) \\
& \ll j(k) \log (Q U)\left(\log ^{2}(Q U)+\log k\right)+h^{\theta_{F}} j(h k) \log (Q U)(\log h)^{3}+\tau(h) h^{\theta_{F}} j(h k) \log h \log (Q U) \\
& \ll \tau(h) h^{\theta_{F}} j(h) j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3}(\log (Q U))^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the horizontal integrals in (6.51) are bounded by

$$
\int_{\sigma_{0}(U)}^{\kappa} \frac{A(\sigma \pm i U)}{|\sigma \pm i U|} x^{\sigma} d \sigma \ll \frac{x \tau(h) j(h) h^{\theta_{F}} j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3}(\log (Q U))^{3}}{U}
$$

The left vertical integral in (6.50) is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\sigma_{0}(U)} \int_{-U}^{U} \frac{A(\sigma+i u)}{|\sigma+i u|} d u & \ll x^{\sigma_{0}(U)} h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h) j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3}(\log (Q U))^{4} \\
& \ll x h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h) j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3}(\log (Q U))^{4} \exp \left(-\frac{c \log x}{\log (Q(|U|+2))}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose $U=\exp \left(c^{\prime} \sqrt{\log x}\right)$, then we have uniformly for $Q \leq \exp (2 \sqrt{\log x})$ all integrals in (6.50) and (6.51) bounded by

$$
O\left(h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h) j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3} x \exp \left(-c^{\prime \prime} \sqrt{\log x}\right)\right)
$$

If $L(s, \chi \psi)$ and $F_{\psi}$ have no zeros in the region $\Re(s) \geq 1-a$, then we can choose $\sigma_{0}(U)=1-a+\epsilon$. We have by Lemma $3.2 \sigma_{0}(U) \leq \sigma \leq \kappa$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z, \psi) \ll(\log Q U)^{2} \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have for $\sigma \geq \sigma_{0}(U), L(\sigma+i t, \chi \psi) \ll(Q U)^{\epsilon}$, if $L(s, \chi \psi)$ has no zeros for $\Re(s) \geq 1-a$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z) \ll \tau(h) h^{\theta_{F}} j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3}(\log (Q U))^{3}(Q U)^{\epsilon} \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\sigma_{0}(U)}^{\kappa} \frac{A(\sigma \pm i U)}{|\sigma \pm i U|} x^{\sigma} d \sigma \ll \frac{x \tau(h) j(h) h^{\theta_{F}} j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3}(\log Q U)^{3}(Q U)^{\epsilon}}{U},  \tag{6.55}\\
x^{\sigma_{0}(U)} \int_{-U}^{U} \frac{A(\sigma+i u)}{|\sigma+i u|} d u \ll x^{1-a} h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h) j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3}(\log Q U)^{4}(Q U)^{\epsilon} . \tag{6.56}
\end{gather*}
$$

Combining these with (6.44), we see that taking $U=x^{\min (2 a, \eta)}$ yields an error term of size

$$
O\left(h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h) j(k) \log k(\log h)^{3} x^{1-\delta+\epsilon}\right)
$$

uniformly for $Q \leq x$. Next we compute the residue at $z=1$. Suppose we have the Laurent series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(z)=\frac{f_{-1}}{(z-1)}+f_{0}+f_{1}(z-1)+f_{2}(z-1)^{2}+\cdots \\
& L(z, \chi \psi)=\frac{c_{-1}}{(z-1)}+c_{0}+c_{1}(z-1)+c_{2}(z-1)^{2}+\cdots \\
& \Phi(z ; k, \chi \psi)=\Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi)+\Phi^{\prime}(1 ; k, \chi \psi)(z-1)+\frac{1}{2} \Phi^{(2)}(1 ; k, \chi \psi)(z-1)^{2}+\cdots \\
& \eta(z ; k, \psi)=\eta(1 ; k, \psi)+\eta^{\prime}(1 ; k, \psi)(z-1)+\eta^{(2)}(1 ; k, \psi)(z-1)^{2}+\cdots \\
& \frac{x^{z}}{z}=x\left(1+\log (x / e)(z-1)+\left(\frac{1}{2} \log ^{2} x-\log (x / e)\right)(z-1)^{2}+\cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the fact that

$$
\Phi\left(1 ; p^{l} k, \chi \psi\right)= \begin{cases}\Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi), & p \mid k \\ \Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi)\left(1-\chi \psi(p) p^{-1}\right), & p \nmid k\end{cases}
$$

we see that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=1} A(z) x^{z} z^{-1} \\
& =\chi(h) x \Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi)\left(c_{-1}\left(f_{0}-\eta(1 ; k, \psi)\right)+c_{0} f_{-1}\right) \\
& +\chi(h) x\left(\log (x / e) \Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi)+\Phi^{\prime}(1 ; k, \chi \psi)\right) f_{-1} c_{-1} \\
& \quad+x \Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi) c_{-1}\left(\sum_{a \mid(h, k)} \Lambda_{F}(a) \chi(h / a)+\sum_{p^{l} \mid h, p \nmid k} \chi\left(h / p^{l}\right) \eta(1 ; p, l, \psi)\left(1-\chi \psi(p) p^{-1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\chi \psi$ is principal, then $L(s, \chi \psi)=\prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-p^{-s}\right) \zeta(s)$ and thus $c_{-1}=\frac{\phi(q)}{q}$ and $c_{0}=\frac{\phi(q)}{q}\left(\gamma+\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)$. From (6.47), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi)=\prod_{p \mid k, p \nmid q}\left(1-p^{-1}\right)=\frac{\phi(k)(k, q)}{k \phi((k, q))} \\
& \Phi^{\prime}(1 ; k, \chi \psi)=\Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi) \sum_{p \mid k, p \nmid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\psi(p)=0$ for $p \mid q$, we see that for $p \mid q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(z ; p, l, \psi)=\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{l}\right) \tag{6.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus the residue of $A(z)$ at $z=1$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{z=1} A(z) x^{z} z^{-1} \\
= & x \chi(h) \frac{\phi(k)(k, q)}{k \phi((k, q))} \frac{\phi(q)}{q}\left(f_{0}-\eta(1 ; k, \psi)+\left(\gamma+\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right) f_{-1}\right) \\
& +x \frac{\phi(k)(k, q)}{k \phi((k, q))} \frac{\phi(q)}{q}\left(\chi(h)\left(\log (x / e)+\sum_{\substack{p \mid k \\
p \nmid q}} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right) f_{-1}+\sum_{a \mid(h, k)} \Lambda_{F}(a) \chi(h / a)\right) \\
& +x \frac{\phi(k)(k, q)}{k \phi((k, q))} \frac{\phi(q)}{q}\left(\sum_{\substack{p^{l}|h, p| q \\
(p, k)=1}} \chi\left(h / p^{l}\right) \Lambda_{F}\left(p^{l}\right)+\sum_{\substack{p^{l} \mid h \\
(p, k q)=1}} \chi\left(h / p^{l}\right) \eta(1 ; p, l, \psi)\left(1-p^{-1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\chi \psi$ is non-principal, the only possible pole of $A(z)$ arises from $G(z ; \psi)$, in which case it is a simple pole at $z=1$, and

