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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be the finite field with $q$ elements. Given an $N$-tuple $Q \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$, we associate with it an affine plane curve $\mathscr{C}_{Q}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. We consider the distribution of the quantity $q-\# \mathscr{C}_{q, Q}$ where $\# \mathscr{C}_{q, Q}$ denotes the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points of the affine curve $\mathscr{C}_{Q}$, for families of curves parameterized by $Q$. Exact formulae for first and second moments are obtained in several cases when $Q$ varies over a subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$. Families of Fermat type curves, Hasse-Davenport curves and Artin-Schreier curves are also considered and results are obtained when $Q$ varies along a straight line.


## 1. Introduction

Given an elliptic curve $E$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $q$ elements, the number of points of $E$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ can be expressed as $q+1-T_{E}$, where $T_{E}$ is the trace of the Frobenius of E. A classical result of Hasse [7] states that

$$
\left|T_{E}\right| \leq 2 \sqrt{q}
$$

Questions on the distribution of the number of points have been studied by a number of authors. In particular, for a fixed $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, one can consider the trace distribution of a family of elliptic curves. Let $E_{q, a, b}$ denote the elliptic curve with Weierstrass form $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$, and let $T_{E_{q, a, b}}$ denote the trace of Frobenius of $E_{q, a, b}$. In [2], Birch gave asymptotic formulae for the average of even moments $\sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{E_{q, a, b}}^{2 R}$ by using the Selberg trace formula. More recently, in [8], He and Mc Laughlin obtained exact formulae for $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} T_{E_{p, a, b}}^{2}$ when the field is taken to be the prime field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. For a smooth algebraic curve $\mathscr{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of genus $g$, a well known theorem of Weil [11] states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q+1-\# \mathscr{C}_{q}\right| \leq 2 g \sqrt{q} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\# \mathscr{C}_{q}$ denotes the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points of the projective curve. As with the case of elliptic curves where $g=1$, the distribution of the quantity $T_{\mathscr{C}_{q}}:=q+1-\# \mathscr{C}_{q}$ has also attracted attention. In the present paper, we establish exact formulae for the first and second moments of analogous quantities to $T_{\mathscr{C}_{q}}$ over some general families of plane curves over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

For fixed non-negative integers $a_{i}, b_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ and an $N$-tuple

$$
Q=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}
$$

[^0]we associate with it a plane curve $\mathscr{C}_{Q}$ whose affine model is given by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{C}_{Q}: \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

We set $T_{Q}=q-\# \mathscr{C}_{Q}$, where $\# \mathscr{C}_{Q}$ denotes the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points, which are the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-solutions $(x, y)$ to the defining equation (2) of $\mathscr{C}_{Q}$. We will use points or solutions instead of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-points or $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-solutions for short later on. Note that if we homogenize equation (2), then the points at infinity are determined by the highest degree homogeneous equation in $x$ and $y$. For elliptic curves in Weierstrass form, there is only one point at infinity, and our definition of $T_{Q}$ matches the usual definition of $T_{Q}$ as $q+1-\# P \mathscr{C}$, where $\# P \mathscr{C}$ is the number of point on the projective curve associated to $\mathscr{C}$. In either case, $T_{Q}$ measures the difference between the number of points on the curve and the expected value. Given a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$, we are interested in the distribution of $T_{Q}$ as $Q$ ranges over $S$. In particular, we consider the variance of $T_{Q}$ for $Q \in S$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}\left[T_{Q}\right]:=\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{Q \in S}\left(T_{Q}-M_{1}^{S}\right)^{2}=M_{2}^{S}-\left(M_{1}^{S}\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{1}^{S}$ is the average of $T_{Q}$ over all $Q \in S$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}^{S}:=\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{Q \in S} T_{Q} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $M_{2}^{S}$ is the second moment of $T_{Q}$ over all $Q \in S$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}^{S}:=\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{Q \in S} T_{Q}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under some restrictions on the set $S$, we establish exact formulae for $M_{1}^{S}$ and $M_{2}^{S}$. First we introduce some notation. For an index set $I \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ and an $N$-tuple $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$, let $S_{I}(\mathbf{v})$ be the set of $N$-tuples whose coordinate with indices outside $I$ are given by the corresponding coordinates of $\mathbf{v}$. More precisely, we are defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{I}(\mathbf{v})=\left\{\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{N}\right) \mid c_{j}=v_{j} \text { for } j \notin I \text { and } c_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \text { for } i \in I\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and letting

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{0} & =\left\{i \in I \mid a_{i}=0, b_{i}=0,\right\},  \tag{7}\\
I_{0}^{c} & =\left\{i \notin I \mid a_{i}=0, b_{i}=0,\right\},  \tag{8}\\
n_{x}^{I} & =\#\left\{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid a_{i} \neq 0, b_{i}=0, i \in I\right\},  \tag{9}\\
n_{y}^{I} & =\#\left\{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid a_{i}=0, b_{i} \neq 0, i \in I\right\},  \tag{10}\\
n_{x}^{I^{c}} & =\#\left\{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid a_{i} \neq 0 b_{i}=0, i \notin I\right\},  \tag{11}\\
n_{y}^{I^{c}} & =\#\left\{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right) \mid a_{i}=0, \quad b_{i} \neq 0, i \notin I\right\}, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I^{c}$ denotes the complement set of $I$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. For example, if $q=17$, $N=5$, let $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{5}\right)=(2,3,0,5,0),\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{5}\right)=(1,0,0,3,4), I=\{2,3\}$ and
$\mathbf{v}=(0,1,2,3,4)$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{I}(\mathbf{v})=\left\{\left(0, c_{2}, c_{3}, 3,4\right) \mid c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{F}_{17}\right\} \\
I_{0}=\{3\}, I_{0}^{c}=\{1,2,4,5\}, n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Intuitively, $I_{0}$ gives the indices of constant polynomials in the set $\left\{x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}, i \in I\right\}, n_{x}^{I}$ gives the number of monomials in $x$ from the set $\left\{x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}, i \in I\right\}$ and $n_{y}^{I}$ gives the number of monomials in $y$ from the set $\left\{x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}, i \in I\right\}$.

Consider the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space spanned by $\left\{x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}} \mid i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}\right\}$ for some nonnegative integers $a_{i}, b_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. For any $I \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$, we are interested in finding the second moment of $T_{Q}$, where $Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v}) \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$.

