④ skip some Topology of theComplex <sup>e</sup> : (Skip some)<br>( proofs 0<br>Le nois Defn: The "topology" of C or R is the collection <u>) le</u><br>lefn : of open sets of points in R<sup>2</sup>. The subject of Jety: The topology of work? The subject of<br>of open sets of points in IR. The subject of in terms of the open sets. teri:<br>sets. · Turns out-many notions related to convergence are better conceptualized when expressed in terms  $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ better conceptualised when expressed in ter Compactness: in terms<br>Turns out-1<br>better conce<br>of open set<br>The centre · The central problem of mathematical analysis is determing when an approximation scheme is actually converging - i . orinains. appoximating what it is supposed to approximate? For example, computers can only generate approximations to solutions of equations , so how do you determine the numerics is correct? The basic stategy of math analysis to prove the approximation <sup>↓</sup> set obtain a of math analysis to prove the convergent subsequence , wove the approximation<br>pact set, obtain a<br>and prove its limit is an in C<br>- <u>lim</u><br>2xoct  $\frac{1}{3}$  is an<br> $\frac{1}{3}$  is an

• Comparable is **best** expressed in terms of  
\nopen sets, ie expressed "topologically".  
\n40 **Deyn sets**, ie expressed "topologically".  
\n41 **Defn**; A set 
$$
\theta \in \mathbb{C}
$$
 (or R) is **open** if  
\n $\forall z \in \mathbb{O} \exists \epsilon > 0$  if  $B_{\epsilon}(z) \in \mathbb{O}$ .  
\nFor every  $z \in \mathbb{O}$  there is a ball of radius  $\epsilon > 0$   
\n $\therefore$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{O}$  there is a ball of radius  $\epsilon > 0$   
\n $\therefore$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{O}$  there is a ball of radius  $\epsilon > 0$   
\nSo we open set  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ ." Closed sets are the  
\ncomponent of open sets"  
\nHere  $\theta^e = \theta^e = \theta^e$  compared "e's zero" is a real, and  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e = \theta^e$  compared "e's zero" is a real, and  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e = \theta^e$  compared for  $\theta^e = \theta^e$   
\n $\therefore$  The sum of the vertices of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e = \theta^e$  compared for  $\theta^e = \theta^e$   
\n $\therefore$  The sum of the vertices of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e = \theta^e$  (or R) is **open** of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e = \theta^e$  (or R) is **open** of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e$  (or R) is **open** of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e$  (or R) is **open** of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e$  (or R) is **open** of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e$  (or R) is **open** of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e$  (or R) is **open** of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e$  (or R) is **open** of the  
\n $\theta^e = \theta^e$  (or R) is **open**

 $\overline{\mathbf{t}}$ 

 $\odot$ 

**Definition 3.1 neghlorhood** (nbd) of a point 
$$
z_{0}
$$
 is  
\nany open set which contains  $z_{0}$   
\nA deleted hold of  $z_{0}$  is  $C_{open} \le z_{0}z_{0}$   
\nwhere  $C_{open}$  is an open set containing  $z_{0}$   
\nthus  $C_{open}$  is an open set containing  $z_{0}$   
\n
$$
[Hw]
$$
 Prove **0** and  $\emptyset = empty$  set are the only  
\nsets which are both open and closed.  
\n**Definition**  $z_{n-1} = z_{0}$  ( $z_{n-1} \Rightarrow z_{0}$ ) if  $V \in \mathcal{P}$   
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-2} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-2} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-2} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-2} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-2} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-2} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-1} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-1} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-1} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-1} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-1} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-1} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-1} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{ s.t } n > N \Rightarrow |z_{n-1} \le 1
$$
  
