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#### Abstract

This dissertation is based on the following three publications of the author $[15,16,17]$, focusing on quandles and their applications in knot theory.

Chapter 2 defines a family of quandles and studies their algebraic invariants. The axioms of a quandle imply that the columns of its Cayley table are permutations. The chapter studies quandles with exactly one non-trivially permuted column. Their automorphism groups, quandle polynomials, (symmetric) cohomology groups, and Hom quandles are studied. The quiver and cocycle invariant of links using these quandles are shown to relate to linking number.

Chapter 3 describes the triple point number of non-orientable surface-links using symmetric quandles. Analogous to a classical knot diagram, a surface-link can be generically projected to 3 -space and given crossing information to create a broken sheet diagram. The triple point number of a surface-link is the minimal number of triple points among all broken sheet diagrams that lift to that surface-link. The chapter generalizes a family of Oshiro to show that there are nonsplit surface-links of arbitrarily many trivial components whose triple point number can be made arbitrarily large.

Chapter 4 focuses on the triple point number of surface-links found in Yoshikawa's table. Yoshikawa made an enumeration of knotted surfaces in $R^{4}$ with ch-index 10 or less. This remarkable table is the first to tabulate knotted surfaces analogous to the classical prime knot table. This chapter compiles the known triple point numbers of the surface-links represented in Yoshikawa's table and calculates or provides bounds on the triple point number of the remaining surface-links.

Chapter 5 is included to study quandle invariants of knotoids. The chapter focuses on the chirality of knotoids using shadow quandle colorings and the shadow quandle cocycle invariant. The shadow coloring number and the shadow quandle cocycle invariant is shown to distinguish infinitely many knotoids from their mirrors. Specifically, the knot-type knotoid $3_{1}$ is shown to be chiral. The weight of a quandle 3-cocycle is used to calculate the crossing numbers of infinitely many multi-linkoids.
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## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

Quandles were independently introduced by Joyce and Matveev in the 1980's [35, 46]. They showed that the fundamental knot quandle is an algebraic invariant that distinguishes knots up to mirror reflection. The texts $[10,22,38,50]$ give a good account of definitions and applications of quandles in (surface-) knot theory.

In their seminal article defining quandle cohomology [7], Carter et al. defined the quandle cocycle invariant and used it to show that the 2-twist-spun trefoil is not isotopic to its orientation reverse. Notable use of the invariant also includes [14,31,39,58,59,60]. Using a quandle 2-cocycle, this invariant can be defined for classical links.

A surface-link is a smoothly embedded closed surface in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. A 2-knot is a surface-knot diffeomorphic to the 2 -sphere, and a surface-knot will mean a connected surface-knot. Two surface-links are equivalent if they are related by an ambient isotopy in the smooth category. For an orthogonal projection $p: \mathbb{R}^{4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$, a surface-knot $F$ can be perturbed slightly so that $p(F)$ is a generic surface. Each point of $p(F)$ has a neighborhood in 3 -space diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that the image of the generic surface under the diffeomorphism looks like 1,2 , or 3 coordinate planes or the cone on a figure 8 (Whitney umbrella). These points are called regular points, double points, triple points, and branch points. Triple points and branch points are isolated while double points are not and lie on curves called double point curves. The union of non-regular points is the singular set of the generic surface [10], [38].

A broken sheet diagram of a surface-link $F$ is a generic projection $p(F)$ with consistently broken sheets along double point curves, see Figure 1.1 and [12]. The sheet that lifts below the other, with respect to a height function determined by the direction of the orthogonal projection $p$, is locally broken at the singular set. All surface-links admit a broken sheet diagram, and all broken sheet diagrams lift to surface-knots in 4 -space. Although, not all compact, generic surfaces in 3 -space can be given a broken sheet structure [13].


Figure 1.1. Local images of a double point, triple point, and branch point.
The minimal number of triple points among all generic projections of a surface-link $F$ is called the triple point number of $F$ and is denoted $t(F)$. The triple point number has an analogy to the crossing number of a classical knot. Although it is unknown if the crossing number of classical knots is additive under connected sum, Satoh showed that the connected sum of the $n$-twist-spin of a 2-bridge knot with the non-orientable trivial surface-knot of genus 3 and normal Euler number $\pm 2$ produces a surface-knot whose triple point number is zero while it is known that these twist-spins have positive triple point number [57].

Since their introduction in 2012 by Turaev [62], knotoids and their invariants have generated much interest, for example see [25,27,43,45]. Knotoids are considered generalized knots and best understood as knotted arcs. Gügümcü, Kauffman, and Lambropoulou give an excellent account of knotoid research from its inception until 2019 in [28]. Included in their exposition is the interest in knotoids among mathematical biologists for modeling open protein chains, for example see [2, 20, $24,26]$. The equivalence put on knotoid diagrams is defined using Reidemeister moves away from the endpoints, and quandles are used to define knot invariants because diagram colorings behave well under Reidmeister moves. Therefore, quandle invariants naturally extend to knotoids.

The first formal study of quandles in the context of knotoids was done by Gügümcü and Nelson in [29]. They showed that the biquandle counting invariant can detect mirror images of knotoids. Later, Gügümcü, Nelson, and Oyamguchi introduced the biquandle bracket of knotoids and showed that this invariant is stronger than the counting invariant [30].

## CHAPTER 2

## Quandles With One Non-Trivial Column

Definition 2.0.1. A quandle is a set $X$ with a binary operation $(x, y) \mapsto x * y$ such that
(i) for any $x \in X, x * x=x$,
(ii) for any $x, y \in X$, there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $z * y=x$, and
(iii) for any $x, y, z \in X,(x * y) * z=(x * z) *(y * z)$.