Theorem 1.1 Given fixed exponents $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$, consider a subset $I \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Let $I^{c}$ denote the complement of $I$ in $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ and $n_{x}^{I}, n_{y}^{I}, n_{x}^{I^{c}}, n_{y}^{I^{c}}$ be defined as above. Then, for any $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$ and all $Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})$,

$$
M_{1}^{S_{I}(\mathbf{v})}=\frac{1}{q^{I I}} \sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} T_{Q}= \begin{cases}-\kappa \nu(b) & \text { if } I_{0}=\emptyset  \tag{13}\\ 0 & \text { if } I_{0} \neq \emptyset\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
b & =\sum_{i \in I_{0}^{c}} v_{i},  \tag{14}\\
\nu(b) & = \begin{cases}q-1 & \text { if } b=0, \\
-1 & \text { if } b \neq 0,\end{cases}  \tag{15}\\
\text { and } \kappa & = \begin{cases}\frac{2 q-1}{q} & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0, \\
1 & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0, \\
1 & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}>0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, \\
\frac{1}{q} & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}>0 .\end{cases} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Before stating our next result, we discuss the notion of injectivity of an index set. For a given set $I \subseteq\{1,2,3, . ., N\}$ and distinct $i, j, k \in I$, let

$$
M_{i j k}=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i}-a_{j} & b_{i}-b_{j} \\
a_{i}-a_{k} & b_{i}-b_{k}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We call $I$ injective if the following condition hold,

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\operatorname{gcd}\left(M_{i j k}, q-1\right) \mid M_{i j k} \neq 0, i, j, k \in I, i, j, k \text { distinct }\right\}=1
$$

We also introduce the following notation, which will be used to obtain exact number of solutions for families of curves. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{x}^{I} & :=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{t}-a_{r}, q-1\right) \mid t, r \in I, b_{t}=b_{r}=0\right\},  \tag{17}\\
d_{y}^{I} & :=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{l}-b_{s}, q-1\right) \mid l, s \in I, a_{l}=a_{s}=0\right\}  \tag{18}\\
m_{x}^{I} & :=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{t}, q-1\right) \mid t \in I, b_{t}=0\right\}  \tag{19}\\
m_{y}^{I} & :=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{l}, q-1\right) \mid l \in I, a_{l}=0\right\} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

As an example that illustrates this notation, let $q=2^{4}, N=5$ and suppose that $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{5}\right)=(2,3,0,5,0)$ and $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{5}\right)=(1,0,0,3,5)$. Then, $I_{1}=\{1,2,3,4\}$ is injective, but $I_{2}=\{1,2,5\}$ is not. Also, $m_{x}^{I_{1}}=1, d_{x}^{I_{1}}=3, d_{y}^{I_{2}}=5$ and $m_{y}^{I_{2}}=5$.
Theorem 1.2 Given fixed exponents $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$, suppose that $a$ subset $I \subseteq\{1,2,3, \ldots, N\}$ is injective and that $n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$. Then, for any given $\mathbf{v}=\left(v_{j}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$ and all $Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})$,

$$
M_{2}^{S_{I}(\mathbf{v})}=\frac{1}{q^{|I|}} \sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} T_{Q}^{2}= \begin{cases}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{2}\left(q-1+\frac{\nu(b) \kappa^{\prime}}{q-1}+\frac{z(b) q \kappa^{\prime \prime}}{q-1}\right) & \text { if } I_{0}=\emptyset \\ \left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{2}\left(q-1+\kappa^{\prime \prime}\right) & \text { if } I_{0} \neq \emptyset\end{cases}
$$

where $b$ and $\nu(b)$ are defined as above, and $\kappa^{\prime}, \kappa^{\prime \prime}$ and $z(b)$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
z(b)= \begin{cases}0 \quad \text { if } b=0, \\
1 \quad \text { if } b \neq 0,\end{cases} \\
\kappa^{\prime}= \begin{cases}(2 q-1)^{2} & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0, \\
q^{2}+q-1 & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=0 \text { or } n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=1 \\
2 q-1 & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=1, \\
q^{2}+d_{x}^{I} & \text { if } n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=0, \\
q^{2}+d_{y}^{I} & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I} \geq 2, \\
q+d_{x}^{I}, & \text { if } n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=1, \\
q+d_{y}^{I}, & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I} \geq 2, \\
d_{x}^{I}+d_{y}^{I}+1 & \text { if } n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I} \geq 2,\end{cases} \\
\kappa^{\prime \prime}= \begin{cases}\frac{(2 q-1)^{2}}{q-1} & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0, \\
m_{x}^{I}+\frac{q^{2}}{q-1} & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}=0, \\
m_{y}^{I}+\frac{q^{2}}{q-1} & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}>0, \\
m_{x}^{I}+m_{y}^{I}+\frac{q^{2}}{q-1} & \text { if } n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}>0 .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

In later sections, we consider the case when $I$ is not injective. For some special classes of curves, such as families of Fermat type curves, Hasse-Davenport curves and Artin-Schreier curves, one can obtain explicit formulae for $M_{1}^{S_{I}(\mathbf{v})}$ and $M_{2}^{S_{I}(\mathbf{v})}$ even if $I$ is not injective.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $q=p^{r}$ be a prime power. The canonical additive character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{q}(x)=e^{2 \pi i \operatorname{Tr}(x) / p} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}(x)=x+x^{p}+\cdots+x^{p^{r-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$.
For $1 \leq d \leq r, d \mid r$, define $\operatorname{Tr}_{d}: \mathbb{F}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{d}(x)=x+x^{p^{d}}+x^{p^{2 d}}+x^{p^{3^{d}}}+\cdots+x^{q / p^{d}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.2 of [5],

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}} e_{q}(x y)= \begin{cases}p^{d} & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(y)=0  \tag{23}\\ 0 & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(y) \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

In particular, if we take $d=\left[\mathbb{F}_{q}: \mathbb{F}_{p}\right]=r$, then

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}(x y)= \begin{cases}q & \text { if } y=0  \tag{24}\\ 0 & \text { if } y \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

It follows that the number of solutions $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x, y]$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}(t f(x, y)) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $t=0$ term contributes $q$ to the total number of solutions. Thus the quantity

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{q}(f) & =-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}(t f(x, y)) \\
& =q-\#\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}: f(x, y)=0\right\} . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

is the quantity we are interested in. For a hyperelliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ given by $y^{2}=f(x)$, where $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, the quantity $T_{p}(f)$ can also be expressed using the Legendre symbol as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p}(f)=-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{f(x)}{p}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $e_{p}(z)=\exp (2 \pi i z / p)$, and

$$
G_{p}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\sqrt{p} & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & \bmod 4  \tag{28}\\
i \sqrt{p} & \text { if } p \equiv 3 & \bmod 4
\end{array}\right.
$$

From Theorem 1.1.5 and Theorem 1.5.2 of [1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{z}{p}\right)=\frac{1}{G_{p}} \sum_{d=1}^{p-1}\left(\frac{d}{p}\right) e_{p}\left(\frac{d z}{p}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was used in [8] to calculate the second moment in the case where the polynomial $f(x, y)$ is given by $f(x, y)=y^{2}-x^{3}-a x-b$.