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{z=1} A(z) x^{z} z^{-1}=x \chi(h) \Phi(1 ; k, \chi \psi) L(1, \chi \psi) f_{-1}
$$

6.5. Proof of Theorem 6.4. To evaluate (6.33), (6.34) and (6.35), we apply Lemma 6.8 with $x=$ $\frac{q k T}{2 \pi d v}$. First we note that $\frac{k q T}{2 \pi d v} \gg T^{1 / 2}$ since $d \leq M \leq \sqrt{T}$. By the support of $x_{n}$, we have $G(z, \psi)$ have zero free region of the form $1-\sigma \ll \frac{1}{\log M(|\Im z|+2)}$ for all $\psi$ with conductor $\leq M$. Therefore, we all terms with $\exp (-c \sqrt{\log x})$ (or $x^{-\delta+\epsilon}$ ) can be adjusted to some absolute constant $c$ (or $\delta$ ) depending on $F$ and $L(s, \chi)$ uniformly for $M \leq \exp (\sqrt{\log x})$ (or $M \leq \sqrt{T}$ ).
6.5.1. Error Terms. We change the order of summation and expand the definition of $a(m)(6.14)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}= & \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{\ell \mid q} \sum_{g \mid k} \sum_{\psi}^{*} \tau(\bar{\psi}) \sum_{\substack{(\bmod g \ell) \\
\psi \neq \bar{\chi} \\
\psi \neq \psi^{*}}}^{*} \delta(g \ell, k q, d, \psi) \sum_{k q T / 2 \pi d \leq m \leq k q T / \pi d} a(m d) \psi(m) \\
= & -\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\bar{x}}{k} \sum_{\ell \mid q} \sum_{\substack{ }} \sum_{\substack{(\bmod g \ell) \\
\psi \neq \chi \\
\psi \neq \psi^{*}}}^{*} \tau(\bar{\psi}) \sum_{d \mid k q} \delta(g \ell, k q, d, \psi) \\
& \times \sum_{s h=d} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, h)=1}} \bar{y}_{s v} \psi(v) \sum_{k q T / 2 \pi d v \leq u \leq k q T / \pi d v}\left(\Lambda_{F} * \chi\right)(h u) \psi(u) . \tag{6.58}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $R(x, h, k, \psi)=0$ for all $\psi \neq \bar{\chi}, \psi^{*}$, we can bound $\mathcal{E}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E} & \ll \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right|}{k} \sum_{\ell \mid q} \sum_{g \mid k} \sum_{\psi}^{*}|\tau(\bar{\psi})| \sum_{d \mid k q}|\delta(g \ell, k q, d, \psi)| \\
& \times \sum_{s h=d} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, h)=1}}\left|\bar{y}_{s v}\right| \frac{q k T}{d v} h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h) \log k(\log h)^{3} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the error $\mathcal{E}$, we need the following lemmas on arithmetic functions.
Lemma 6.12. For $d, k, q, \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \psi$ a primitive character modulo $g \ell$ and $k q \ll T$ with $(k, q)=1$, we have the inequality

$$
\delta(g \ell, k q, d, \psi) \ll \frac{(d, k q / g \ell)}{\phi(k q)}
$$

Proof. From equation (6.31), we have

$$
\delta(g \ell, k q, d, \psi) \ll \sum_{\substack{e|d \\ e| k q / g \ell}} \frac{\phi(e)}{\phi(k q)}=\frac{(d, k q / g \ell)}{\phi(k q)}
$$

since $1 * \phi=i d$.

Lemma 6.13. Let $h$ be a positive multiplicative function and let $1 \leq k, q \leq M$ and $(k, q)=1$. Then,

$$
\sum_{d \mid k q} \frac{(d, k) h(d)}{d} \ll(1 *|h|)(k)\left\|\frac{h(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}
$$

Proof. Let $g=(d, k)$, and write $d=g d_{1}$ and $k=g k_{1}$. Then,

$$
\sum_{d \mid k q} \frac{(d, k q) h(d)}{d} \ll \sum_{g \mid k} \sum_{d_{1} \mid k q / g} \frac{g}{g d_{1}}\left|h\left(g d_{1}\right)\right| \ll \sum_{g \mid k}|h(g)|\left\|\frac{h(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}=(1 *|h|)(k)\left\|\frac{h(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}
$$

Now we are ready to estimate $\mathcal{E}$. From Lemma 6.12 and $(k, q)=1$,

$$
\delta(g \ell, k q, d, \psi) \ll \frac{(d, k q / g \ell)}{\phi(k q)} \ll \frac{(d, k q / g \ell)}{\phi(k) \phi(q)}
$$

We also have

$$
\sum_{s h=d} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\(v, h)=1}} \frac{\left|y_{s v}\right|}{v} \tau(h) j(h) \ll(\tau *|y|)(d) j(d)\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} .
$$

Therefore, using $h, k \leq q M \ll T$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{E} \ll \frac{|\tau(\bar{\chi})|}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\left|\overline{x_{k}}\right|}{k} \sum_{\ell \mid q} \sum_{g \mid k} \sum_{(\bmod g \ell)}^{*}|\tau(\bar{\psi})| \sum_{d \mid k q}|\delta(g \ell, k q, d, \psi)| \\
& \times \sum_{s h=d} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, h)=1}}\left|\bar{y}_{s v}\right| \frac{q k T}{d v} h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h) \log k(\log h)^{3} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) \\
& \ll \frac{(q M)^{\theta_{F}} q(\log M)^{3}(\log q)^{3} T}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{k \leq M}\left|x_{k}\right| \sum_{\ell \mid q} \sum_{g \mid k} \sqrt{g \ell} \phi(g \ell) \\
& \times \sum_{d \mid k q} \frac{(d, k q / g \ell)}{\phi(k q)} \frac{(\tau *|y|)(d) j(d)}{d}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T)} \\
& \ll M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} q^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T \sum_{\ell \mid q} \sum_{g \leq M} \sum_{k \leq M / g}\left|x_{g k}\right|(g \ell)^{3 / 2} \frac{\left(\tau_{3} *|y|\right)(k q) j(k) j(q)}{\phi(g k q)}\left\|\frac{(\tau *|y|)(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T)} \\
& \ll M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} q^{1+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T \sum_{g \leq M}\left|x_{g}\right| \sqrt{g} \sum_{\substack{k \leq M / g \\
(k, q)=1}}\left|x_{k}\right| \frac{\left(\tau_{3} *|y|\right)(k) j(k)}{\phi(k)}\left\|\frac{(\tau *|y|)(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) \\
&<<M^{\frac{1}{2}+\theta_{F}+\epsilon} q^{1+\theta_{F}+\epsilon}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\tau_{3} *|y|(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{(\tau *|y|)(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{n}}{n}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) . \tag{6.59}
\end{align*}
$$