There are 3 quandles of order 3 , the trivial $T_{3}$, the dihedral $R_{3}$, and $P_{3}$. The quandle $P_{3}$ fits into a family of quandles $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ defined in this paper having only one non-trivially permuted column in their Cayley tables. Several second and third homology groups of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ are calculated since quandle cocycles define link invariants. In addition, Hom quandles between specific $P_{n}^{\sigma}$, the automorphism groups of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$, and the quandle polynomials of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ are calculated.

Section 2.1 defines $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ and studies their algebraic invariants. Section 2.2 computes several cohomology groups of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$. Section 2.3 analyzes the quandle quiver and cocycle invariant of links using $P_{n}^{\sigma}$.

For any $x, y \in X$, let $x \bar{*} y$ be the unique $z \in X$ such that $z * y=x$.

Definition 2.0.2 ( [37]). An involution $\rho$ on a quandle $X$ is good if $\rho(x * y)=\rho(x) * y$ and $x * \rho(y)=x \neq y$ for all $x, y \in X$. Such a pair $(X, \rho)$ is a symmetric quandle.

Example 2.0.3 ( [37]). Conjugation on a group $g * h=h^{-1} g h$ defines a quandle. The inverse map $\rho(g)=g^{-1}$ is a good involution.

Example 2.0.4. The trivial quandle $T_{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ of order $n$ is defined by $x * y=x$ for all $x, y \in T_{n}$. Any involution on $T_{n}$ is good.

Example 2.0.5. The operation $x * y \equiv 2 y-x \bmod n$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ gives the dihedral quandle $R_{n}$. Kamada and Oshiro classified all good involutions on $R_{n}$ in [40].

Finite quandles have Cayley tables. The table's entries $\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)$ are given by $\alpha_{i j}=i * j$. The axioms of a quandle imply that $\alpha_{i i}=i$ and that the columns of the table represent permutations. Table 2.1 gives the Cayley tables of all order 3 quandles.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 |  |  |$\quad$|  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 2.1. Cayley tables of $T_{3}, R_{3}$, and $P_{3}$.
2.0.1. Automorphism Groups. A quandle homomorphism satisfies $f(x * y)=f(x) * f(y)$. Under the operation of composition, the bijective endomorphisms on a quandle $X$ constitute its automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. For every $y \in X$, let $S_{y}(x)=x * y$. The second axiom of a quandle implies that $S_{y}$ is a bijection for any $y \in X$. The group generated by the permutations $S_{y}$ is the quandle's inner automorphism group $\operatorname{Inn}(X)$. Recent articles studying these groups include [3, 4, 21, 32].
2.0.2. Quandle Polynomials. Nelson introduced a two-variable polynomial invariant of finite quandles in [48].

Definition 2.0.6. Let $X$ be a finite quandle. For any element $x \in X$, let

$$
c(x)=|\{y \in X: y * x=y\}|, \text { and } r(x)=|\{y \in X: x * y=x\}| .
$$

The quandle polynomial of $X$ is $q p_{X}(s, t)=\sum_{x \in X} s^{r(x)} t^{c(x)}$.

He later generalized the polynomial in [49].

### 2.0.3. Hom Quandles.

Definition 2.0.7. A quandle $A$ is abelian or medial if for all $x, y, z, w \in Q$,

$$
(x * y) *(z * w)=(x * z) *(y * w) .
$$

Crans and Nelson showed that when $A$ is an abelian quandle the set of quandle homomorphisms $\operatorname{Hom}(X, A)$ from a quandle $X$ to $A$ has a natural quandle structure [19].

Theorem 2.0.8 (Crans, Nelson '14 [19]). Let $X$ and $A$ be quandles. If $A$ is abelian, then $\operatorname{Hom}(X, A)$ is an abelian quandle under the pointwise operation $(f * g)(x)=f(x) * g(x)$. Let $X$ be a finitely generated quandle and $A$ an abelian quandle. Then $\operatorname{Hom}(X, A)$ is isomorphic to a subquandle of $A^{c}$ where $c$ is the minimal number of generators of $X$. Identify an element $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(X, A)$ with the c-tuple

$$
\left(f\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{c}\right)\right)
$$

The pointwise operation on $\operatorname{Hom}(X, A)$ agrees with the componentwise operation on the product $A^{c}$.

For a finite quandle $X=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, it is sufficient to classify the componentwise quandle structure of the $n$-tuples $(f(0), f(1), \ldots, f(n-1))$ to determine the quandle structure of $\operatorname{Hom}(X, A)$. Hom and abelian quandles were recently studied in $[6,33,34,44]$.
2.0.4. Quandle Coloring Number. Let $X$ be a finite quandle. Assigning $X$ elements to the arcs of an oriented link diagram so that each crossing satisfies the quandle coloring condition of Figure 2.1 is called a quandle coloring, specifically an $X$-coloring. The axioms of a quandle imply that an $X$-coloring uniquely extends to another after any of the 3 Reidemeister moves, see $[10,22,38]$. For a finite quandle $X$, the quandle coloring number $\operatorname{col}_{X}(L)$ is a link invariant and equal to the number of $X$-colorings of any diagram of $L$.


Figure 2.1. Quandle coloring condition.
2.0.5. Quandle Quivers. A quandle endomorphism $f \in \operatorname{End}(X)$ acts on an $X$-coloring of a link diagram by replacing the arcs' colors by their images under $f$. Cho and Nelson introduced the quandle quiver invariant of links enhancing and categorifying the coloring number [18].

Definition 2.0.9. Let $X$ be a finite quandle and $S \subset \operatorname{End}(X)$. The quandle quiver $\mathcal{Q}_{X}^{S}(L)$ of a link $L$ is a directed graph with vertices representing the $X$-colorings of $L$ and directed edges representing the action of $S$ on its colorings.

Two quivers are isomorphic if there exists a bijection $f$ between their vertices that extends to a bijection $F$ between their edges, $F\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)=f\left(v_{1}\right) f\left(v_{2}\right)$ for every edge $v_{1} v_{2}$.