## 3. Proof of theorem 1.1

We consider the family of curves parametrized by $Q=\left(c_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$, defined in (2) as

$$
f_{Q}(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}=0
$$

Given a subset $I \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, N\}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N}$ and $Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})$ defined in (6), we set $b=\sum_{i \in I_{0}^{c}} v_{i}$, which gives the constant term for this family of curves. From 26), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} T_{Q} & =-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j} x^{a_{j}} y^{b_{j}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t \sum_{\substack{j=1, j \notin I}}^{N} c_{j} x^{a_{j}} y^{b_{j}}\right) \prod_{i \in I} \sum_{c_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(c_{i} t x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (24), the only nonzero contributions arise from the pairs $(x, y)$ that satisfy $x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}=0$, for all $i \in I$. If $I_{0} \neq \emptyset$, then the sum becomes zero, while if $I_{0}=\emptyset$, the equation (30) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} T_{Q}=-\frac{q^{|I|}}{q} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\ x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}=0, \forall i \in I}}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t \sum_{\substack{j=1, j \notin I}}^{N} c_{j} x^{a_{j}} y^{b_{j}}\right) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the following cases separately.

### 3.1. Case $n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$ :

The condition $x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}=0$ for all $i \in I$ becomes $x y=0$, so we have $2 q-1$ such pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$. By the assumption that $n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0$ and $n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$, we have $x^{a_{j}} y^{b_{j}}=0$ for all $j \notin I$ for these $2 q-1$ pairs. Thus (31) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} T_{Q} & =-\frac{q^{|I|}}{q} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
x y=0}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}(t b) \\
& =-\frac{q^{|I|}}{q} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
x y=0}}\left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}(t b)-1\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}-(q-1)(2 q-1) q^{|I|-1} & \text { if } b=0 \\
(2 q-1) q^{|I|-1} & \text { if } b \neq 0\end{cases} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2. Case $n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$ :

The condition that $x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}=0$ for all $i \in I$ forces $x$ to be zero, so there are $q$ such pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$. Since $n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$, we have $x^{a_{j}} y^{b_{j}}=0$ for all $j \notin I$ when $x=0$. Thus (31) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} T_{Q} & =-\frac{q^{|I|}}{q} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
x=0}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}(t b) \\
& =-\frac{q^{|I|}}{q} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
x=0}}\left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}(t b)-1\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}-(q-1) q^{|I|} & \text { if } b=0, \\
q^{|I|} & \text { if } b \neq 0 .\end{cases} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

3.3. Case $n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}>0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0$ :

This is very similar to case (2), and is proved by switching $x$ and $y$.

### 3.4. Case $n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}>0$ :

Since there exist at least one term of the form $x^{a_{j}}, a_{j}>0$ and one term $y^{b_{k}}, b_{k}>0$ for some $j, k \in I$, the condition $x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}=0$ for all $i \in I$ implies that $x=0, y=0$, which in turn causes $x^{a_{j}} y^{b_{j}}=0$ for all $j \notin I$. So, there is only one term in the sum (31), which becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} T_{Q} & =-\frac{q^{|I|}}{q} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
x=0, y=0}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}(t b) \\
& =-\frac{q^{|I|}}{q}\left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}(t b)-1\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}-(q-1) q^{|I|-1} & \text { if } b=0, \\
q^{|I|-1} & \text { if } b \neq 0 .\end{cases} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem (1.1).

## 4. Proof of theorem (1.2)

From (26), for $Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})$,

$$
T_{Q}=-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t f_{Q}(x, y)\right)=-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} x^{a_{i}} y^{b_{i}}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} T_{Q}^{2} & =\frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}} \sum_{\substack{x_{1}, y_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
x_{2}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t_{1} f_{Q}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right) e_{q}\left(t_{2} f_{Q}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \\
y_{1}, x_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}} \sum_{i \in I}\left(\prod_{i \in I} S_{i} \prod_{j \notin I} e_{q}\left(t_{1} c_{j} x_{1}^{a_{j}} y_{1}^{b_{j}}+t_{2} c_{j} x_{2}^{a_{j}} y_{2}^{b_{j}}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
S_{i}:=S_{i}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, t_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}, t_{2}\right)=\sum_{c_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(c_{i}\left(t_{1} x_{1}^{a_{i}} y_{1}^{b_{i}}+t_{2} x_{2}^{a_{i}} y_{2}^{b_{i}}\right)\right)
$$

By (24), the $S_{i}$ are equal to $q$ precisely when $t_{1} x_{1}^{a_{i}} y_{1}^{b_{i}}+t_{2} x_{2}^{a_{i}} y_{2}^{b_{i}}$ vanishes. Since we have a product of $S_{i}$, we need to find the simultaneous $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-solutions to the following $|I|$ equations

$$
t_{1} x_{1}^{a_{i}} y_{1}^{b_{i}}+t_{2} x_{2}^{a_{i}} y_{2}^{b_{i}}=0, \text { for } i \in I
$$

Equivalently, we have the system

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}^{a_{i_{1}}} y_{1}^{b_{i_{1}}} & x_{2}^{a_{i_{1}}} y_{2}^{b_{i_{1}}}  \tag{35}\\
x_{1}^{a_{i_{2}}} y_{1}^{b_{i_{2}}} & x_{2}^{a_{i}} y_{2}^{b_{i_{2}}} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
x_{1}^{a_{|I|}} y_{1}^{b_{i|I|}} & x_{2}^{a_{|I|}} y_{2}^{b_{|I|}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
t_{1} \\
t_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

4.1. Case: $x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2} \neq 0$. If we have that $x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2} \neq 0$, then we reduce this matrix to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & u^{a_{i_{1}}} v^{b_{i_{1}}} \\
1 & u^{a_{i_{2}}} v^{b_{i_{2}}} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
1 & u^{a_{i|I|}} v^{b_{|I|}}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $u=\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}$ and $v=\frac{y_{2}}{y_{1}}$. This system has a non-zero solution only when this matrix has rank 1 , that is

$$
u^{a_{i}} v^{b_{i}}=u^{a_{j}} v^{b_{j}}=u^{a_{k}} v^{b_{k}},
$$

for all distinct $i, j, k \in I$. Since $u, v$ are non-zero, this further reduces to

$$
u^{a_{i}-a_{j}} v^{b_{i}-b_{j}}=1 \text { and } u^{a_{j}-a_{k}} v^{b_{j}-b_{k}}=1 .
$$

Raising the first equation to the power $a_{j}-a_{k}$ and the second to $a_{i}-a_{j}$, we obtain $v^{M_{i j k}}=1$, where $M_{i j k}$ is the determinant of the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i}-a_{j} & b_{i}-b_{j} \\
a_{j}-a_{k} & b_{j}-b_{k}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Denote by $D$ the greatest common divisor of all $M_{i j k}$, where $i, j, k \in I$ are distinct. Then we can find integers $r_{i, j, k}$ such that $\sum_{i, j, k} r_{i j k} M_{i j k}=D$. Thus $v^{D}=1$ as well.

The assumption that $\operatorname{gcd}(D, q-1)=1$ guarantees that the power map $x \mapsto x^{D}$ is a bijection and so $v=1$. Similarly, $u=1$ as well.