6.5.2. Main Term Evaluation. From (6.33), we have

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\chi}}=\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{k q T / 2 \pi \leq m \leq k q T / \pi} a(m) \frac{\tau(\chi) \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{q}\right) \chi\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{q}\right) \bar{\chi}\left(-m^{\prime}\right)}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} 1_{q \mid k^{\prime}}
$$

where $k^{\prime}=\frac{k q}{(m, k q)}$ and $m^{\prime}=\frac{m}{(m, k q)}$ and $q \mid k^{\prime}$. Since $x, y$ are supported on integers that are coprime to $q$, we have $(k, q)=1$ and it follows that $(m, k q)=(m, k)(m, q)$. Thus if $q \mid k^{\prime}$, we must have $(m, q)=1$, so that $k^{\prime}=q k /(m, k)$ and thus $m^{\prime}=m /(m, k)$. We write $l=(m, k), k=l k_{1}$, and $m=l m_{1}$, and then replace $k_{1}$ by $k$ and $m_{1}$ by $m$. Using that $\tau(\chi) \tau(\bar{\chi}) \chi(-1)=q$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\chi}} & =\sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{k}}}{k} \sum_{\substack{k q T / 2 \pi \leq m \leq q k T / \pi \\
(m, q)=1}} a(m) \frac{1}{\phi\left(\frac{k}{(m, k)}\right) \phi(q)} \mu\left(\frac{k}{(m, k)}\right) \chi\left(\frac{k}{(m, k)}\right) \bar{\chi}\left(\frac{m}{(m, k)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{l k}}}{l k} \frac{\mu(k) \chi(k)}{\phi(k)} \sum_{\substack{k q T / 2 \pi \leq m \leq q k T / \pi \\
(m, k q)=1}} a(l m) \bar{\chi}(m) \\
& =-\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{l k \leq M} \frac{\overline{x_{l k}}}{l k} \frac{\mu(k) \chi(k)}{\phi(k)} \sum_{s h=l} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, h k q)=1}} \bar{y}_{s v} \bar{\chi}(v) \sum_{\substack{k q T / 2 \pi \leq u v \leq k q T / \pi \\
(u, k q)=1}} \Lambda_{F} * \chi(h u) \bar{\chi}(u) \tag{6.60}
\end{align*}
$$

After an application of Lemma 6.8 to (6.60), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\chi}}= & -\frac{1}{\phi(q)} \sum_{l k \leq M} \frac{\bar{x}_{l k}}{l k} \frac{\mu(k) \chi(k)}{\phi(k)} \sum_{s h=l} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, h \bar{k} q)=1}} \bar{y}_{s v} \bar{\chi}(v) \\
& \times \frac{\phi(q)}{q}\left\{\frac{\phi(k)}{k} \frac{k q T}{2 \pi v}\left(\chi(h) \log \left(\frac{2 k q T}{\pi v e}\right) f_{-1}+X_{1}(h, k q)+\chi(h) X_{2}(k q)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+O\left(h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h)(\log h)^{3} j(k q) \log (k q) \frac{k q T}{v} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T})\right)\right\} . \tag{6.61}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\chi}}$ denote the contribution of the $O$-terms in (6.61). After replacing $l$ by $s h$ and using $h \leq q M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\chi}} & \ll q^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T \sum_{s h k \leq M} \frac{\left|\bar{x}_{s h k}\right|}{s h k} \frac{k}{\phi(k)} \tau(h) j(h) \sum_{s v \leq M} \frac{\left|\bar{y}_{s v}\right|}{v} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) \\
& \ll q^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T\left\|\frac{\left|x_{s} y_{s}\right|}{s}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\left(\tau_{3} *|x|\right)(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{y_{v}}{v}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $x_{k}$ are supported on integers $k$ with $(k, q)=1$, thus $l \nmid q$ and $h \nmid q$. Upon writing $l=s h$ and $h k=u$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{\bar{\chi}}= & -\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{s u \leq M} \frac{\bar{x}_{s u} \chi(u)}{s u} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v} \bar{\chi}(v)}{v} \sum_{h k=u} \mu(k)\left(\log \left(\frac{2 k q T}{\pi v e}\right) f_{-1}+\widetilde{X}_{1}(h, k)+\widetilde{X}_{2}(k)\right)+\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\chi}} \\
= & -\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{s u \leq M} \frac{\bar{x}_{s u} \chi(u)}{s u} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v} \bar{\chi}(v)}{v} \sum_{h k=u} \mu(k)\left(\log \left(\frac{2 q T}{\pi v e}\right) f_{-1}+f_{-1} \log k\right) \\
& -\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{s u \leq M} \frac{\bar{x}_{s u} \chi(u)}{s u} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v} \bar{\chi}(v)}{v} \sum_{h k=u} \mu(k)\left(\widetilde{X}_{1}(h, k)+f_{-1} \widetilde{X}_{2}(k)\right)+\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\chi}} \\
= & -\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{s u \leq M} \frac{\bar{x}_{s u} \chi(u)}{s u} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v} \bar{\chi}(v)}{v}\left(\delta(u) \log \left(\frac{2 q T}{\pi v e}\right) f_{-1}-\Lambda(u) f_{-1}\right) \\
& -\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{s u \leq M} \frac{\bar{x}_{s u} \chi(u)}{s u} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v} \bar{\chi}(v)}{v} \sum_{h k=u} \mu(k)\left(\widetilde{X}_{1}(h, k)+f_{-1} \widetilde{X}_{2}(k)\right)+\mathcal{E}_{\bar{\chi}} \tag{6.62}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta(u)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u=1, \\
0, & \text { if } u>1,\end{cases} \\
& \widetilde{X_{1}}(h, k)=\sum_{a \mid(h, k)} \Lambda_{F}(a) \bar{\chi}(a)+\sum_{p^{l} \mid h, p \nmid k} \bar{\chi}\left(p^{l}\right) \eta(1 ; p, l, \bar{\chi})\left(1-p^{-1}\right) \\
& \widetilde{X_{2}}(k)=f_{0}-\eta(1 ; k q, \bar{\chi})+\left(\gamma+\sum_{p \mid k q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right) f_{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}$, we write the modulus of $\psi^{*}$ as $g^{*}=g_{0} \ell_{0}$, where $\ell_{0}=\left(g^{*}, q\right)$ and $\left(g_{0}, q\right)=1$.