Theorem 2.0.10. The quandle quiver $\mathcal{Q}_{T_{n}}^{S}(L)$ is determined by the number of components in $L$.

Proof. Let $L$ be a link with $k$ components. A $T_{n}$-coloring of $L$ is $k$ independent choices of colors, one for each component. Let $\varphi: \pi_{0}(L) \rightarrow \pi_{0}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ be a bijection between the components of $L$ and the components of another link $L^{\prime}$ with $k$ components. The bijection $\varphi$ extends to a bijection $\Phi: \operatorname{Col}_{T_{n}}(L) \rightarrow \operatorname{Col}_{T_{n}}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$. Let $f \in \operatorname{End}\left(T_{n}\right)$. Sending the edge connecting the colorings $c$ and $f \circ c$ to the edge connecting $\Phi(c)$ and $\Phi(f \circ c)$ defines a quiver isomorphism.

Theorem 2.0.11 (Taniguchi '20 [61]). Let $L$ and $L$ ' be oriented link diagrams and $p$ be a prime. For any $S \subseteq E n d\left(R_{p}\right)$, the quandle quivers $Q_{R_{p}}^{S}(L)$ and $Q_{R_{p}}^{S}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $\operatorname{col}_{R_{p}}(L)=\operatorname{col}_{R_{p}}\left(L^{\prime}\right)$.

Recent studies of quandle quivers include [5, 42, 64].
2.0.6. Quandle Cohomology. Quandle cohomology was introduced by Carter, Jelsovsky, Kamada, Langford, and Saito to study classical oriented links and oriented surface-links [7]. Symmetric quandle cohomology was later introduced by Kamada to study classical unoriented links and unoriented surface-links [37].

Let $X$ be a quandle. Let $C_{n}(X)$ be the free abelian group generated by $X^{n}$ when $n \geq 1$ and $C_{n}(X)=0$ when $n<1$. Define the boundary homomorphism $\partial_{n}: C_{n}(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X)$ by

$$
\partial_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i}\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, \hat{x}_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-\left(x_{1} * x_{i}, \ldots, x_{i-1} * x_{i}, \hat{x}_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

for $n>1$ and $\partial_{n}=0$ for $n \leq 1$. Then $C_{*}(X)=\left\{C_{n}(X), \partial_{n}\right\}$ is a chain complex $[7,8,10,38]$. For $n \geq 2$, let $D_{n}^{Q}(X)$ be the subgroup of $C_{n}(X)$ generated by the elements

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1}\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in X^{n} \mid x_{i}=x_{i+1}\right\}
$$

and for $n<2$, let $D_{n}^{Q}(X)=0$. For a good involution $\rho: X \rightarrow X$, let $D_{n}^{\rho}(X)$ be the subgroup of $C_{n}(X)$ generated by the elements

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\left(x_{1} * x_{i}, \ldots, x_{i-1} * x_{i}, \rho\left(x_{i}\right), x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in X^{n}\right\}
$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $D_{n}^{\rho}(X)=0$ for $n<1$. Then $D_{*}^{Q}(X)=\left\{D_{n}^{Q}(X), \partial_{n}\right\}$ and $D_{*}^{\rho}(X)=\left\{D_{n}^{\rho}(X), \partial_{n}\right\}$ are subcomplexes of $C_{*}(X)[40]$. Let $C_{*}^{Q}(X)=C_{*}(X) / D_{*}^{Q}(X)$ and $C_{*}^{Q, \rho}(X)=C_{*}(X) /\left(D_{*}^{Q}(X)+\right.$ $\left.D_{*}^{\rho}(X)\right)$

For an abelian group $A$, the cohomology groups of the complexes

$$
C_{*}^{Q}(X ; A)=C_{*}^{Q}(X) \otimes A \text { and } C_{*}^{Q, \rho}(X ; A)=C_{*}^{Q, \rho} \otimes A
$$

are the quandle cohomology groups $H_{Q}^{*}(X ; A)$ and the symmetric quandle cohomology groups $H_{Q, \rho}^{*}(X ; A)$ of $X$.

Lemma 2.0.11.1 ( $[7,8,10,38]$ ). Let $X$ be a quandle and $A$ an abelian group. A homomorphism $f: C_{2}(X) \rightarrow A$ is a quandle 2-cocycle of $X$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) For any $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{0} * x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \\
& -f\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)-f\left(x_{0} * x_{2}, x_{1} * x_{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) For all $x \in X, f(x, x)=0$.
2.0.7. Quandle Cocycle Invariant. For a finite quandle $X$, let $\theta$ be a quandle 2-cocycle with coefficients in an abelian group $A$ written multiplicatively. Let $L$ be an $X$-colored link diagram and $C$ denote the set of its crossings. For $c \in C$, suppose that $x$ is the color assigned to the under arc for which the orientation normal of the over arc points away from and $y$ is the color of the over arc as in Figure 2.1. Define $\theta_{c}=\theta(x, y)^{\epsilon}$ to be the $\theta$-weight of the $X$-colored crossing $c$ where $\epsilon$ is +1 if the crossing is positive and -1 if the crossing is negative. The $\theta$-weight of an $X$-colored link diagram is the product of all $\theta_{c}$ 's. The quandle cocycle invariant is the formal sum of all $\theta$-weights.

The quandle cocycle invariant of $L$ is

$$
\Phi_{\theta}(L)=\sum_{X \text {-colorings }} \prod_{c \in C} \theta_{c} \in \mathbb{Z}[A] .
$$

A proof that $\Phi_{\theta}(L)$ does not depend on the diagram of $L$ can be found in [7,10,38].