\n
$$
\exists N \text{
$$



· Given a subset E E l', the boundary of E, (5) denoted  $\overline{\partial E}$ , is the set of points z'El such that every nbhd of Z contains both points<br>in E and in E<sup>c</sup>. (Int E = {Z = E st B<sub>E</sub> (Z) = E some E > 0})  $\cdot$  lefn (5);  $z_0 e$  de if  $\forall \epsilon \exists z_1, z_2 e \mathbb{C}$  st  $Z_{12}Z_{2}\in\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon}(z)$  with  $Z_{1}\in E_{1}$ ,  $Z_{2}\notin E$  (i.e  $Z_{2}\in E^{\circ}$ ). Picture:  $v_{\overline{z}}$  in boundary of  $E''$   $\xrightarrow{b} \overline{z_{10}}$   $\overline{z_{2}}$   $\xrightarrow{B} \overline{z_{2}}$ Turns Out: closed sets, defined as complements of open boundary Thm(6): ECC is closed iff JECF Lefn (6) :  $\overline{E}$  = "closure of  $E'' = E \cup 2E$  $\underline{\text{lim}}\oplus$  : E is closed, and  $\overline{\overline{E}}$  =  $\overline{E}$ . Cor: E is closed iff E is closed under limits - by which we mean that any point which is the Sinit ot a sequence in E, is also in E.

 $\left( 6\right)$ · Defn (7): f is continuous at  $z_0$  if  $f(z_0)$ is defined, and  $\lim_{z\to z_0} f(z) = z_0$ . The following famous theorem shows that continuity is a purely topological concept = Thin (Big): f is continuous iff the inverse image  $f^{-1}(G)$  of every open set  $O \in \mathbb{C}$  is open. Continuity is also expressed in terms to closed sets-MMQ: f continuous iff f (E) closed V E closed  $Qf_1 \otimes \cdot f'(E) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \text{st} \ w = f(z) \text{ some } w \in E\}$ Note: Thm's 8, 9 characterise functions f:  $C\rightarrow C$ which are continuous at every zol. If  $f:D\to\mathbb{C}$ ,  $D\subseteq\mathbb{C}$  but not all of  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $S+|||$  true by defining  $B \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  to be open relative to  $D$  if  $B = D \cap \mathcal{O}_{open}$ . Then  $f$  upit iff the pre-mage of open sets are open relative to

 $\bigoplus$ · The most important concept in topology is compactuess. Defn (9): E C D is compact it every open covering of E admits a finite subcover. that is, we say a collection of open sets {O} covers E if ECUQ. E compact implies  $E = Q_{\alpha_1} \cup Q_{\alpha_2} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{\alpha_n}$  some finite subset of  $Q_{\alpha_2}$ The following important theorem characterizes<br>the compact subsets of C in terms of the topology abne. Thin (10): (Big) A set E S l is compact iff it is closed and bounded. (or let be Here, E is bounded if  $\exists$  R>0 st E & B (0)? Thm (D: (Big) E = 1 is closed & bounded Cand hence Compact) iff every sequence  $z_n \in E$ <br>has a convergent subsequence, and the limits of sequences in E, also lie in E

 $\circledS$ Continuous functions defined on compact Thm(2) (Brg): It a real valued function f:E-B IS continuous on compact set E, then: (For complex  $9n!f: E \rightarrow C$  think  $|f|: E \rightarrow R$ ) (1) f is bounded on E.  $(SJ95 \forall M \geq (s)$  (Fig)  $H1 + 2$  o< M E ) (2) f takes on its max and min values on E  $(E_{1},Z_{2}E_{1})$   $\leq$   $|f(z_{1})|$   $\leq$   $|f(z_{2})|$   $\leq$   $|f(z_{2})|$   $\forall z$   $E$ Thm (13 (Big): A continuous function on a compact Dety@: f: E -> l' is uniformly continuous if  $V$ E>0 J 5>0 st it 1z, -z, 1<s then  $|f(z_1) - f(z_1)|$ <E "You can make outputs uniformly close by

• the main problem of analysis is the problem  
of ensuring that approximation schemes are  
valid. This is the fundamental problem of  
computing - how do you know your numerical  
computing - how do you know your numerical  
Conportimation is really approximating what you  
a function by a sequence of approximately that  
functions 
$$
f_n \rightarrow f
$$
, when can you infer  
Conting by a sequence of approximately of the  
conveximating  $f_n$ ? And - need uniformly of the  
approximating  $f_n$ ? And - need uniformly on  
 $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on E, then f is tontunvol  
 $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on E, then f is tontunvol  
Define (Big): If  $f_n$  are continuous and  
 $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on E (any E)  
if  $V \in \infty$  J N> 0 s f n > N  $\Rightarrow$  If  $f_n(x)$  - $f(x)$   $\le$  E  
if  $V \in \infty$  J N> 0 s f n > N  $\Rightarrow$  If  $f_n(x)$  - $f(x)$   $\le$  E  
all  $\pm \in E$  by going sufficiently far out in your  
opproximation sequence  $f_n$ 

