19. Basis and Dimension

In the last Section, we established the notion of a linearly independent set of vectors in a vector space V, and of a set of vectors that span V. We saw that any set of vectors that span V can be reduced to some minimal collection of linearly independent vectors; such a set is called a *basis* of the subspace V.

Definition Let V be a vector space. Then a set S is a *basis* for V if S is linearly independent and span S = V.

If S is a basis of V and S has only finitely many elements, then we say that V is *finite-dimensional*. The number of vectors in S is the *dimension* of V.

Suppose V is a *finite-dimensional* vector space, and S and T are two different bases for V. One might worry that S and T have a different number of vectors; then we would have to talk about the dimension of V in terms of the basis S or in terms of the basis T. Luckily this isn't what happens. Later in this section, we will show that S and T must have the same number of vectors. This means that the dimension of a vector space does not depend on the basis. In fact, dimension is a very important way to characterize of any vector space V.

Example $P_n(t)$ has a basis $\{1, t, ..., t^n\}$, since every polynomial of degree less than or equal to n is a sum

$$a^0 1 + a^1 t + \ldots + a^n t^n, \qquad a^i \in \mathbb{R}$$

so $P_n(t) = \text{span}\{1, t, \dots, t^n\}$. This set of vectors is linearly independent: If the polynomial $p(t) = c^0 1 + c^1 t + \dots + c^n t^n = 0$, then $c^0 = c^1 = \dots = c^n = 0$, so p(t) is the zero polynomial.

Then $P_n(t)$ is finite dimensional, and dim $P_n(t) = n + 1$.

Theorem. Let $S = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis for a vector space V. Then every vector $w \in V$ can be written uniquely as a linear combination of vectors in the basis S:

$$w = c^1 v_1 + \ldots + c^n v_n.$$

Proof. Since S is a basis for V, then span S = V, and so there exists constants c^i such that $w = c^1 v_1 + \ldots + c^n v_n$.

Suppose there exists a second set of constants d^i such that $w = d^1v_1 + \dots + d^nv_n$. Then:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0_V &=& w - w \\
&=& c^1 v_1 + \ldots + c^n v_n - d^1 v_1 + \ldots + d^n v_n \\
&=& (c^1 - d^1) v_1 + \ldots + (c^n - d^n) v_n.
\end{array}$$

If it occurs exactly once that $c^i \neq d^i$, then the equation reduces to $0 = (c^i - d^i)v_i$, which is a contradiction since the vectors v_i are assumed to be non-zero.

If we have more than one *i* for which $c^i \neq d^i$, we can use this last equation to write one of the vectors in *S* as a linear combination of other vectors in *S*, which contradicts the assumption that *S* is linearly independent. Then for every *i*, $c^i = d^i$.

Next, we would like to establish a method for determining whether a collection of vectors forms a basis for \mathbb{R}^n . But first, we need to show that any two bases for a finite-dimensional vector space has the same number of vectors.

Lemma. If $S = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a basis for a vector space V and $T = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ is a linearly independent set of vectors in V, then $m \leq n$.

Proof. The idea is to start with the set S and replace vectors in S one at a time with vectors from T, such that after each replacement we still have a basis for V.

Since S spans V, then the set $\{w_1, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is linearly dependent. Then we can write w_1 as a linear combination of the v_i ; using that equation, we can express one of the v_i in terms of w_1 and the remaining v_j with $j \neq i$. Then we can discard one of the v_i from this set to obtain a linearly independent set that still spans V. Now we need to prove that S_1 is a basis; we need to show that S_1 is linearly independent and that S_1 spans V.

The set $S_1 = \{w_1, v_1, \ldots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ is linearly independent: By the previous theorem, there was a unique way to express w_1 in terms of the set S. Now, to obtain a contradiction, suppose there is some k and constants c^i such that

$$v_k = c^0 w_1 + c^1 v_1 + \ldots + c^{i-1} v_{i-1} + c^{i+1} v_{i+1} + \ldots + c^n v_n.$$

Then replacing w_1 with its expression in terms of the collection S gives a way to express the vector v_k as a linear combination of the vectors in S, which contradicts the linear independence of S. On the other hand, we cannot express w_1 as a linear combination of the vectors in $\{v_j | j \neq i\}$, since the expression of w_1 in terms of S was unique, and had a non-zero coefficient on the vector v_i . Then no vector in S_1 can be expressed as a combination of other vectors in S_1 , which demonstrates that S_1 is linearly independent.

The set S_1 spans V: For any $u \in V$, we can express u as a linear combination of vectors in S. But we can express v_i as a linear combination of vectors in the collection S_1 ; rewriting v_i as such allows us to express u as a linear combination of the vectors in S_1 .