### 2.1. The Quandle $P_{n}^{\sigma}$

Let $S_{n}$ be the symmetric group on the first $n$ positive integers and $\sigma \in S_{n}$ a permutation. Define the operation $*$ on $P_{n}^{\sigma}=\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$ by

$$
x * y= \begin{cases}x & y \neq 0 \\ \sigma(x) & y=0, x \neq 0 \\ 0 & y=0, x=0\end{cases}
$$

This is also defined by permuting the positive entries in the first column of the trivial quandle $T_{n+1}$ 's Cayley table with respect to $\sigma$, see Table 2.2.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 0 |
| 1 | $\sigma(1)$ | 1 | 1 | $\cdots$ | 1 |
| 2 | $\sigma(2)$ | 2 | 2 | $\cdots$ | 2 |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $n$ | $\sigma(n)$ | $n$ | $n$ | $\cdots$ | $n$ |

Table 2.2. Cayley table of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$.

Proposition 2.1.1. $\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}, *\right)$ is a quandle.

Proof. The diagonal of its Cayley table shows that the first axiom is satisfied and since each column is a permutation the second axiom satisfied. The third axiom

$$
(x * y) * z=(x * z) *(x * z)
$$

follows from a case study, see Table 2.3.

If $\sigma=i d$, then $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ is the trivial quandle $T_{n+1}$.

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $(x * y) * z=(x * z) *(y * z)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | + | + | $x=x$ |
| + | + | 0 | $\sigma(x)=\sigma(x)$ |
| + | 0 | + | $\sigma(x)=\sigma(x)$ |
| 0 | + | + | $0=0$ |
| + | 0 | 0 | $\sigma^{2}(x)=\sigma^{2}(x)$ |
| 0 | + | 0 | $0=0$ |
| 0 | 0 | + | $0=0$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $0=0$ |

Table 2.3. The cases of Proposition 2.1.1.
Lemma 2.1.0.1. If $\sigma \neq i d$, then any quandle isomorphism between $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ and $P_{n}^{\tau}$ fixes 0.

Proof. Suppose that $f$ is an isomorphism with $f(0) \neq 0$. For any $a \neq 0, f(\sigma(a))=f(a * 0)=$ $f(a) * f(0)=f(a)$ implying that $\sigma(a)=a$.

Theorem 2.1.1. $P_{n}^{\sigma} \cong P_{n}^{\tau}$ if and only if $\sigma$ is conjugate to $\tau$.

Proof. Suppose that $f: P_{n}^{\sigma} \rightarrow P_{n}^{\tau}$ is a quandle isomorphism and $x \in P_{n}$ is positive. Lemma 2.1.0.1 implies that $f(0)=0$. Therefore, $f(\sigma(x))=f(x * 0)=f(x) * f(0)=f(x) * 0=\tau(f(x))$, i.e. $\sigma=f^{-1} \tau f$ on the positive integers.

Now suppose that $\sigma=h^{-1} \tau h$ for some permutation $h \in S_{n}$. Extend $h$ to $h^{\prime}: P_{n}^{\sigma} \rightarrow P_{n}^{\tau}$ by setting $h^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $h^{\prime}(x)=h(x)$ for $x \neq 0$. A case study shows that $h^{\prime}$ is a quandle homomorphism thus isomorphism. If $a, b \neq 0$, then $h^{\prime}(a * b)=h^{\prime}(a)=h(a)=h(a) * h(b)=h^{\prime}(a) * h^{\prime}(b)$ since $h(b) \neq 0$. If $a \neq b=0$, then $h^{\prime}(a * b)=h(\sigma(a))=\tau(h(a))=h(a) * 0=h^{\prime}(a) * h^{\prime}(b)$. If $a=0$, then $h^{\prime}(a * b)=h^{\prime}(0)=0=0 * h^{\prime}(b)=h^{\prime}(a) * h^{\prime}(b)$ for any $b$.

Theorem 2.1.2. If $\sigma \neq i d$, then $\operatorname{Aut}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right) \cong C_{S_{n}}(\sigma)$ and $\operatorname{Inn}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{|\sigma|}$ where $C_{S_{n}}(\sigma)$ denotes $\sigma$ 's centralizer and $|\sigma|$ is the order of $\sigma$.

Proof. For any $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right)$, let $f_{+}$be its restriction to $P_{n}^{\sigma} \backslash\{0\}=S_{n}$. Lemma 2.1.0.1 implies that any two automorphisms $f$ and $g$ satisfy $(g \circ f)_{+}=g_{+} \circ f_{+}$. For any positive $x \in P_{n}^{\sigma}$,

$$
f_{+}(\sigma(x))=f_{+}(x * 0)=f(x * 0)=f(x) * f(0)=f_{+}(x) * 0=\sigma\left(f_{+}(x)\right),
$$

so $f_{+} \in C_{S_{n}}(\sigma)$. Therefore, the restriction map $f \mapsto f_{+}$is a group homomorphism from $\operatorname{Aut}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right)$ to $C_{S_{n}}(\sigma)$.

For any $g \in C_{S_{n}}(\sigma)$, let $g_{0}: P_{n}^{\sigma} \rightarrow P_{n}^{\sigma}$ be its extension where $g_{0}(x)=g(x)$ for $x \neq 0$ and $g_{0}(0)=0$. A case study shows that the bijection $g_{0}$ is an automorphism. If $a, b \neq 0$, then $g_{0}(a * b)=g(a)=g(a) * g(b)=g_{0}(a) * g_{0}(b)$. If $a \neq b=0$, then

$$
g_{0}(a * b)=g_{0}(\sigma(a))=g(\sigma(a))=\sigma(g(a))=\sigma\left(g_{0}(a)\right)=g_{0}(a) * 0=g_{0}(a) * g_{0}(0) .
$$

If $b \neq a=0$ or $a=b=0$, then $g_{0}(a * b)=0=g_{0}(a) * g_{0}(b)$. Altogether, $g_{0}$ is an automorphism and the extension map $g \mapsto g_{0}$ is inverse to the restriction map $f \mapsto f_{+}$.