**Application:** Uniform Convergence is the fundamental concept needed for the theory of Line Integrals.

\nLet C be a curve in C defined by parametrization:

\n
$$
P: \mathbb{Z}(E), \alpha \leq t \leq b, \mathbb{Z}(a) = A, \mathbb{Z}(b) = B
$$
\n
$$
\frac{P: \mathbb{Z}(E), \alpha \leq t \leq b, \mathbb{Z}(a) = A, \mathbb{Z}(b) = B}{\sum_{t=0}^{t} f(t)}
$$
\nNow assume  $\mathbb{Z}(t)$  is continuous.

 $(Hw)$  Prove:  $V \in \mathcal{F}$   $\exists$   $S>0$  s.t. if  $|t_2-t_1| < \delta$  $Hnen |Z(t_{i})-Z(t_{i})|<\epsilon$ 

Soln: [a,b] closed & bounded => compact. Since Z(t) continuous on compact E = [a,b], Thm (13) Implies Z(.) is uniformly continuous. By Defu@  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \text{st } |t-t| < \delta \Rightarrow |z(t_1)-z(t_1)| < \epsilon$ as claimed

6 Now create a Riemann Sum as follows:

\nChoose N large and define 
$$
\Delta t = \frac{b-a}{N}
$$
 with

\n
$$
t_{h} = 0 + k \Delta t_{h} + k = 0_{h} + k_{h} = 0_{h} =
$$

(HW) Thm(A): If f: C -> C is continuous  $(12)$ and a curve e is C'in the sence that both  $Z(t)$  &  $Z'(t)$  are continuous for  $a \leq t \leq b$  $Z(a)=A, Z(b)=b,$  then  $\int_{C} f(z)dz = \int_{a}^{b} f(z(t))z'(t)dt = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(z_{k})z_{k}' \Delta t$ (Show this is equiv to ) (This is Riemann) is unique independent of how we choose En E (tr-1, tr]. (Assume one limit exists, cf. Mathi278) Note: By the same argument we needn't choose tu to be equally spaced, to = nat, at =  $\frac{b-a}{N}$ , we would take any a=t. < ... < t =b So long as  $Max \mid t_{n} - t_{n-1} \rangle = ||\Delta t|| \longrightarrow 0$ . In this case we can take  $Z_h = Z(\bar{t}_h)$ ,  $Z_h' = Z'(t_h)$ for any  $t_{n} \in (t_{n-1}, t_{n})$ .

Proof of Theorem (A): (Limits of Aremany Sunc are unique) (13) Choose  $a=t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < \cdots < t_n$  at =  $\frac{b-a}{N}$ , and set  $Z_h = Z(\overline{t}h), Z'_h = Z'(\overline{t}_h), \quad t_{h-1} < \overline{t}_h \leq t_h$ and form the Riemann Sum  $R_N = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(z_n) z'_n \Delta t.$ To verify Thm(A) (uniqueness), assume for a given choice of  $\{\overline{t}_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  at each value of N, the sequence of complex #'s {Rw} converges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e.,  $R_N \rightarrow R_o \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then to get uniqueness, we must show that for any other choice of  $\check{t}_n^*$   $\in$   $(t_{n-1}, t_n]$  at each stage N, me get a different sequence  $R_{N}^{\prime}=\sum_{h=1}^{N}f(z_{n}^{*})\left(z_{h}^{*}\right)^{\prime}\Delta t,$ and R', Bo So assume we know  $\{R_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ 

converger for one choice  $\{\overline{t}_k\}$ . (See Math 127B)