Then S_1 is a basis of V with n vectors.

We can now iterate this process, replacing one of the v_i in S_1 with w_2 , and so on. If $m \leq n$, this process ends with the set $S_m = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m, v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_{n-m}}\}$, which is fine.

Otherwise, we have m > n, and the set $S_n = \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\}$ is a basis for V. But we still have some vector w_{n+1} in T that is not in S_n . Since S_n is a basis, we can write w_{n+1} as a combination of the vectors in S_n , which contradicts the linear independence of the set T. Then it must be the case that $m \leq n$, as desired.

Corollary. For a finite dimensional vector space V, any two bases for V have the same number of vectors.

Proof. Let S and T be two bases for V. Then both are linearly independent sets that span V. Suppose S has n vectors and T has m vectors. Then by the previous lemma, we have that $m \leq n$. But (exchanging the roles of S and T in application of the lemma) we also see that $n \leq m$. Then m = n, as desired.

Bases in \mathbb{R}^n .

From one of the review questions, we know that

$$\mathbb{R}^{n} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\\vdots\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\\vdots\\1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

and that this set of vectors is linearly independent. So this set of vectors is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n , and dim $\mathbb{R}^n = n$. This basis is often called the *standard* or *canonical basis* for \mathbb{R}^n . The vector with a one in the *i*th position and zeros everywhere else is written e_i . It points in the direction of the *i*th coordinate axis, and has unit length. In multivariable calculus classes, this basis is often written $\{i, j, k\}$ for \mathbb{R}^3 .

Bases are not unique. While there exists a unique way to express a vector in terms of any particular basis, bases themselves are far from unique. For example, both of the sets:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ and } \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

are bases for \mathbb{R}^2 . Rescaling one of these sets is already enough to show that \mathbb{R}^2 has infinitely many bases. But even if we require that all of the basis vectors have unit length, it turns out that there are still infinitely many bases for \mathbb{R}^2 . (See Review Question 3.)

To see whether a collection of vectors $S = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^n , we have to check that they are linearly independent and that they span \mathbb{R}^n . From the previous discussion, we also know that m must equal n, so assume S has n vectors.

If S is linearly independent, then there is no non-trivial solution of the equation

$$0 = x^1 v_1 + \ldots + x^n v_n.$$

Let M be a matrix whose columns are the vectors v_i . Then the above equation is equivalent to requiring that there is a unique solution to MX = 0.

To see if S spans \mathbb{R}^n , we take an arbitrary vector w and solve the linear system

$$w = x^1 v_1 + \ldots + x^n v_n$$

in the unknowns c^i . For this, we need to find a unique solution for the linear system MX = w.

Thus, we need to show that M^{-1} exists, so that

$$X = M^{-1}w$$

is the unique solution we desire. Then we see that S is a basis for V if and only if det $M \neq 0$.

Theorem. Let $S = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ be a collection of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Let M be the matrix whose columns are the vectors in S. Then S is a basis for V if and only if m is the dimension of V and

$$\det M \neq 0.$$

Example Let

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ and } T = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Then set $M_S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since det $M_S = 1 \neq 0$, then S is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 . Likewise, set $M_T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since det $M_T = -2 \neq 0$, then T is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .

References

- Hefferon, Chapter Two, Section II: Linear Independence
- Hefferon, Chapter Two, Section III.1: Basis

Wikipedia:

- Linear Independence
- Basis

Review Questions

- 1. Let S be a collection of vectors in a vector space V. Show that if every vector w in V can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of vectors in S, then S is a basis of V. (This is the converse to the theorem in the lecture.)
- 2. Show that the set of all linear transformations mapping $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is itself a vector space. Find a basis for this vector space. Do you think your proof could be modified to work for linear transformations $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$?

(Hint: Represent \mathbb{R}^3 as column vectors, and argue that a linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ is just a column vector.)

(Hint: If you are really stuck (or just curious), look up "dual space." This is a big idea, though, and could just be more confusing.)

3. *i*. Draw the collection of all unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 .

- *ii.* Let $S_x = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, x \}$, where x is a unit vector in \mathbb{R}^2 . For which x is S_x a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 ?
- 4. Let B^n be the vector space of column vectors with bit ({0,1}) entries. Write down every basis for B^1 and B^2 . How many bases are there for B^3 ? B^4 ? Can you make a conjecture for the number of bases for B^n ? (Hint: You can build up a basis for B^n by choosing one vector at a time, such that the vector you choose is not in the span of the previous vectors you've chosen. How many vectors are in the span of any one vector? Any two vectors? How many vectors are in the span of any k vectors, for $k \leq n$?)