There are two permutations that generate $\operatorname{Inn}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right), S_{0}(x)=x * 0=\sigma(x)$ and $S_{a \neq 0}(x)=x * a=$ $x$. These generate the cyclic group of the same order as $\sigma$.

Theorem 2.1.3. Let $\alpha$ be the number of positive integers fixed by a non-trivial $\sigma$. The quandle polynomial of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ is

$$
q p_{P_{n}^{\sigma}}(s, t)=\alpha s^{n+1} t^{n+1}+(n-\alpha) s^{n} t^{n+1}+s^{n+1} t^{1+\alpha} .
$$

Proof. For a positive $x$ fixed by $\sigma, r(x)=c(x)=n+1$. If $x$ is positive and not fixed by $\sigma$, then $r(x)=n$ and $c(x)=n+1$. Finally, $r(0)=n+1$ and $c(0)=1+\alpha$.

Remark 2.1.1. The quandle polynomial distinguishes the isomorphism classes of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ and $P_{n}^{\tau}$ if and only if $\sigma$ and $\tau$ have a different number of fixed points.

Lemma 2.1.3.1. $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ is abelian for any $\sigma$.

Proof. The abelian condition

$$
(x * y) *(z * w)=(x * z) *(y * w)
$$

is verified by checking all permutations of the variables being positive or zero. If $x=0$, the equation simplifies to $0=0$ for any $y, z$, and $w$. Table 2.4 verifies the cases where $x \neq 0$.

| $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $w$ | $(x * y) *(z * w)=(x * z) *(y * w)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | + | + | + | $x=x$ |
| + | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\sigma^{2}(x)=\sigma^{2}(x)$ |
| + | + | 0 | 0 | $\sigma(x)=\sigma(x)$ |
| + | 0 | + | 0 | $\sigma(x)=\sigma(x)$ |
| + | 0 | 0 | + | $\sigma^{2}(x)=\sigma^{2}(x)$ |
| + | + | + | 0 | $x=x$ |
| + | + | 0 | + | $\sigma(x)=\sigma(x)$ |
| + | 0 | + | + | $\sigma(x)=\sigma(x)$ |

Table 2.4. The cases of Lemma 2.1.3.1 where $x \neq 0$.
Theorem 2.1.4. Let $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ be $n$-cycles. Table 2.5 gives the Cayley table of

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}, P_{n}^{\sigma^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

Proof. Assume that $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime}=(123 \cdots n)$ by Theorem 2.1.1. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}, P_{n}^{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)$. If $f(k)=0$ for some $k \neq 0$, then

$$
f(\sigma(k))=f(k * 0)=f(k) * f(0)=0 .
$$

This implies that $f$ is zero on all positive integers since $\sigma$ is an $n$-cycle. If further $f(0) \neq 0$, then $f(0)=f(0 * k)=f(0) * f(k)=f(0) * 0=\sigma^{\prime}(f(0))$. Since $\sigma^{\prime}$ has no fixed pointed, $f(0)=0$. Therefore, $f(k)=0$ for some $k \neq 0$ only if $f$ is the zero map.

Now suppose that $f(k)$ is positive whenever $k$ is positive. If $f(0)=0$, then $f$ is determined by where it sends 1 . For any positive $k, f(k)=f\left(\sigma^{k}(1)\right)=f\left(1 *^{k} 0\right)=f(1) *^{k} 0=\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{k}(f(1))$. If $f(0) \neq 0$, then $f(1)=f(2)=\cdots=f(n)$ since $f(\sigma(k))=f(k * 0)=f(k) * f(0)=f(k)$.

The map $f$ is determined by its values on 0 and 1 . If $f$ is not the zero map, then $f(0) \in P_{n}^{\sigma^{\prime}}$ and $f(1) \in P_{n}^{\sigma^{\prime}} \backslash\{0\}$. The set $\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}, P_{n}^{\sigma^{\prime}}\right)$ is parameterized by the tuples $(f(0), f(1))$ and its quandles structure is described in Tables 2.5 and 2.6.

Corollary 2.1.1. Table 2.7 gives the Cayley table of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{3}, P_{3}\right)$.

Proof. There are 7 endomorphisms described as the triples $(f(0), f(1), f(2)): 0=(0,0,0), 1=$ $(0,1,2), 2=(0,2,1), 3=(1,1,1), 4=(2,2,2), 5=(1,2,2), 6=(2,1,1)$.

|  | $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0, n)$ | $(1,1)$ | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,0)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,0)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $(0,1)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,1)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $(0,2)$ | $(0,3)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(0,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,2)$ | $(0,2)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |
| $(0, n)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0, n)$ | $(0, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0, n)$ | $(0, n)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $(1,1)$ | $(2,2)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(2,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2,1)$ | $(1,1)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $(1,2)$ | $(2,3)$ | $(2,2)$ | $(2,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2,2)$ | $(1,2)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |
| $(1, n)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(2, n)$ | $(2, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2, n)$ | $(1, n)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $(2,1)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(3,1)$ | $(3,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(3,1)$ | $(2,1)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $(2,2)$ | $(3,3)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(3,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(3,2)$ | $(2,2)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |
| $(2, n)$ | $(3,1)$ | $(3, n)$ | $(3, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(3, n)$ | $(2, n)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |
| $(n, 1)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1,1)$ | $(n, 1)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $(n, 2)$ | $(1,3)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(1,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1,2)$ | $(n, 2)$ | $\cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |
| $(n, n)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1, n)$ | $(1, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1, n)$ | $(n, n)$ | $\cdots$ |

Table 2.5. Cayley table of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}^{n-c y c l e}, P_{n}^{n-c y c l e}\right), 1$ of 2.