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}=\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\bar{x}_{k}}{k} \sum_{k q T / 2 \pi<m \leq k q T / \pi} a(m) \frac{1}{\phi\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \mu\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g_{0} \ell_{0}}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(\frac{k^{\prime}}{g_{0} \ell_{0}}\right) \tau\left(\overline{\psi^{*}}\right) \psi^{*}\left(-m^{\prime}\right) 1_{g_{0} \ell_{0} \mid k^{\prime}}
$$

where $k^{\prime}=\frac{k q}{(k q, m)}, m^{\prime}=\frac{m}{(k q, m)}$ and $g_{0} \ell_{0} \mid k^{\prime}$. We further choose $x, y$ supported on integers that are coprime to $q g_{0}$. If $g_{0} \ell_{0}\left|k^{\prime}\right| k q$, we must have $g_{0} \mid k$ since $(k, q)=1,\left(g_{0}, q\right)=1$. From the support of $x_{k}$ we have $\left(k, g_{0}\right)=1$ and thus we must have $g_{0}=1$. Therefore, we only need to consider the case when $\psi^{*}$ is a character modulo $\ell_{0}$ with $\ell_{0} \mid q$. Since $(k, q)=1$, we see that $\ell_{0} \mid k^{\prime}$ implies that $\ell_{0} \left\lvert\, \frac{q}{(q, m)}\right.$. If we write $l=(m, k), \ell=(q, m), k=l k_{1}, m=\ell l m_{1}, q=\ell \ell_{0} q_{1}$, then $\left(m_{1}, k_{1}\right)=1,\left(m_{1}, q_{1} \ell_{0}\right)=1$ and
$\ell_{0} \mid q / \ell$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}= & \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{\ell \mid q / \ell_{0}} \sum_{l \leq M} \sum_{k_{1} \leq M / l} \frac{\bar{x}_{l k_{1}}}{l k_{1}} \frac{1}{\phi\left(\ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1}\right)} \mu\left(k_{1} q_{1}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(k_{1} q_{1}\right) \tau\left(\overline{\psi^{*}}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{\ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1} T / 2 \pi<m_{1} \leq \ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1} T / \pi}^{\left(m_{1}, \ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1}\right)=1} 0 \\
= & -\frac{\tau\left(\ell l m_{1}\right) \psi^{*}\left(-m_{1}\right)}{q} \sum_{\ell \mid q / \ell_{0}} \sum_{l \leq M} \sum_{k_{1} \leq M / l} \frac{\bar{x}_{l k_{1}}}{l k_{1}} \frac{1}{\phi\left(\ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1}\right)} \mu\left(k_{1} q_{1}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(k_{1} q_{1}\right) \tau\left(\overline{\left.\psi^{*}\right)} \psi^{*}(-1)\right. \\
& \times \sum_{s h=\ell l} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
\left(v, h \ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1}\right)=1}} \bar{y}_{s v} \psi^{*}(v) \sum_{\substack{k_{1 q T} T / 2 \pi<u v k_{1} q T / \pi \ell \\
\left(u \ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1}\right)=1}}\left(\Lambda_{F} * \chi\right)(h u) \psi^{*}(u) \\
= & -\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{\ell \mid q / \ell_{0}} \sum_{l \leq M} \sum_{k_{1} \leq M / l} \frac{\bar{x}_{l k_{1}}}{l k_{1}} \frac{1}{\phi\left(k_{1} q / \ell\right)} \mu\left(k_{1} \frac{q}{\ell \ell_{0}}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(k_{1} \frac{q}{\ell \ell_{0}}\right) \overline{\tau\left(\psi^{*}\right)} \\
& \times \sum_{s h=\ell l} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
\left(v, h k_{1} q / \ell\right)=1}} \bar{y}_{s v} \psi^{*}(v)\left\{\frac{k_{1} q T}{2 \pi \ell v} \Phi\left(1 ; k_{1} q / \ell, \chi \psi^{*}\right) L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \chi(h) \tilde{f}_{-1}\right. \\
& \left.+O\left(h^{\theta_{F}} \tau(h) j(h)(\log h)^{3} j(q k) \log (q k) \frac{k_{1} q T}{\ell v} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T})\right)\right\}, \tag{6.63}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{f}_{-1}=\operatorname{Res}_{z=1} G\left(z, \psi^{*}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{E}_{\psi^{*}}$ denote the contribution of $O$-terms in (6.63). Since $(s l, q)=$ $1, \ell \mid q, s h=\ell l$, we must have $\ell \mid h$. After replacing $s h$ by $\ell l$ and $h$ by $\ell h$, we obtain a bound for $\mathcal{E}_{\psi^{*}}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{E}_{\psi^{*}} & \ll q^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} \sum_{\ell \mid q / \ell_{0}} \sum_{l \leq M} \sum_{k_{1} \leq M / l} \frac{\left|\bar{x}_{l k_{1}}\right|}{l k_{1}} \frac{1}{\phi\left(\ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1}\right)} \sum_{s h=\ell l} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
\left(v, h \ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1}\right)=1}}\left|\bar{y}_{s v}\right| \frac{k_{1} q T}{\ell v} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) \\
& \ll q^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T \sum_{\ell \mid q / \ell_{0}} \sum_{s h \leq M} \sum_{k_{1} \leq M / s h} \frac{\left|\bar{x}_{s h k_{1}}\right|}{s h k_{1}} \sum_{v \leq M / s} \frac{\left|\bar{y}_{s v}\right|}{v} \tau(h) j(h) \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) \\
& \ll q^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} M^{\theta_{F}+\epsilon} T\left\|\frac{\left|\bar{x}_{s} \bar{y}_{s}\right|}{s}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\left(\tau_{3} *|\bar{x}|\right)(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}\left\|\frac{\bar{y}_{v}}{v}\right\|_{1} \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T}) . \tag{6.64}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we further require that $x, y$ be supported on squarefree integers whose prime factors are $\equiv 1$ $\bmod q g_{0}$. It follows that if $x_{k_{1}} \neq 0$, then $\chi\left(k_{1}\right)=\psi^{*}\left(k_{1}\right)=1$ and $\left(k_{1}, q g_{0}\right)=1$, thus

$$
\Phi\left(z ; \ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1}, \chi \psi^{*}\right)=\prod_{p \mid \ell_{0} k_{1} q_{1}}\left(1-\chi \psi^{*}(p) p^{-1}\right)=\prod_{\substack{p \mid k_{1} \\ p \nmid g_{0}}}\left(1-p^{-1}\right)=\frac{\phi\left(k_{1}\right)}{k_{1}}
$$