So $x_{1}=x_{2}$ and $y_{1}=y_{2}$. This in turn forces $t_{1}=-t_{2}$. Since we assume that $x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2} \neq 0$, there are $(q-1)^{3}$ solutions to the simultaneous equations.
4.2. Case: $x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{2}=0$. A more complicated scenario arises when $x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}=0$. The number of solutions to the system (35) varies dramatically for different index sets $I$. First we consider the case when the constant term in the family $b=\sum_{i \in I_{0}^{c}} v_{i}=0$. By switching $x$ and $y$ if necessary, we divide the problem into six manageable cases.
$n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$
In this case, we consider sets $I$ for which $a_{i} b_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i \in I$. Noticing that $x_{1} y_{1}=0$ if and only if $x_{2} y_{2}=0$, there are $(2 q-1)^{2}$ tuples $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ that satisfy this requirement. Since $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ do not affect the equation, there are $(q-1)^{2}$ choices for $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. This gives a total of $(2 q-1)^{2}(q-1)^{2}$ solutions to the system (35).
(2) $I_{0}=\emptyset, n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$

This is the case where there is exactly one term $c_{i} x_{i}^{a_{i}}, i \in I$ in $f(x, y)$. Then, notice that $x_{1}=0$ if and only if $x_{2}=0$, and in this case there are $q^{2}$ choices for $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$. If $x_{1} \neq 0$, then $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ must be zero so that (35) has solutions with $x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}=0$. Any choice of $x_{1}, x_{2}, t_{1}$ (all non-zero) determines a unique choice for $t_{2}$, yielding a total of $q^{2}(q-1)^{2}+(q-1)^{3}=(q-1)^{2}\left(q^{2}+q-1\right)$ solutions.
(3) $I_{0}=\emptyset, n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=1, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$

In this case, there is exactly one term of the form $c_{i} x^{a_{i}}$ and one term of the form $c_{j} y^{b_{j}}$ with $i, j \in I$. Again, $x_{1}=0$ if and only if $x_{2}=0$ and in this case there are $(q-1)^{3}$ choices of tuples $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ where all the coordinates are non-zero. Similarly, the requirement that $y_{1}=0$ if and only if $y_{2}=0$ yields $(q-1)^{3}$ tuples $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ with all coordinates non-zero. If $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ are all zero, there are $(q-1)^{2}$ tuples $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. In summary, we have $2(q-1)^{3}+(q-1)^{2}=(q-1)^{2}(2 q-1)$ solutions.
(4) $I_{0}=\emptyset, n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$

In this case, there are at least two terms of the form say $c_{i} x^{a_{i}}$ and $c_{j} x^{a_{j}}$. As before, $x_{1}=0$ if and only if $x_{2}=0$, thus we have $q^{2}(q-1)^{2}$ solutions for $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. If $x_{1} \neq 0$ and $y_{1}=0$, then we must have $x_{2} \neq 0$ and $y_{2}=0$. If we let $u=\frac{x_{1}}{x_{2}}$, then non zeros solutions $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ to (35) implies $u^{d_{x}^{I}}=1$, and any of such $u$ 's will give $d_{x}^{I}(q-1)^{2}$ choices of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ so that (35) is satisfied. This yields a total of $(q-1)^{2}\left(q^{2}+d_{x}^{I}\right)$ solutions.
(5) $I_{0}=\emptyset, n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$

Under this condition, there must be three terms in the form of $x^{a_{i}}, x^{a_{j}}$ and $y^{b_{k}}$ appearing in $f(x, y)$ with $i, j, k \in I$. We still have $x_{1}=0$ if and only if $x_{2}=0$ and $y_{1}=0$ if and only if $y_{2}=0$. For the solutions with $x_{1}=0$, we have $q(q-1)^{2}$ solutions for $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, and for the solutions with $x_{1} \neq 0$, we have
$d_{x}^{I}(q-1)^{2}$ solutions by a similar argument as in the previous case. This gives $(q-1)^{2}\left(q+d_{x}^{I}\right)$ solutions in total.
(6) $I_{0}=\emptyset, n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I} \geq 2$

In every other case, $f(x, y)$ contains at least four terms $c_{i} x^{a_{i}}, c_{j} x^{a_{j}}, c_{k} y^{a_{k}}$ and $c_{l} y^{b_{l}}$ with $i, j, k, l \in I$. Then as before, if only one of $x_{i}, y_{i}$ is zero, there are $\left(d_{x}^{I}+d_{y}^{I}\right)(q-1)^{2}$ solutions. If $x_{i}=y_{i}=0$, there are $(q-1)^{2}$ solutions. In total we obtain $\left(d_{x}^{I}+d_{y}^{I}+1\right)(q-1)^{2}$ solutions.

Using our notation in (7), we summarize our discussion for $I_{0}=\emptyset$ as follows:

| Condition | $x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{2} \neq 0$ | $x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{2}=0$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $(q-1)^{2}(2 q-1)^{2}$ |
| $n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=0$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $(q-1)^{2}\left(q^{2}+q-1\right)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=1$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $(q-1)^{2}(2 q-1)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=0$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $(q-1)^{2}\left(q^{2}+d_{x}^{I}\right)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=1$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $(q-1)^{2}\left(q+d_{x}^{I}\right)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I} \geq 2$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $(q-1)^{2}\left(d_{x}^{I}+d_{y}^{I}+1\right)$ |

For the case $I_{0} \neq \emptyset$, solutions to the system (35) requires $t_{1}+t_{2}=0$. We need to consider $x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{2}=0$ in the following cases.
(1) $n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$

Since the equations in (35) are all in the form $t_{1} x_{1}^{a} y_{1}^{b}+t_{2} x_{2}^{a} y_{2}^{b}=0$, where $a b \neq 0$. Solutions with $x_{1} y_{1}=0$ forces $x_{2} y_{2}=0$, which gives $(2 q-1)^{2}(q-1)$ solutions to the system.
(2) $I_{0} \neq \emptyset, n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}=0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$

If $x_{1}=0$, then $x_{2}=0$, which gives $q^{2}(q-1)$ solutions for $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. If $x_{1} \neq 0, y_{1}=0$, then there are $m_{x}^{I}(q-1)^{2}$ solutions to the system.
(3) $I_{0} \neq \emptyset, n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}>0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$

By a similar argument as above, there will be $m_{y}^{I}(q-1)^{2}+(q-1) q^{2}$ solutions to the system.
(4) $I_{0} \neq \emptyset, n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}>0, n_{x}^{I^{c}}=0, n_{y}^{I^{c}}=0$

If $x_{1}=0$, then $x_{2}=0$, which gives $m_{y}^{I}(q-1)^{2}$ solutions for $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, where $y_{1} y_{2} \neq 0$ and $(q-1)$ solutions with $y_{1} y_{2}=0$. If $x_{1} \neq 0, y_{1}=0$, then there are $m_{x}^{I}(q-1)^{2}$ solutions to the system.