### 2.2. Cohomology Groups of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$

The goal of this section is to compute $H_{Q}^{2}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma} ; A\right)$, and classify the good involutions of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ to compute $H_{Q, \rho}^{2}\left(P_{3} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. The computations will follow the notation of [7] where the coefficient group $A$ is taken to be $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, or $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 2.2.1. Let $k$ be the number of cycles in $\sigma$. For any $A$,

$$
H_{Q}^{2}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma} ; A\right)=A^{k^{2}+k} .
$$

Proof. A 2-cocycle $\phi \in Z^{2}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma} ; A\right)$ can be expressed as $\phi=\sum_{i, j \in P_{n}^{\sigma}} C_{(i, j)} \chi_{(i, j)}$ such that

$$
C_{(p, r)}+C_{(p * r, q * r)}=C_{(p, q)}+C_{(p * q, r)}
$$

and $C_{(p, p)}=0$ for all $p, q, r \in P_{n}^{\sigma}$, see Lemma 2.0.11.1. Checking all permutations of $p, q$, and $r$ being 0 determines relations among the coefficients, see Table 2.8.

|  | $(1, n)$ | $(2,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, 1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,0)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,0)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,0)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,0)$ |
| $(0,1)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(0,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,1)$ |
| $(0,2)$ | $(0,2)$ | $(0,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0,2)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $(0, n)$ | $(0, n)$ | $(0, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(0, n)$ |
| $(1,1)$ | $(1,1)$ | $(1,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1,1)$ |
| $(1,2)$ | $(1,2)$ | $(1,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1,2)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |  |
| $(1, n)$ | $(1, n)$ | $(1, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(1, n)$ |
| $(2,1)$ | $(2,1)$ | $(2,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2,1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2,1)$ |
| $(2,2)$ | $(2,2)$ | $(2,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2,2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2,2)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $(2, n)$ | $(2, n)$ | $(2, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(2, n)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $(n, 1)$ | $(n, 1)$ | $(n, 1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, 1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, 1)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, 1)$ |
| $(n, 2)$ | $(n, 2)$ | $(n, 2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, 2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, 2)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, 2)$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $(n, n)$ | $(n, n)$ | $(n, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, n)$ | $\cdots$ | $(n, n)$ |

TABLE 2.6. Cayley table of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{n}^{n-\text { cycle }}, P_{n}^{n-\text { cycle }}\right), 2$ of 2.

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |

Table 2.7. Cayley table of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(P_{3}, P_{3}\right)$.

This gives the non-trivial relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{(0, \sigma(q))}=C_{(0, q)}, \\
C_{(\sigma(p), \sigma(q))}=C_{(p, q)}, \\
C_{(\sigma(p), r)}=C_{(p, r)},
\end{gathered}
$$

| $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $C_{(p, r)}+C_{(p * r, q * r)}=C_{(p, q)}+C_{(p * q, r)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $0=0$ |
| + | 0 | 0 | $C_{(p, 0)}+C_{(\sigma(p), 0)}=C_{(\sigma(p), 0)}+C_{(p, 0)}$ |
| 0 | + | 0 | $C_{(0, q)}=C_{(0, \sigma(q))}$ |
| 0 | 0 | + | $C_{(0, r)}=C_{(0, r)}$ |
| + | + | 0 | $C_{(\sigma(p), \sigma(q))}=C_{(p, q)}$ |
| + | 0 | + | $C_{(\sigma(p), r)}=C_{(p, r)}$ |
| 0 | + | + | $C_{(0, r)}+C_{(0, q)}=C_{(0, q)}+C_{(0, r)}$ |
| + | + | + | $C_{(p, r)}+C_{(p, q)}=C_{(p, q)}+C_{(p, r)}$ |

Table 2.8. Coefficient relations of Theorem 2.2.1.
for all $p, q, r \neq 0$. Combining the second and third relation gives that $C_{\left(\sigma^{l}(a), \sigma^{m}(b)\right)}=C_{(a, b)}$ for all $l, m$ and $a, b \neq 0$. Therefore, $C_{(a, b)}=C_{(c, d)}$ whenever $\operatorname{orb}(a)=\operatorname{orb}(c)$ and $\operatorname{orb}(b)=\operatorname{orb}(d)$.

Consider the coboundaries of $C_{Q}^{1}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma} ; A\right)$ 's generators $\chi_{m}$. If $m=0$,

$$
\delta \chi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\chi_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)-\chi_{0}\left(x_{0} * x_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

If $m \neq 0$,

$$
\delta \chi_{m}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\chi_{m}\left(x_{0}\right)-\chi_{m}\left(x_{0} * x_{1}\right)=\chi_{(m, 0)}-\chi_{\left(\sigma^{-1}(m), 0\right)} .
$$

Therefore, $\chi_{(p, 0)}$ is cohomologous to $\chi_{(q, 0)}$ whenever $\operatorname{orb}_{\sigma}(p)=\operatorname{orb}_{\sigma}(q)$. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}$ represent the cycles of $\sigma$. The relations and coboundary information give that $\phi$ is cohomologous to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left[C_{\left(0, m_{j}\right)}\left(\sum_{i \in o r b_{\sigma}\left(m_{j}\right)} \chi_{(0, i)}\right)\right]+ & \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left[\left(\sum_{i \in o r b_{\sigma}\left(m_{j}\right)} C_{(i, 0)}\right) \chi_{\left(m_{j}, 0\right)}\right] \\
& +\sum_{j, h=1, j \neq h}^{k}\left[C_{\left(m_{j}, m_{h}\right)}\left(\sum_{i \in o r b_{\sigma}\left(m_{j}\right)} \sum_{t \in o r b_{\sigma}\left(m_{h}\right)} \chi_{(i, t)}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

showing that the second homology group is free on $2 k$ plus $k *(k-1)$ generators.