Using this in (6.63), we simplify $\mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}= & -\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{\ell \mid q / \ell_{0}} \sum_{l \leq M} \sum_{k_{1} \leq M / l} \frac{\bar{x}_{l k_{1}}}{l k_{1}} \frac{1}{\phi\left(k_{1} q / \ell\right)} \mu\left(k_{1} \frac{q}{\ell \ell_{0}}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(k_{1} \frac{q}{\ell \ell_{0}}\right) \overline{\tau\left(\psi^{*}\right)} \sum_{s h=\ell l} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
\left(v, h k_{1} q / \ell\right)=1}} \bar{y}_{s v} \psi^{*}(v) \\
& \times \frac{k_{1} q T}{2 \pi \ell v} \frac{\phi\left(k_{1}\right)}{k_{1}} L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \chi(h) \tilde{f}_{-1}+\mathcal{E}_{\psi^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\psi^{*}}$ is estimated by (6.64). Now we replace $\ell l$ by $s h$, since $\left(k_{1}, q\right)=1$, the main term in $\mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}$ becomes

$$
-\tau(\bar{\chi}) \overline{\tau\left(\psi^{*}\right)} \sum_{\ell \mid q / \ell_{0}} \sum_{s h \leq M} \sum_{k_{1} \leq M \ell / s h} \frac{\bar{x}_{s h k_{1} / \ell}}{s h k_{1} / \ell} \frac{\mu\left(k_{1} \frac{q}{\ell \ell_{0}}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(k_{1} \frac{q}{\ell \ell_{0}}\right)}{\phi(q / \ell)} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\\left(v, h k_{1} q / \ell\right)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v}}{v} \psi^{*}(v) \frac{T}{2 \pi \ell} L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \chi(h) \tilde{f}_{-1} .
$$

Since $\left(s k_{1}, q\right)=1$, we must have $\ell \mid h$. After replacing $h$ by $\ell h$, the above becomes

$$
-\tau(\bar{\chi}) \overline{\tau\left(\psi^{*}\right)} \sum_{\ell \mid q / \ell_{0}} \sum_{s h \leq M / \ell} \sum_{k_{1} \leq M \ell / s h} \frac{\bar{x}_{s h k_{1}}}{s h k_{1}} \frac{\mu\left(k_{1} \frac{q}{\ell \ell_{0}}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(k_{1} \frac{q}{\ell \ell_{0}}\right)}{\phi(q / \ell)} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\\left(v, h k_{1} q\right)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v}}{v} \psi^{*}(v) \frac{T}{2 \pi \ell} L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \chi(h \ell) \tilde{f}_{-1} .
$$

Since $\ell \mid q$, the non zero contribution comes only from $\ell=1$. By the assumption on the support of $x_{n}$, we have $\chi(h)=1$ if $x_{h} \neq 0$. After writing $h k_{1}=u$, we see that the main term in $\mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}$ is simplified to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\tau(\bar{\chi}) \overline{\tau\left(\psi^{*}\right)} \sum_{s h \leq M} \sum_{k_{1} \leq M / s h} \frac{\bar{x}_{s h k_{1}}}{s h k_{1}} \frac{\mu\left(k_{1} q / \ell_{0}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(k_{1} q / \ell_{0}\right)}{\phi(q)} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
\left(v, h k_{1} q\right)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v}}{v} \psi^{*}(v) \frac{T}{2 \pi} L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \tilde{f}_{-1} \\
& =-\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi}) \overline{\tau\left(\psi^{*}\right)} T}{2 \pi \phi(q)} \sum_{s \leq M} \sum_{u \leq M / s} \frac{\bar{x}_{s u}}{s u} \sum_{k_{1} \mid u} \mu\left(k_{1}\right) \mu\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}\left(k_{1}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v}}{v} \psi^{*}(v) L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \tilde{f}_{-1}} \\
& =-\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi}) \overline{\tau\left(\psi^{*}\right) T}}{2 \pi \phi(q)} \mu\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) \sum_{s \leq M} \sum_{u \leq M / s} \frac{\bar{x}_{s u}}{s u} \sum_{k_{1} \mid u} \mu\left(k_{1}\right) \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, u q)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v}}{v} \psi^{*}(v) L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \tilde{f}_{-1} \\
& =-\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi}) \overline{\tau\left(\psi^{*}\right)} T}{2 \pi \phi(q)} \mu\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) \sum_{s \leq M} \sum_{u \leq M / s} \frac{\bar{x}_{s}}{s} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\
(v, q)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v}}{v} L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \tilde{f}_{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\psi^{*}}=-\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi}) \overline{\tau\left(\psi^{*}\right)} T}{2 \pi} \frac{\mu\left(q / \ell_{0}\right) \overline{\psi^{*}}\left(q / \ell_{0}\right)}{\phi(q)} L\left(1, \chi \psi^{*}\right) \tilde{f}_{-1} \sum_{s \leq M} \frac{\bar{x}_{s}}{s} \sum_{\substack{s v \leq M \\(v, q)=1}} \frac{\bar{y}_{s v}}{v}+\mathcal{E}_{\psi^{*}} \tag{6.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exist some positive constant $a=a(\chi, F)$ such that both $F_{\psi}$ and $L(s, \chi \psi)$ have no zeros in the region $\Re(s) \geq 1-a$ for all $\psi$, then we can replace the term $\exp (-c \sqrt{\log T})$ in the error terms $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ can be replaced by $T^{-\delta+\epsilon}$ for some small enough $\delta=\delta(F, a)$, since $\psi$ has conductor $\leq M \leq \sqrt{T}$.