We summarize the above cases in the following table:

| Condition | $x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{2} \neq 0$ | $x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{2}=0$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $(q-1)(2 q-1)^{2}$ |
| $n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}=0$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $m_{x}^{I}(q-1)^{2}+q^{2}(q-1)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}>0$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $m_{y}^{I}(q-1)^{2}+q^{2}(q-1)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I}>0, n_{y}^{I}>0$ | $(q-1)^{3}$ | $\left(m_{x}^{I}+m_{y}^{I}\right)(q-1)^{2}+(q-1)$ |

Next, consider the case when $b \neq 0$ in the family defined in (2). It is easy to see that the value of $M_{2}^{S_{I}(\mathbf{v})}$ is the same for all $b \neq 0$ since we can always divide the equation of the curve by $b$ to make the constant term 1. Using the same notation as before, if we sum over $b$, by a similar argument we see that

$$
\sum_{\substack{Q \in S_{I}(\mathbf{v}) \\ b \in F_{q}}} T_{Q}^{2} \neq 0 \Longrightarrow t_{1}+t_{2}=0
$$

which reduces to the case when $I_{0} \neq \emptyset$. By assumptions of Theorem 1.2, the number of solutions to the system (35) with $t_{1}+t_{2}=0$ is given by the above table:

Thus for each family with $b \neq 0$, the second moment $M_{2}^{S_{I}(\mathbf{v})}$ is as follows:

| $I_{0}=\emptyset$ | $q^{2} M_{2}^{S_{I}(\mathbf{v})}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0$ | $(q-1)^{3}+(2 q-1)^{2}$ |
| $n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=0$ | $(q-1)^{3}+q\left(m_{x}^{I}(q-1)+q^{2}\right)-(q-1)\left(q^{2}+q-1\right)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=1$ | $(q-1)^{3}+q\left(\left(m_{x}^{I}+m_{y}^{I}\right)(q-1)+1\right)-(q-1)(2 q-1)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=0$ | $(q-1)^{3}+q\left(m_{x}^{I}(q-1)+q^{2}\right)-(q-1)\left(q^{2}+d_{x}^{I}\right)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=1$ | $(q-1)^{3}+q\left(\left(m_{x}^{I}+m_{y}^{I}\right)(q-1)+1\right)-(q-1)\left(q+d_{x}^{I}\right)$ |
| $n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I} \geq 2$ | $(q-1)^{3}+q\left(\left(m_{x}^{I}+m_{y}^{I}\right)(q-1)+1\right)-(q-1)\left(d_{x}^{I}+d_{y}^{I}+1\right)$ |

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline I_{0} \neq \emptyset & q^{2} M_{2}^{S_{I}(\mathbf{v})} \\
\hline n_{x}^{I}=0, n_{y}^{I}=0 & (q-1)^{3}+(q-1)(2 q-1)^{2} \\
\hline n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=0 & (q-1)^{3}+(q-1)\left(m_{x}^{I}(q-1)+q^{2}\right) \\
\hline n_{x}^{I}=1, n_{y}^{I}=1 & (q-1)^{3}+(q-1)\left(\left(m_{x}^{I}+m_{y}^{I}\right)(q-1)+1\right) \\
\hline n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=0 & (q-1)^{3}+(q-1)\left(m_{x}^{I}(q-1)+q^{2}\right) \\
\hline n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I}=1 & (q-1)^{3}+(q-1)\left(\left(m_{x}^{I}+m_{y}^{I}\right)(q-1)+1\right) \\
\hline n_{x}^{I} \geq 2, n_{y}^{I} \geq 2 & (q-1)^{3}+(q-1)\left(\left(m_{x}^{I}+m_{y}^{I}\right)(q-1)+1\right) \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 .
Remark: The proof shows that in the case where $n_{x}^{I} \geq 2$ and $n_{y}^{I}=0$, we can get the same result even if $n_{x}^{I^{c}}>0$, and for the case when $n_{x}^{I} \geq 2$ and $n_{y}^{I} \geq 2$, no restriction on $I^{c}$ is necessary.

## 5. Fermat type curves

Consider the family of Fermat type curves over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{l}=x^{m}+a x^{k}+b, \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $l, m, k$ are positive integers. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{q, a, b}=q-\#\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2} \mid y^{l}=x^{m}+a x^{k}+b\right\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have the following result if we only average over $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Theorem 5.1 Using the above notation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}= \begin{cases}q\left(1-\frac{(l, q-1)}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{b}{q}\right)_{l}\right)\right) & \text { if } b \neq 0 \\
0 & \text { if } b=0\end{cases}  \tag{38}\\
& \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}=0 \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\frac{b}{q}\right)_{l}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } b=y_{0}^{l}, y_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \\ -1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 5.2 If $q$ is a prime power satisfying $\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, l)=2, \operatorname{gcd}(q-1, m)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, k)=1$, then

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}= \begin{cases}q^{2} & \text { if } b \neq 0,2 d \nmid q-1,  \tag{40}\\ q(q-d \eta(-1)) & \text { if } b \neq 0,2 d \mid q-1 \\ 0 & \text { if } b=0,2 d \nmid q-1, \\ q(q-1) d \eta(-1) & \text { if } b=0,2 d \mid q-1\end{cases}
$$

where $d=\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, m-k)$ and $\eta$ is the quadratic character for $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$.
When $l=2, m=3$, and $k=1$, Theorem 5.1 and 5.2 reduce to Theorem 3 and 4 in [8]. Notice that in the previous notation, for $N=4,\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right)=(m, k, 0,0)$, $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right)=(0,0, l, 0)$ and $I=\{2\}$, then $I$ is injective but $n_{x}^{I^{c}}=n_{y}^{I^{c}}=1$, thus Theorem 1.2 can not be applied in this case.
5.1. Proof of Theorem 5.1. By the definition of $T_{q, a, b}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{q, a, b}=-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{l}-x^{m}-a x^{k}-b\right)\right) . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By summing over $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b} & =-\sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{l}-x^{m}-a x^{k}-b\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{l}-x^{m}-a x^{k}\right)\right) \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}(-t b) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, if we average over $a$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b} & =-\sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{l}-x^{m}-a x^{k}-b\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{l}-x^{m}-b\right)\right) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(t\left(-a x^{k}\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{l}-b\right)\right) \\
& =q-\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{l}-b\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $b=0$, then

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}=0
$$

since only the term with $y=0$ gives contribution to the sum. If $b \neq 0$, then