The following theorem characterizes $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ 's good involutions. This will be used to calculate a second symmetric quandle cohomology group of $P_{3}$.

Theorem 2.2.2. If $\sigma$ is not an involution, then $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ has no good involutions. If $\sigma$ is a nontrivial involution, an involution $\rho$ on $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ is good if and only if $\rho(0)=0$ and as a permutation on the positive integers $\rho \in C_{S_{n}}(\sigma)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\rho$ is a good involution on $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ with $\rho(0) \neq 0$. Then, $x=x * \rho(0)=x \bar{*} 0=$ $\sigma^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \neq 0$ implying that $\sigma=i d$. Therefore, $\rho(0)=0$ and $\sigma(x)=x * 0=x * \rho(0)=$ $x \neq 0=\sigma^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \neq 0$, i.e. $\sigma$ is an involution. Since $\rho(\sigma(x))=\rho(x * 0)=\rho(x) * 0=\sigma(\rho(x))$ for all $x \neq 0, \rho$ is in $\sigma$ 's centralizer.

Now suppose that $\rho$ is an involution on $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ fixing 0 and restricting to a permutation in the centralizer of $\sigma$. A case study shows that $\rho$ is good, see Table 2.9.

| $x$ | $y$ | $\rho(x * y)=\rho(x) * y$ | $x * \rho(y)=x \bar{\not} y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + | + | $\rho(x)=\rho(x)$ | $x=x$ |
| + | 0 | $\rho(\sigma(x))=\sigma(\rho(x))$ | $\sigma(x)=\sigma^{-1}(x)$ |
| 0 | + | $0=0$ | $0=0$ |
| 0 | 0 | $0=0$ | $0=0$ |

Table 2.9. Verification that $\rho$ is good.

Theorem 2.2.3. Let $\rho: P_{3} \rightarrow P_{3}$ be the transposition (12). Then,

$$
H_{Q, \rho}^{2}\left(P_{3} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2} .
$$

Proof. Theorem 2.2 .1 shows that any cocycle $\sum_{i, j \in P_{n}^{\sigma}} C_{(i, j)} \chi_{(i, j)} \in Z_{Q, \rho}^{2}\left(P_{3} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is cohomologous to

$$
C_{(0,1)}\left(\chi_{(0,1)}+\chi_{(0,2)}\right)+\left(C_{(1,0)}+C_{(2,0)}\right) \chi_{(1,0)}
$$

in $H_{Q, \rho}^{2}\left(P_{3} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Since $2(0,1),(1,0)+(2,0) \in D_{2}^{\rho}$, the coefficients satisfy $2 C_{(0,1)}=C_{(1,0)}+C_{(2,0)}=0$. Thus,

$$
\chi_{(0,1)}+\chi_{(0,2)}
$$

represents a generator of the order 2 group $H_{Q, \rho}^{2}\left(P_{3} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.

### 2.3. The Quiver and Cocycle Invariant of Links Using $P_{n}^{\sigma}$

In this section, the cocycle $\theta \in Z_{Q}^{2}\left(P_{n}^{n-c y c l e} ;\left\langle t^{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\rangle\right)$ given by

$$
\theta=t^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{(0, i)}}
$$

will be used to compute the cocycle invariant $\Phi_{\theta}$. This was shown to be a cocycle in Theorem 2.2.1. The cocycle takes the value $t$ on any tuple of the form $(0, a)$ where $a$ is positive. Quandle quivers $\mathcal{Q}_{P_{n}^{\sigma}}^{S}$ will be computed using an arbitrary permutation $\sigma$ and $S \subseteq \operatorname{End}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right)$.

Definition 2.3.1. Let $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ be components of an oriented link. Figure 2.2 describes the 4 types of crossings between $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ where the horizontal arcs belong to $K_{1}$ and the vertical arcs belong to $K_{2}$. Let $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$, and $n_{4}$ denote the number of crossings of type (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv). The pairwise linking number between $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ is defined by

$$
l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=\frac{n_{1}+n_{2}-n_{3}-n_{4}}{2}=n_{1}-n_{4}=n_{2}-n_{3}
$$



Figure 2.2. Crossing types between 2 components.

Theorem 2.3.2. The quiver $\mathcal{Q}_{P_{n}^{\sigma}}^{S}(L)$ of a 2-component link $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is determined by $l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $a_{i}$ be some arc of $K_{i}$. The trivial quandle embeds into $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ and coloring $L$ using this subquandle, the positive integers of $P_{n}^{\sigma}$, simplifies to independently selecting the colors of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$.

If $a_{1}$ is colored 0 then all arcs of $K_{1}$ are assigned 0 since $0 * x=0$ for any $x \in P_{n}^{\sigma}$. If the arc $a_{2}$ is also colored 0 , then the link is trivially colored. If $a_{2}$ is colored with a positive integer $k$, then $k$ 's
cycle length $\left|\operatorname{orb}_{\sigma}(k)\right|$ must divide the linking number $l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$. To see this, color the arcs of $K_{2}$ following an orientation and starting with $a_{2}$. When the arc meets a crossing as an under arc the other under arc must be colored $k$ if the over strand is from $K_{2}, \sigma(k)$ if the over strand is from $K_{1}$ and the crossing is positive, and $\sigma^{-1}(k)$ if the over strand is from $K_{1}$ and the crossing is negative. Therefore, $\sigma^{l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)}(k)=k$ and $\left|\operatorname{orb}_{\sigma}(k)\right|$ divides $l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$.