## 7. Resonator Coefficients

In this section, we define the resonator coefficients and give their properties.
Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character and denote $\psi^{*}\left(\bmod g^{*}\right)$ as the Dirichlet character $\neq \bar{\chi}$ such that $F_{\psi^{*}}(s)$ has a pole at $s=1$. If no such character exists, set $g^{*}=1$. Let $f(n)$ be multiplicative and supported on squarefree integers $n \leq M$. Let $d=\operatorname{lcm}\left(q, g^{*}\right), K=\sqrt{\phi(d) \log M \log _{2} M}$, and $B_{M}$ be as in (iii) in the definition of $\mathcal{S}^{*}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p)=\frac{K}{\log p}, \text { if } K^{2} \leq p \leq \exp \left((\log K)^{2}\right), p \neq B_{M}, p \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod d) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and 0 otherwise. Then, we have the following estimates of norms involving $f$.
Lemma 7.1. Let $f(n)$ be defined by (7.1). Then for $M$ sufficiently large, we have
(1) $|f(n)| \leq n^{1 / 2}$,
(2) $\left\|\frac{f(n)}{n}\right\|_{1} \ll \exp \left(\frac{1}{\phi(d)}(1+o(1)) \frac{K}{\log K^{2}}\right)$,
(3) $\|f(n)\|_{1} \ll M \exp \left(\frac{1}{\phi(d)}(1+o(1)) \frac{K}{\log K^{2}}\right)$,
(4) $\left\|\frac{f(n)^{2}}{n}\right\|_{1} \ll \exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \phi(d)}(1+o(1)) \frac{K^{2}}{\left(\log K^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)$,
(5) $\left\|f(n)^{2}\right\|_{1} \ll M \exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \phi(d)}(1+o(1)) \frac{K^{2}}{\left(\log K^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)$,
(6) $\left\|j(n)\left(\tau_{r} * f\right)(n) f(n) / n\right\|_{1} \ll \exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \phi(d)}(1+o(1)) \frac{K^{2}}{\left(\log K^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)$,
where $j(n)$ is defined in (6.36).

Proof. (1) Since $K^{2} \leq p$, we see that $f(p) \leq \sqrt{p} \frac{K}{\sqrt{p} \log p} \leq \sqrt{p}$. Thus $f(n) \leq \sqrt{n}$ by multiplicativity. (2) Using the multiplicativity of $f(n)$, we have an upper bound

$$
\|f(n) / n\|_{1}=\sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{f(n)}{n} \leq \prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{f(p)}{p}\right) \leq \exp \left(\sum_{p} \frac{f(p)}{p}\right)
$$

From the prime number theorem in an arithmetic progression,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p} \frac{f(p)}{p} & \leq \sum_{\substack{K^{2} \leq p \leq \exp \left((\log K)^{2}\right) \\
p \equiv 1 \\
(\bmod d)}} \frac{K}{p \log p}=(1+o(1)) \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \int_{K^{2}}^{\exp \left((\log K)^{2}\right)} \frac{K}{x \log ^{2} x} d x \\
& =(1+o(1)) \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \frac{K}{\log K^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Using $n \leq M$, Rankin's trick and part (2), we have

$$
\|f(n)\|_{1}=\sum_{n=1}^{M} f(n) \leq M \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{f(n)}{n} \leq M\left\|\frac{f(n)}{n}\right\|_{1} \ll M \exp \left(\frac{(1+o(1))}{\phi(d)} \frac{K}{\log K^{2}}\right)
$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$.
(4) Similarly to (2), we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{f(n)^{2}}{n} \leq \prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right) \leq \exp \left(\sum_{p} \frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right)
$$

Using the prime number theorem in an arithmetic progression again, we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{K \leq p \leq \exp \left((\log K)^{2}\right)} \frac{f(p)^{2}}{p} & \leq \sum_{\substack{K^{2} \leq p \leq \exp \left((\log K)^{2}\right) \\
p \equiv 1 \\
(\bmod d)}} \frac{K^{2}}{p \log ^{2} p} \\
& =\frac{1}{\phi(d)}(1+o(1)) \int_{K^{2}}^{\exp \left((\log K)^{2}\right)} \frac{K^{2}}{x \log ^{3} x} d x \\
& =(1+o(1)) \frac{1}{2 \phi(d)} \frac{K^{2}}{\left(\log K^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$.
(5) Similarly to part (3), we have $\left\|f^{2}\right\|_{1} \leq M\left\|\frac{f^{2}(n)}{n}\right\|_{1}$.
(6) Note that since $n$ is squarefree and $j(n)$ and $\tau_{k}(n)$ are multiplicative,

$$
\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{j(n)\left(\tau_{k} * f\right)(n) f(n)}{n} \leq \prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{j(p)\left(\tau_{k} * f\right)(p) f(p)}{p}\right)
$$

Since $j(p)=1+O(\sqrt{p}), f(p)=\frac{K}{\log p}$, and $\left(\tau_{k} * f\right)(p)=\frac{K}{\log p}+k$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{\substack{K^{2} \leq p \leq \exp \left((\log K)^{2}\right) \\
p \equiv 1 \bmod d}} \frac{j(p)\left(\tau_{k} * f\right)(p) f(p)}{p} \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{K^{2} \leq p \leq \exp \left((\log K)^{2}\right) \\
p \equiv 1 \bmod d}}\left(\frac{K^{2}}{p \log ^{2} p}+O\left(\frac{K}{p \log p}+\frac{K^{2}}{p^{3 / 2-\epsilon}}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \phi(d)}(1+o(1)) \frac{K^{2}}{\left(\log K^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad M \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 7.2. Let $f(p)$ be defined in (7.1). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{0}=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right), \mathcal{Q}_{1}=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}+\frac{f(p)}{p}\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, as $M \rightarrow \infty$,
(1) $\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{f(u) f(n u)}{n u}=\mathcal{Q}_{1}(1+o(1))$,
(2) $\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{f(n)^{2}}{n} \leq \mathcal{Q}_{0}$,
(3) $\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}{\mathcal{Q}_{0}}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{\phi(d)} \frac{K}{\log K^{2}}(1+o(1))\right)$,
(4) $\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right| f(n)}{n\left(1+f(n)^{2} n^{-1}\right)} \ll K \log _{2} M$,
(5) $\sum_{n \leq M} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)\right| f(p)}{p^{m}\left(1+f(p)^{2} p^{-1}\right)} \ll K \log _{2} M$,
(6) $\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{\log n f(u) f(n u)}{n u} \ll \mathcal{Q}_{1} K \log _{2} M$.