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}=q\left(1-\frac{(l, q-1)}{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{b}{q}\right)_{l}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\left(\frac{b}{q}\right)_{l}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } b=y_{0}^{l}, y_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\ -1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This completes the proof.
5.2. Proof of Theorem 5.2. From (41),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{\substack{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}} \sum_{1_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{l}-x_{1}^{m}-a x_{1}^{k}-b\right)+t_{2}\left(y_{2}^{l}-x_{2}^{m}-a x_{2}^{k}-b\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{\substack{x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}} \sum_{\substack{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{l}-x_{1}^{m}-b\right)+t_{2}\left(y_{2}^{l}-x_{2}^{m}-b\right)\right) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(-a\left(t_{1} x_{1}^{k}+t_{2} x_{2}^{k}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The innermost sum is nonzero precisely when $x_{2}^{k}=-t_{2}^{-1} t_{1} x_{1}^{k}$. If $(k, q-1)=1$, there are integers $s, s^{\prime}$ such that $s k+s^{\prime}(q-1)=1$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{q} \sum_{x_{1}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{l}-b\right)+t_{2}\left(y_{2}^{l}-b\right)+\left(-t_{1} t_{2}^{1-s m} x_{1}^{m}\left(t_{1}^{s m-1}-t_{2}^{s m-1}\right)\right)\right. \\
= & \frac{1}{q} \sum_{y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{l}-b\right)+t_{2}\left(y_{2}^{l}-b\right)\right) \sum_{x_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(x_{1}^{m}\left(-t_{1} t_{2}^{1-s m}\left(t_{1}^{s m-1}-t_{2}^{s m-1}\right)\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption that $(m, q-1)=1$, we see that the inner sum contributes a factor of $q$ precisely when $t_{1}^{s m-1}=t_{2}^{s m-1}$. Raising both sides to the $k$-th power, we obtain $\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)^{m-k}=1$. The number of $(m-k)^{\text {th }}$ roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is $d=\operatorname{gcd}(m-k, q-1)$. For each such root $u$, the equality $t_{2}=u t_{1}$ holds. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(l, q-1)=2$, we can make a change of variable by replacing $y_{i}^{l / 2}$ by $y_{i}$. Thus we rewrite our sum as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}=\sum_{\substack{d \\ u^{d}=1, u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}} \sum_{y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{2}-b\right)+u t_{1}\left(y_{2}^{2}-b\right)\right) . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a fixed $u$, we now count the number of solutions $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1} y_{1}^{2}+u t_{1} y_{2}^{2}=t_{1} b(1+u) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\eta$ denote the quadratic character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. Using Theorem 8 of [8], which gives the number of solutions to certain quadratic forms, we see that in the case $b \neq 0$, if $u \neq-1$ there are exactly

$$
\begin{equation*}
q-\eta\left(-t_{1}^{2} u\right)=q-\eta(-u) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

solutions to (43), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q+(q-1) \eta\left(-t_{1}^{2} u\right)=2 q-1 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

solutions when $u=-1$. Since the sum over $t_{1}$ excludes 0 , each solution $\left(u, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ contributes $q-1$ to our sum and each non-solution ( $u, y_{1}, y_{2}$ ) contributes -1 . By combining this with the number of solutions to (43), (44) and (45), we find our sum in
(42) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2} & =(q-1)\left(\sum_{u^{d}=1, u \neq-1}(q-\eta(-u))+2 q-1\right) \\
& \left.-\left(d q^{2}-\left(\sum_{u^{d}=1, u \neq-1}(q-\eta(-u))+2 q-1\right)\right)\right) \\
& =q\left(q-1-\sum_{u^{d}=1, u \neq-1} \eta(-u)\right) \\
& =q\left(q-\sum_{u^{d}=1} \eta(-u)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if $b=0$, the number of solutions to (43) equals

$$
(q-1)(1+\eta(-u))+1=q+(q-1) \eta(-u)
$$

Summing over $u^{d}=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2} & =(q-1)\left(\sum_{u^{d}=1}(q+(q-1) \eta(-u))\right) \\
& -\left(d q^{2}-\left(\sum_{u^{d}=1}(q+(q-1) \eta(-u))\right)\right) \\
& =q(q-1) \sum_{u^{d}=1} \eta(-u)
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion,

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}= \begin{cases}q\left(q-\sum_{u^{d}=1} \eta(-u)\right) & \text { if } b \neq 0  \tag{46}\\ q(q-1) \sum_{u^{d}=1} \eta(-u) & \text { if } b=0\end{cases}
$$

If $2 d \nmid q-1$, exactly half of the $u$ satisfying $u^{d}=1$ are squares in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, thus the sum over all the $u$ 's is zero. In this case, (46) can be simplified as

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}= \begin{cases}q^{2} & \text { if } b \neq 0  \tag{47}\\ 0 & \text { if } b=0\end{cases}
$$

If $2 d \mid q-1$, every $u$ satisfying $u^{d}=1$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and since there are $d$ such $u$ 's, one can see that (46) becomes

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}= \begin{cases}q(q-d \eta(-1)) & \text { if } b \neq 0  \tag{48}\\ q(q-1) d \eta(-1) & \text { if } b=0\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the quadratic character $\eta$ of the prime field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is given by the Legendre symbol $(\dot{\bar{p}})$. Thus (46) becomes

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}= \begin{cases}p\left(p-d\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\right) & \text { if } b \neq 0  \tag{49}\\ 0 & \text { if } b=0\end{cases}
$$

If $\left[\mathbb{F}_{q}: \mathbb{F}_{p}\right] \geq 2$, then $\eta(-1)=1$, thus (46) becomes

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}= \begin{cases}q(q-d) & \text { if } b \neq 0  \tag{50}\\ q(q-1) d & \text { if } b=0\end{cases}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2 .

## 6. Hasse-Davenport Curves

For a fixed positive integer $n$ and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, the Hasse-Davenport curve is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{a}: y^{2}+y=a x^{n} . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n$ is an odd positive integer, the number of points of curves in this family is closely related to the weight distribution of irreducible cyclic codes. A special type of binary linear code was considered by Van der Vlugt in [10], where he provided some explicit formulae for the weight distribution of such codes when $n=p q$ where $p$ and $q$ are primes satisfying $\operatorname{gcd}(p-1, q-1)=2$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{n}(2)=\phi(n) / 2$.

We prove a formula for the average value of the second moment of $T_{q, a, b}$ over a generalized Hasse-Davenport family $C_{a, b}: y^{2}+y=a x^{n}+b$.

