

Properties of dimensionRecap:

① $X = \text{affine algebraic set}$, then
 $\dim X = \text{trdeg } A(X)$

② $X \subseteq Y \Rightarrow \dim X \leq \dim Y$

③ X closed in Y , Y irreducible $\Rightarrow \dim X < \dim Y$
 ~~$X \neq Y$~~

④ $U \subseteq X$ open, X irreducible $\Rightarrow \dim U = \dim X$
 (nonempty)

Proof

there is $D(f) = \{f \neq 0\}$ such that

$$D(f) \subseteq U \subseteq X \quad D(f) \text{ affine}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim D(f) \leq \dim U \leq \dim X$$

$$\text{trdeg } A(X)[f^{-1}] \quad \text{trdeg } A(X)$$

Fact (w/o proof) If A is an integral domain

then $\text{trdeg } A = \text{trdeg } \text{Quot}(A) \leftarrow \text{field of fractions}$

Therefore $\text{trdeg } A(X)[f^{-1}] = \text{trdeg } A(X) = \dim X$
 $\text{trdeg } \text{Quot}(A)$

and we are done.



Cor $U = \text{open subset in } A^n$
 (nonempty)

Cor $U = \text{open subset in } \mathbb{A}^n$
 $\Rightarrow \dim U = n$.

(5) $\dim(X \times Y) = \dim X + \dim Y$ (w/o proof)

(6) Thm (Krull principal ideal theorem)

X irreducible $\Rightarrow f \in A(X)$ and $\{f=0\} \neq X, \emptyset$.

Then all irreducible components of $\{f=0\}$
 have dimension exactly $\dim X - 1$.

Rank $\text{trdeg } A/(f) = \text{trdeg } A - 1$.

Proof: Skip, see e.g. Clader-Ross section 6.6.

Cor Suppose X irreducible, and

$Y = \{f_1 = \dots = f_k = 0\}$ in X .

Then all irreducible components of Y have
 dimension $\dim(Y_i) \geq \dim X - k$.

Proof Induction on k . Suppose

$Z = \{f_1 = \dots = f_{k-1} = 0\}$, then by assumption
 of induction all irreducible components of Z
 have dimension $\dim Z_i \geq \dim X - k + 1$

Now $Y = \{f_k = 0\} \cap Z = \cup (\{f_k = 0\} \cap Z_i)$

By Thm, we have the following cases:

By Thm, we have the following cases:

- $\{f_k = 0\} \cap Z_i = \emptyset \quad \text{OK}$
- $\{f_k = 0\} \cap Z_i = Z_i \Rightarrow \dim \geq \dim X - k + 1$
- $\{f_k = 0\} \cap Z_i \neq Z_i, \& \Rightarrow \text{by Thm all irred.}$

Components of $\{f_k = 0\} \cap Z_i$ have $\dim \geq \dim X - k$.

Def $Y = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subset X$ is called a complete intersection in X if for all irreducible components we have $\dim Y_i = \dim X - k$.

Example: twisted cubic $C = \varphi(\mathbb{P}^1)$

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{P}^3$$

$$[x_0 : x_1] \longrightarrow [y_0 : y_1 : y_2 : y_3] = [x_0^3 : x_0^2 x_1 : x_0 x_1^2 : x_1^3]$$

HW: C closed, defined by 3 equations

$$y_0 y_2 = y_1^2, \quad y_1 y_3 = y_2^2, \quad y_0 y_3 = y_1 y_2.$$

Since $\mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} C$, $\dim \mathbb{P}^1 = \dim C = 1$.

By thm, $\dim C \geq \dim \mathbb{P}^3 - \# \text{equations} = 3 - 3 = 0$.

This is fine, but can we see what's going on?

- $u_+ u_- = u_+ u_-$ is nondegenerate quadric.

- $y_0y_3 = y_1y_2$ is nondegenerate quadric. \checkmark
 irreducible, $\dim = 3 - 1 = 2$.
 (In fact, it is Segre quadric $\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$).
- $\{y_0y_3 = y_1y_2, y_0y_2 = y_1^2\} = \mathcal{Z}$
 By this we know that \mathcal{Z} is defined by one equation in \mathbb{Q} , so all component of \mathcal{Z} have dimension $\dim \mathbb{Q} - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$.
 What are they?
 - 1) If $y_0 = 0$ then from $y_0y_2 = y_1^2$ we get $y_1 = 0$ and the first equation holds automatically.
 So we get a line $\{y_0 = y_1 = 0\}$
 - 2) If $y_0 \neq 0$ then we can assume $y_0 = 1$ and $y_2 = y_1^2$, $y_3 = y_1$, $y_1y_2 = y_1^3$, so $[y_0 : y_1 : y_2 : y_3] = [1 : y_1 : y_1^2 : y_1^3]$.
 This is our twisted cubic C (in chart).
 So $\mathcal{Z} = C \cup \{y_0 = y_1 = 0\}$ has 2 irreducible components, both $\dim = 1$.
 Intersect at $[0 : 0 : 0 : 1]$.
 - Finally, we write the last equation $\{y_1y_3 = y_2^2\}$.
 It holds on C , but on $\{y_0 = y_1 = 0\}$ implies

It holds in \mathbb{C} , but in \mathbb{A}^n implies

$$y_2 = 0, \text{ so } [y_0:y_1:y_2:y_3] = [0:0:0:y_3] = [0:0:0:1].$$

Then $X, Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ irreducible, closed.

Then all components of $X \cap Y$ have dimension at least $\dim X + \dim Y - n$.

Pf: Consider $X \times Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{A}^{2n}$ $\dim X \times Y = \dim X + \dim Y$
 $x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_n = y_n$

$$\text{Let } Z = X \times Y \cap \{x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_n = y_n\}$$

On the one hand, $Z \cong X \cap Y$, on the other

Z is cut out by n equations in $X \times Y$
 $\Rightarrow \dim Z \geq \dim X \times Y - n = \dim X + \dim Y - n$.