Proof. (1) Since $f$ is multiplicative and supported on squarefree numbers,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{f(n) f(n u)}{n u} & =\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{f(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{u \leq M / n \\
(u, n)=1}} \frac{f(u)^{2}}{u} \\
& =\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{f(n)}{n}\left(\prod_{(p, n)=1}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right)-\sum_{\substack{u \geq M / n \\
(n, u)=1}} \frac{f(u)^{2}}{u}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Rankin's trick, the contribution from $u>M / n$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{f(n)}{n}\left(\frac{n}{M}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{\substack{u=1 \\(u, n)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{f(u)^{2} u^{\alpha}}{u} \leq \frac{1}{M^{\alpha}} \prod_{p}\left(1+f(p)^{2} p^{\alpha-1}+f(p) p^{\alpha-1}\right) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\alpha>0$. By Rankin's trick again, the main term becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}+\frac{f(p)}{p}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{M^{\alpha}} \prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}+\frac{f(p) p^{\alpha}}{p}\right)\right) \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (7.4) and (7.3), we deduce that

$$
\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{f(u) f(n u)}{n u}=\mathcal{Q}_{1}+O\left(\frac{1}{M^{\alpha}} \prod_{p}\left(1+f(p)^{2} p^{\alpha-1}+f(p) p^{\alpha-1}\right)\right)
$$

Taking $\alpha=1 /(\log K)^{3}$, we see that the ratio of the error to the main term is bounded by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ll \exp \left(-\alpha \log M+\sum_{\substack{\left.K^{2} \leq \leq \leq \exp (\log K)^{2}\right) \\
p \equiv 1 \\
(\bmod d)}}\left(p^{\alpha}-1\right)\left(\frac{K^{2}}{p \log ^{2} p}+\frac{K}{p \log p}\right)\right) \\
& \ll \exp \left(-\alpha \log M+\alpha \frac{K^{2}}{\phi(d) \log K^{2}}-\alpha \frac{K^{2}}{\phi(d)(\log K)^{2}}\right)  \tag{7.5}\\
& \ll \exp \left(-\alpha \frac{\log M}{\log _{2} M}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Note that we used the fact that $\frac{K^{2}}{\phi(d) \log K^{2}} \leq \log M$ in the last step to ensure (7.5) is $o(1)$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{f(u) f(n u)}{n u}=\mathcal{Q}_{1}(1+o(1)) .
$$

(2) We have the inequality

$$
\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{f(n)^{2}}{n} \leq \sum_{n} \frac{f(n)^{2}}{n}=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right)=\mathcal{Q}_{0}
$$

(3) From the definitions of $\mathcal{Q}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{1}$ defined in (7.2), it can be seen that

$$
\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}{\mathcal{Q}_{0}}=\prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{f(p)}{p\left(1+f(p)^{2} p^{-1}\right)}\right) .
$$

From (iii), we have $B_{M} \gg \log _{2} M \gg \log K$. Since $\log K \ll \log _{2} T$, we have

$$
\frac{K}{B_{M} \log B_{M}} \ll \frac{K}{\log K \log _{2} K}=o\left(\frac{K}{\log K^{2}}\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{K \leq p \leq \exp \left((\log K)^{2}\right)} \frac{f(p)}{p\left(1+f(p)^{2} p^{-1}\right)} & =\sum_{\substack{\left.K^{2} \leq p \leq \exp (\log K)^{2}\right) \\
p \equiv 1 \bmod d}} \frac{K}{p \log p}(1+o(1))+o\left(\frac{K}{\log K^{2}}\right) \\
& =(1+o(1)) \frac{1}{\phi(d)} \frac{K}{\log K^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) Since $f$ is supported on squarefree integers, and $\Lambda_{F}(n)$ is supported on prime powers,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}(n)\right| f(n)}{n\left(1+f(n)^{2} p^{-1}\right)} & =K \sum_{p \leq M} \frac{\left|\lambda_{F}(p)\right|}{p\left(1+f(p)^{2} p^{-1}\right)} \\
& \ll K\left(\sum_{p \leq M} \frac{1}{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{p \leq M} \frac{\left|\lambda_{F}(p)\right|^{2}}{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \ll K \log _{2} M
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (3.6) and partial summation.
(5) Since we have $\Lambda_{F}(n) \ll n^{1 / 2}$, we see that

$$
\sum_{p} \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)\right| f(p)}{p^{m}} \ll K \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^{2}} \frac{1}{1-p^{-1 / 2}} \ll K .
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p \leq M} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)\right| f(p)}{p^{m}} & \ll \sum_{p \leq M} \sum_{m \leq 4} \frac{\left|\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)\right| f(p)}{p}+K \\
& \ll K \sum_{n \leq M^{4}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{F}(n)\right|}{n}+K \ll K \log _{2} M \\
& \ll K\left(\sum_{n \leq M^{4}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{F}(n)\right|^{2}}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{p} \sum_{m \leq 4} \frac{1}{p^{m}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \ll K \log _{2} M
\end{aligned}
$$

(6) From the identity $\log n=\sum_{d \mid n} \Lambda(d)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{\log n f(u) f(n u)}{n u} & =\sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\Lambda(k) f(k)}{k} \sum_{\substack{n u \leq M / k \\
(n u, k)=1}} \frac{f(u) f(n u)}{n u} \\
& \leq \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\Lambda(k) f(k)}{k} \sum_{\substack{n \leq M / k \\
(n, k)=1}} \frac{f(n)}{n} \prod_{(p, n k)=1}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right) \\
& \leq \mathcal{Q}_{0} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\Lambda(k) f(k)}{k} \sum_{\substack{n \leq M \\
(n, k)=1}} \frac{f(n)}{n} \prod_{p \mid n k}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right)^{-1} \\
& \leq \mathcal{Q}_{0} \sum_{n \leq M} \frac{f(n)}{n} \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{n}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\Lambda(k) f(k)}{k} \prod_{p \mid k}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right)^{-1} \\
& \leq \mathcal{Q}_{1} K \log _{2} M
\end{aligned}
$$