Theorem 6.1 Let $n$ be an integer and $T_{q, a, b}=q-\#\left\{(x, y) \in F_{q}^{2}: y^{2}+y=a x^{n}+b\right\}$. Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, n)$. We have the following:
When $q$ is even,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} T_{q, a, b}=0  \tag{52}\\
& \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}=(d-1) q(q-1) \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

and when $q$ is odd,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{a \in \mathbb{P}_{q}^{*}} T_{q, a, b}=0,  \tag{54}\\
& \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} T_{p, a, b}^{2}= \begin{cases}(d-1) q(q-1) & \text { if } 4 b+1 \neq 0, n \text { odd }, \\
(d-2) q(q-1)+(q-1) & \text { if } 4 b+1 \neq 0, n \text { even } \\
0 & \text { if } 4 b+1=0, n \text { odd }, \\
d(q-1)^{3} & \text { if } 4 b+1=0, n \text { even } .\end{cases} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. When $q$ is even, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b} & =-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{2}+y-a x^{n}-b\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{2}+y-b\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}(-t b) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{2}+y\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}(-t b) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(\left(t^{2}+t\right) y^{2}\right) \\
& =-q e_{q}(b) . \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

For the second moment, using (26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}=\frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{\substack{x_{i}, y_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\ i=1,2}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{1}-a x_{1}^{n}-b\right)+t_{2}\left(y_{2}^{2}+y_{2}-a x_{2}^{n}-b\right)\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

After an interchange the order of summation, the right-hand side becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(-\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) b\right) \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2}, a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(-a\left(t_{1} x_{1}^{n}+t_{2} x_{2}^{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{y_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{1}\right)\right) \sum_{y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(t_{2}\left(y_{2}^{2}+y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(-\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right) b\right) \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2}, a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(-a\left(t_{1} x_{1}^{n}+t_{2} x_{2}^{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{y_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(\left(t_{1}+t_{1}^{2}\right) y_{1}^{2}\right) \sum_{y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(\left(t_{2}+t_{2}^{2}\right) y_{2}^{2}\right) . \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

The inner two sums are nonzero only if both $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ satisfy $t^{2}+t=0$. Since $t^{2}+t=0$ has $t=-1$ as its only nonzero solution, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2} & =\sum_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(-a\left(x_{1}^{n}+x_{2}^{n}\right)\right) \\
& =q(d(q-1)+1) \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

as there are $d(q-1)$ nonzero solutions for $x_{1}^{n}+x_{2}^{n}=0$, and $x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0$ is the only solution such that at least one of $x_{1}, x_{2}$ is zero. When $a=0$, the equation becomes $y^{2}+y=b$ and this equation has two solutions if and only if $\operatorname{Tr}(b)=0$. Thus

$$
T_{q, 0, b}= \begin{cases}-q & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}(b)=0  \tag{60}\\ q & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}(b) \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

When $q$ is odd, by a change of variables by replacing $2 y_{i}+1$ by $y_{i}$ and $4 a$ by $a$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b} & =-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{2}-1-a x^{n}-4 b\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{2}-1-4 b\right)\right) \\
& =q-\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{2}-1-4 b\right)\right) \\
& =-\eta(4 b+1) q . \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

The second moment is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{\substack{x_{i}, y_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
i=1,2}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{2}-a x_{1}^{n}-4 b-1\right)+t_{2}\left(y_{2}^{2}-a x_{2}^{n}-4 b-1\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{\substack{x_{i}, y_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
i=1,2}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{2}-4 b-1\right)+t_{2}\left(y_{2}^{2}-4 b-1\right)\right) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(-a\left(t_{1} x_{1}^{n}+t_{2} x_{2}^{n}\right)\right) . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

The only contribution to the sum is from tuples $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ which satisfy the equation $t_{1} x_{1}^{n}+t_{2} x_{2}^{n}=0$. If $x_{2}=0$ then only $x_{1}=0$ will contribute to the sum and there is no restriction on $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$. If $x_{1} x_{2} \neq 0$, we write $t_{2}=u t_{1}$ and $x_{1}=v x_{2}$, then the condition becomes

$$
x_{2}^{n}\left(u+v^{n}\right)=0
$$

We have $d$ solutions for $v^{n}=1$, where $d=\operatorname{gcd}(q-1, n)$. So, (62) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2} & =\frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{y_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
i=1,2}} \sum_{t_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}\left(\sum_{x_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \sum_{u+v^{n}=0} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}+\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}\right) e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{2}+u y_{2}^{2}-(1+u)(4 b+1)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{y_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\
i=1,2}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}\left((q-1) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \sum_{u+v^{n}=0}+\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}\right) e_{q}\left(t\left(y_{1}^{2}+u y_{2}^{2}-(1+u)(4 b+1)\right)\right) \\
& =\Pi_{1}+\Pi_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{1}=\frac{(q-1)}{q} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \sum_{y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y_{1}^{2}-v^{n} y_{2}^{2}+\left(v^{n}-1\right)(4 b+1)\right)\right)  \tag{63}\\
& \Pi_{2}=\frac{1}{q} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \sum_{y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y_{1}^{2}+u y_{2}^{2}-(u+1)(4 b+1)\right)\right) \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

From Theorem 8 of [8], which can also be found in [9], pp 282-293, we can see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{1} & =(q-1) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}\left(q+\nu\left(\left(1-v^{n}\right)(4 b+1)\right)\right) \eta\left(v^{n}\right)-q(q-1)^{2} \\
& =(q-1) \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \nu\left(\left(1-v^{n}\right)(4 b+1)\right) \eta\left(v^{n}\right) . \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of $\nu$ in (15), and the fact that

$$
\sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \eta\left(v^{n}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n \text { is odd }  \tag{66}\\ q-1 & \text { if } n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{1} & =\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
(q-1)\left(\sum_{v^{n}=1} q-\sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \eta\left(v^{n}\right)\right) & \text { if } 4 b+1 \neq 0 \\
(q-1)^{2} \sum_{v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \eta\left(v^{n}\right) & \text { if } 4 b+1=0
\end{array}\right. \\
& = \begin{cases}d q(q-1) & \text { if } 4 b+1 \neq 0, n \text { odd } \\
d q(q-1)-(q-1)^{2} & \text { if } 4 b+1 \neq 0, n \text { even } \\
0 & \text { if } 4 b+1=0, n \text { odd } \\
d(q-1)^{3} & \text { if } 4 b+1=0, n \text { even }\end{cases} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly for $\Pi_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{2} & =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}(q+\nu((1-u)(4 b+1))) \eta(u)-q(q-1) \\
& =\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \nu((1-u)(4 b+1)) \eta(u) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
q & \text { if } & 4 b+1 \neq 0 \\
0 & \text { if } & 4 b+1=0
\end{array}\right. \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

In summary, when $q$ is odd, we have

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}= \begin{cases}d q(q-1)+q & \text { if } 4 b+1 \neq 0, n \text { odd }  \tag{69}\\ d q(q-1)-(q-1)^{2}+q & \text { if } 4 b+1 \neq 0, n \text { even } \\ 0 & \text { if } 4 b+1=0, n \text { odd } \\ d(q-1)^{3} & \text { if } 4 b+1=0, n \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

When $a=0$, the curve reduces to two lines $y(y+1)=b$, which give $q(\eta(4 b+1)+1)$ points in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in total. Thus $T_{q, 0, b}=-q \eta(4 b+1)$, and this together with (69) completes the proof of the theorem.