It suffices to show that 
$$
\nabla \varepsilon > 0
$$
  $\exists$   $\overline{N} > 0$   $\leq \overline{1}$   
\n $N > \overline{N}$   $\Rightarrow$   $[\overline{R}_{N} - \overline{R}_{N}] \leq \varepsilon$ . This implied  $\overline{R}_{N} - \overline{R}_{D}$   
\nas well. (see argument at end.) For this, write  
\n $[\overline{R}_{N} - \overline{R}_{N}^{\prime}] = \frac{N}{\sum_{k=0}^{N}} f(\overline{z}_{k}) \overline{z}_{k}^{\prime} \Delta t - \sum_{k=0}^{N} f(\overline{z}_{k}^{*})(\overline{z}_{k}^{*})^{\prime} \Delta t$   
\n $= \sum_{k=0}^{N} (f(\overline{z}_{k}) \overline{z}_{k}^{\prime} - f(\overline{z}_{k}^{*})(\overline{z}_{k}^{*})^{\prime}) \Delta t$   
\n $\leq \sum_{k=0}^{N} |f(\overline{z}_{k}) \overline{z}_{k}^{\prime} - f(\overline{z}_{k}^{*})(\overline{z}_{k}^{*})^{\prime}| \Delta t$ 

Now the function  $F(t) = f(E(t)) E'(t)$  is cont on the compact interval [a,6], so by Thm (13) F is unformly continuous. Thus,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  J  $\delta$  $s+ i$   $| \Delta t | < \delta$ , then  $|F(\bar{t}_A) - F(\bar{t}_A^*)| < \epsilon$ .  $\sim$  and  $\sim$ make it  $\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|b-a|}$ if we like ... and we do like  $\int$ 

Since At =  $\frac{b-a}{N}$ , we can choose  $\overline{N} = \frac{b-a}{\Delta t} >> 1$  large So that  $N > N \Rightarrow \Delta t < \delta$  so that  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} |F(\overline{t}_{n})-F(\overline{t}_{n}^{*})| \Delta t = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |f(z_{n})z_{n}' - f(z_{n}^{*})z_{n}'^{*}| \Delta t$  $h = 0$  $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}}$  $\leq \frac{\epsilon}{|b-a|}$  $\leq \frac{\epsilon}{|b-a|} \sum_{h=1}^{N} \Delta t = \frac{\epsilon}{|b-a|} |b-a| = \epsilon.$  $Conebulge; Vessate as a set of  $R_{\text{N}}-R_{\text{N}}^2$$ It follows that if Ry SR, then also R's R.  $(Ie_{\cdot}\ _{\circ}\ |R_{N}^{\prime}-R_{o})=|R_{N}^{\prime}-R_{N}+R_{N}-R_{o})\leq|R_{N}^{\prime}-R_{N}|+|R_{N}-R_{o}|$ So given  $\epsilon > 0$ , chose  $\bar{N}$  st  $N > \bar{N} \Rightarrow IR_{N}^{\prime} - R_{N} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$   $\frac{1}{6} |R_{N} - R_{0}| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  $\Rightarrow$   $|R'_{N}-R_{0}| < \epsilon$   $\curvearrowleft$ 

(Hw) Thm (B): Prove that the length of  
\na curve 
$$
L = \int_{a}^{b} |z'(t)| dt
$$
 defined by  
\n
$$
L = \int_{a}^{b} |z'(t)| dt = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} |z(t_{k}) - \overline{z}(t_{k-1})|
$$
\nis defined independently of how we chose  
\nis defined independently of how we chose  
\nt<sub>k</sub><sup>\*</sup>  $\in (t_{k-1}, t_{k-1})$ . (This uses essentially the same  
\nargument as Thm(0), and generalises to independent  
\nof mesh  $\sum_{k=1}^{N} s_0$  long as  $||\Delta t|| \rightarrow 0$ .)

 $\bigcirc$ 

$$
(\mu w) \text{thm} \textcircled{C} \text{Prove}
$$
\n
$$
\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(z(t)) \, z'(t) \, dt \right| \leq L \, M
$$
\n
$$
\text{where} \quad M = \max_{\alpha \leq t \leq b} |f(z(t))|.
$$