## 8. Proof of Theorem 1.1-1.4

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1-Theorem 1.4. Let $F \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\psi^{*}\left(\bmod g^{*}\right)$ be the Dirichlet character $\neq \bar{\chi}$ such that $F_{\psi^{*}}(s)$ has a pole at $s=1$. Let $\chi \neq \psi^{*}$ be a Dirichlet character. Define $x_{n}=y_{n}=f(n)$, where $f(n), n=1, \ldots, M$ are the resonator coefficients defined in Section 7. Using Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 7.1, we see that the error term becomes $o(T)$ upon taking $M=\exp \left(c^{\prime} \sqrt{\log T}\right)$ for some $c^{\prime}>0$. If there exist positive constants $a$ depending on $F$, and $\chi$ such that all three of $L(s, \chi \psi), F$, and $F_{\psi}$ have no zeros in the region $\Re(s) \geq 1-a$ for all $\psi$, then we can take $M=T^{\delta^{\prime}}$ for some small positive constant $\delta^{\prime}=\delta^{\prime}(F, \chi)$. Thus it remains to compute the main terms. Let $g$ be the multiplicative function supported on squarefree integers with $g(p)=1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}$. From the
multiplicativity of $f$ and $g$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{x_{u} y_{n u}}{n u}\left(\Lambda_{F} * 1\right)(n) & =\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{f(u) f(n u)\left(\Lambda_{F} * 1\right)(n)}{n u} \\
& =\sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(k) f(k)}{k} \sum_{\substack{n u \leq M / k \\
(n u, k)=1}} \frac{f(u) f(n u)}{n u} \\
& \leq \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(k) f(k)}{k} \sum_{\substack{n \leq M / k \\
(n, k)=1}} \frac{f(n)}{n} \prod_{(p, n k)=1}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right) \\
& \leq \mathcal{Q}_{0} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(k) f(k)}{k} \sum_{\substack{n \leq M \\
(n, k)=1}} \frac{f(n)}{n} \prod_{p \mid n k}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right)^{-1} \\
& \leq \mathcal{Q}_{0} \sum_{n \leq M} \frac{f(n)}{n g(n)} \sum_{k \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(k) f(k)}{k g(k)} \\
& \leq \mathcal{Q}_{1} \sum_{p \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(p) f(p)}{p g(p)} \ll \mathcal{Q}_{1} K \log _{2} M \tag{8.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used (2) and (4) from Lemma 7.2. Next, we give an upper bound for the terms involving $r_{3}(u)$. From the assumption that $F_{\bar{\chi}}$ has no pole at $z=1$, we see that $f_{-1}=0$, and thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{3}(u)=r_{4}(u) & =\sum_{h k=u} \mu(k)\left(f_{0}-\sum_{p \mid k} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m}}+\sum_{p \mid(h, k)} \Lambda_{F}(a)+\sum_{p \mid h, p \nmid k} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m}}(p-1)\right) \\
& =\left(f_{0}-\sum_{p \mid u} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m}}\right) \sum_{k \mid u} \mu(k)+\sum_{h k=u} \mu(k) \sum_{p \mid h} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m-1}} \\
& =: f_{0} \delta(u)-\sum_{p \mid u} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)}{p^{m-1}} \sum_{k \left\lvert\, \frac{u}{p}\right.} \mu(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is non zero only when $u=1$ or $u$ is a prime. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{u \leq M} \sum_{\substack{v \leq M \\
(v, u)=1}} \frac{x_{u} y_{v} r_{3}(u)}{u v} \sum_{\substack{s \leq M \\
(s, u v)=1}} \frac{x_{s} y_{s}}{s} & \leq \mathcal{Q}_{0} \sum_{u \leq M} \sum_{\substack{v \leq M \\
(v, u)=1}} \frac{x_{u} y_{v} r_{3}(u)}{u v g(u v)} \\
& \leq \mathcal{Q}_{0} \sum_{v \leq M} \frac{y_{v}}{v g(v)} \sum_{\substack{u \leq M \\
(u, v)=1}} \frac{x_{u}}{u g(u)} r_{3}(u) \\
& \ll \mathcal{Q}_{1} \sum_{p \leq M} \frac{x_{p}}{p g(p)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(p)\left|\lambda_{F}\left(p^{m}\right)\right|}{p^{m-1}} \\
& \leq \mathcal{Q}_{1} K \log _{2} M . \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (5) of Lemma 7.2. Therefore, from Theorem 6.1, (8.1) and (8.2), we have

$$
S_{1}=\frac{T}{2 \pi} d_{F} P_{1}\left(\log \left(\lambda Q^{2}\right)^{1 / d_{F}} T\right)(1+o(1)) \mathcal{Q}_{1}+O\left(T \mathcal{Q}_{1} K \log _{2} M\right)
$$

Next we consider $S_{0}$ (cf. (2.2)). Using Lemma 7.2, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(n) f(n) f(n u)}{n u} & =\sum_{n \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(n) f(n)}{n} \sum_{\substack{u \leq M / n \\
(u, n)=1}} \frac{f(u)^{2}}{u} \\
& \leq \prod_{p}\left(1+\frac{f(p)^{2}}{p}\right) \sum_{n \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(n) f(n)}{n g(n)} \\
& \ll \mathcal{Q}_{0} K \log _{2} M . \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Combing (8.3) with Theorem 5, as $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{0} & =\frac{T}{2 \pi} d_{F} P_{1}\left(\log \left(\lambda Q^{2}\right)^{1 / d_{F}} T\right) \mathcal{Q}_{0}(1+o(1))-\frac{T}{2 \pi} \sum_{n u \leq M} \frac{\Lambda_{F}(n) x_{u} y_{n u}}{n u}+o(T) \\
& =\frac{d_{F} T}{2 \pi} \log T \mathcal{Q}_{0}(1+o(1))+O\left(T \mathcal{Q}_{0} K \log _{2} M\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\max _{\substack{F(\rho)=0 \\ T \leq \Im \rho \leq 2 T}}|\zeta(\rho)| \geq \frac{\left|S_{1}\right|}{S_{2}} \gg \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}{\mathcal{Q}_{0}}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{\phi\left(\operatorname{lcm}\left(q, g^{*}\right)\right)}(1+o(1)) \frac{K}{\log K^{2}}\right)
$$

by (3) in Lemme 7.2. If $M=\exp \left(c^{\prime} \sqrt{\log T}\right)$, then we can chose $K=\sqrt{\phi\left(\operatorname{lcm}\left(q, g^{*}\right)\right) \log M \log _{2} M}$, which gives

$$
\frac{K}{\log K^{2}} \gg \sqrt{\frac{\log M}{\log _{2} M}}=c^{\prime \prime} \frac{(\log T)^{1 / 4}}{\left(\log _{2} T\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

with $c^{\prime \prime}=\sqrt{c^{\prime} / 2}$. In the second part, we can take $M=T^{\delta^{\prime}}$ for some small $\delta^{\prime}=\delta^{\prime}(F, a)>0$ and

$$
\frac{K}{\log K^{2}} \gg \sqrt{\frac{\log M}{\log _{2} M}} \gg \sqrt{\delta^{\prime} \frac{\log T}{\log _{2} T}} .
$$
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