## 7. Artin-Schreier Curves

For a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with characteristic $p$, the Artin-Schreier curve is defined by $y^{p}-y=f(x)$, where $f(x)$ is a rational function in $\mathbb{F}_{q}(x)$. Write $q=p^{e}$. Wolfmann in [12] considered the case when $e=2 t, f(x)=a x^{n}+b$, where $n$ is a divisor of $q-1$ and has the property that there exists a divisor $r$ of $t$ such that $q^{r} \equiv-1(\bmod n)$. Coulter in [6] considered a similar family defined by $y^{p^{\alpha}}-y=a x^{p^{\beta}+1}+b x$ and gave formulae for the number of points for several cases. More results can be found in [3], where both results are generalized. The number of points depends on the exponential sum of the type $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(a x^{n}\right)$, and the case $n=p^{\beta}+1$ has been explicitly computed in [4] and [5]. Here we consider a family of curves defined by

$$
y^{p^{\alpha}}-y=a x^{n}+b,
$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\alpha, n \in \mathbb{N}$. As before we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{q, a, b}=-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{p^{\alpha}}-y-a x^{n}-b\right)\right) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will give explicit formulae for the first and second moment for $T_{q, a, b}$, and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ for all integers $n$.

Theorem 7.1 With the notation above and $d=\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, e)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} T_{q, a, b}=0, \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}= \begin{cases}\left(p^{d}-1\right) q(q-1)\left(\operatorname{gcd}\left(n\left(p^{d}-1\right), q-1\right)-\left(p^{d}-1\right)\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b)=0  \tag{72}\\ q(q-1)\left(p^{d} \operatorname{gcd}(n, q-1)-\operatorname{gcd}\left(n\left(p^{d}-1\right), q-1\right)-1\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b) \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. From (23), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b} & =-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{p^{\alpha}}-y-a x^{n}-b\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t\left(y^{p^{\alpha}}-y-b\right)\right) \\
& =-\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}(-t b) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(\left(t-t^{p^{\alpha}}\right) y^{p^{\alpha}}\right) \\
& =-q \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}} e_{q}(-t b) \\
& = \begin{cases}-q\left(p^{d}-1\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b)=0, \\
q & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b) \neq 0 .\end{cases} \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

When $a=0$, the equation reduces to $y^{p^{\alpha}}-y=b$. From Lemma 3.4 of [6], the equation has a solution only when $\operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b)=0$, and there are $p^{d}$ such solutions. Thus,

$$
T_{q, 0, b}= \begin{cases}q-p^{d} q & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b)=0  \tag{74}\\ q & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b) \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Combining (73) and (74), we obtain the first moment for $T_{q, a, b}, a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$.
For the second moment, we have

$$
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}=\frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{\substack{ \\a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}} \sum_{\substack{x_{i}, y_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\ i=1,2}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(t_{1}\left(y_{1}^{p^{\alpha}}-y_{1}-a x_{1}^{n}-b\right)+t_{2}\left(y_{2}^{p^{\alpha}}-y_{2}-a x_{2}^{n}-b\right)\right) .
$$

Interchanging the order of summation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{q^{2}} \sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(-t_{1} b-t_{2} b\right) \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(-a\left(t_{1} x_{1}^{n}+t_{2} x_{2}^{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{y_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(\left(t_{1}-t_{1}^{p^{\alpha}}\right) y_{1}^{p^{\alpha}}\right) \sum_{y_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(\left(t_{2}-t_{2}^{p^{\alpha}}\right) y_{2}^{p^{\alpha}}\right) \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

The inner sum is nonzero precisely when $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ both satisfy the equation $t-t^{p^{\alpha}}=0$, whose solutions are exactly the elements in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}$. This simplifies the left hand side to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}} e_{q}\left(-t_{1} b-t_{2} b\right) \sum_{x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} e_{q}\left(-a\left(t_{1} x_{1}^{n}+t_{2} x_{2}^{n}\right)\right) . \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inner sum is nonzero only if

$$
t_{1} x_{1}^{n}+t_{2} x_{2}^{n}=0
$$

If we separate the zero solution which contributes $q$ to the sum and write $x_{1}=v x_{2}$ and $t_{2}=u t_{1}$ for the nonzero solutions, we see that (76) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t_{1}, u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}} e_{q}\left(-t_{1} b-t_{1} u b\right)\left(\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{x_{2}, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} e_{q}\left(-a t_{1} x_{2}^{n}\left(v^{n}+u\right)\right)+q\right) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only the solutions to the equation

$$
v^{n}+u=0, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}
$$

will contribute to the sum. For each $v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ satisfying

$$
v^{n\left(p^{d}-1\right)}-1=0
$$

we obtain an element $u$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}$, and vice versa. Also notice that

$$
\sum_{t_{1}, u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}} e_{q}\left(-t_{1}(1+u) b\right)= \begin{cases}\left(p^{d}-1\right)^{2} & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b)=0  \tag{78}\\ 1 & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b) \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Thus (77) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{t_{1} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}}\left(q(q-1) \sum_{v^{n}+u=0, v \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}} e_{q}\left(-t_{1}(1+u) b\right)+\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{d}}^{*}} q\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}q\left(\left(p^{d}-1\right)(q-1) \operatorname{gcd}\left(n\left(p^{d}-1\right), q-1\right)+\left(p^{d}-1\right)^{2}\right) & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b)=0 \\
q(q-1)\left(-\operatorname{gcd}\left(n\left(p^{d}-1\right), q-1\right)+p^{d} \operatorname{gcd}(n, q-1)\right)+q & \text { if } \operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b) \neq 0\end{cases} \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining 74 and 79 , we complete the proof of the theorem.

Remark: If we average over $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ as well, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}=\left(p^{d}-1\right) q(q(q-1) \operatorname{gcd}(n, q-1)+q) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

by noticing that in (75), if we sum over $b$, we need to have $t_{1}+t_{2}=0$. This agrees with Theorem 7.1 since there are $q / p^{d}$ elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}_{d}(b)=0$.

Also, if we consider the family defined by

$$
y^{p^{d}}-y=a x^{p^{\alpha}+1}
$$

if $e / d$ is even, according to [6], there will be $(q-1) /\left(p^{d}+1\right) a^{\prime} s$ such that $T_{q, a, 0}^{2}$ is $q\left(p^{d}-1\right)^{2} p^{2 d}$ and for the rest of the $a^{\prime} s$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}, T_{q, a, 0}^{2}$ is $q\left(p^{d}-1\right)^{2}$. This agrees with Theorem 7.1, which becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} T_{q, a, b}^{2}=q(q-1) p^{d}\left(p^{d}-1\right)^{2} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

after an application of Lemma 2.3 in [6], which says that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{\alpha}+1, p^{e}-1\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p=2  \tag{82}\\ 2 & \text { if } e / d \text { odd } \\ p^{d}+1 & \text { if } e / d \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

Thus when $e / d$ is even, $T_{q, a, b} \sim p^{d / 2}\left(p^{d}-1\right) \sqrt{q}$ on average, while the Weil bound in this case is $p^{d}\left(p^{\alpha}-1\right) \sqrt{q}$, so not all the curves in this family are maximal or minimal.
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