Let $T$ be the sum of $\sigma$ 's cycle lengths which divide $l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$. When $a_{1}$ is colored 0 there are $T$ choices of colors for $a_{2}$ that uniquely extend to non-trivial colorings of $L$. Likewise, there are $T$ choices of colors for $a_{1}$ when $a_{2}$ is colored 0 . The $P_{n}^{\sigma}$-colorings of $L$ are parametrized by the colorings ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) of the arcs $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$. The ordered pair ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) represents arc colors of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ that uniquely extend to a $P_{n}^{\sigma}$-coloring of $L$ if $x_{1}, x_{2} \neq 0, x_{1}=0$ and $\left|o r b_{\sigma}\left(x_{2}\right)\right|$ divides $l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$, $x_{2}=0$ and $\left|\operatorname{orb} b_{\sigma}\left(x_{1}\right)\right|$ divides $l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$, or $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$. The action of $\operatorname{End}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right)$ on $\operatorname{Col}_{P_{n}^{\sigma}}(L)$ is determined by its action on the ordered pairs ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) representing colorings. Therefore, the linking number $l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$ determines the quiver $\mathcal{Q}_{P_{n}^{\sigma}}^{S}\left(K_{1} \cup K_{2}\right)$.

Corollary 2.3.1. The quandle cocycle invariant $\Phi_{\theta}$ of a 2-component link $L=K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ is determined by $l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ represents a $P_{n}^{\sigma}$-coloring of $L$ where $\sigma$ is an $n$-cycle. The $\theta$ weight of $L$ with respect to $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is 0 when $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$ or $x_{1}, x_{2} \neq 0$. If $x_{2} \neq x_{1}=0$, then the corresponding $\theta$-weight is $t^{l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)}$. To see this, let $K_{1}$ represent the horizontal component and let $K_{2}$ represent the vertical component in Figure 2.2. Then the crossing types (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) have $\theta$-weights $t, 0,0$, and $t^{-1}$. Since the number of $(i)$ crossings minus the number of $(i v)$ crossings equals the linking number, the corresponding $\theta$-weight of the coloring is $t^{l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)}$. Likewise, the $\theta$-weight when $x_{1} \neq x_{2}=0$ is $t^{l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)}$. This also holds if $K_{1}$ represented the vertical component and $K_{2}$ represented the horizontal component in Figure 2.2.

If $n$ divides the linking number, then there are $2 n$ colorings with a $\theta$-weight of $t^{l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)}$,

$$
(0,1),(0,2), \ldots,(0, n),(1,0),(2,0), \ldots,(n, 0) .
$$

In this case, $\Phi_{\theta}(L)=1+n^{m}+2 n t^{l k\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)}$. When $n$ does not divide the linking number, $\Phi_{\theta}(L)=$ $1+n^{m}$.

Definition 2.3.3. The linking graph of a link $L$ is a complete graph with vertices representing L's components and edge weights representing the linking numbers between components.

Lemma 2.3.3.1. Every complete, simple graph with integral weights represents the linking graph of some link.

Proof. Let $G$ be a complete graph on $n$ vertices with integral weights. Take $n$ points equidistant along the unit circle. The graph $G$ is represented by connecting each pair of points with a line segment. Assume after a small perturbation that any two arcs intersect transversally in double points along their interiors or not at all. Give these double points arbitrary crossing information. Take small disk neighborhoods of the vertices missing the crossings then remove the interiors of the disks. This leaves a 3 -valent, singular link diagram seen as edges connecting unknotted circles, Figure 2.3. Replace a small neighborhood of the edges with the tangle in Figure 2.4 such that the number of twists equals the weight of the edge that it is replacing. Arbitrarily orient the components and replace any twist region with its mirror if the sign of its crossings does not equal the sign of the weight that it replaced. This gives an oriented link whose linking graph is $G$.


Figure 2.3. Removing the interiors of disk neighborhoods.


Figure 2.4. Replacing an edge with a twist tangle.

Theorem 2.3.4. If two links have isomorphic linking graphs, then they have isomorphic quivers $\mathcal{Q}_{P_{n}^{\sigma}}^{S}$ and equal cocycle $\Phi_{\theta}$ invariants.

Proof. Let $L=K_{1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{m}$ be a diagram of an $m$-component link. Let $a_{i}$ be some arc of $K_{i}$. The $P_{n}^{\sigma}$-colorings of $L$ can be parametrized by the $m$-tuple of colors $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ assigned to $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$. An $m$-tuple of colors $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right)^{m}$ represents the colors of the arcs $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ in a $P_{n}^{\sigma}$-colorings of $L$ if and only if whenever $x_{i}=0,\left|\operatorname{or} b_{\sigma}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|$ divides $l k\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)$ for all $x_{j} \neq 0$. The action of $\operatorname{End}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right)$ on $\operatorname{Col}_{P_{n}^{\sigma}}(L)$ is determined by its action of the set of $m$-tuples $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ representing the colorings. Any other link with an isomorphic linking graph will produce the same set of $m$-tuples $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ up to a fixed permutation of the entries. Therefore, their quivers are equal.

If $x_{i} \neq x_{j}=0$, then the $\theta$-weight contributed by the crossings of $K_{i}$ and $K_{j}$ in the coloring $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ is $t^{l k\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)}$ as justified in Corollary 2.3.1. The $\theta$-weight of a coloring $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ is

$$
\sum_{i<j \wedge\left(x_{i} \neq x_{j}=0 \vee 0=x_{i} \neq x_{j}\right)} t^{l k\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)} .
$$

Since the $\theta$-weight of a coloring is determined by its representative $m$-tuple ( $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ ) and $L$ 's linking numbers, two links with isomorphic linking graphs will have equal cocycle invariants.

Corollary 2.3.2. If two links have spanning trees in their linking graphs such that no cycle length of $\sigma$ divides a weight in these trees, then their quivers $\mathcal{Q}_{P_{n}^{\sigma}}^{S}$ are isomorphic and their cocycle invariants $\Phi_{\theta}$ equal $1+n^{m}$.

Proof. Let $L$ be an $m$-component link with $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right)^{m}$ parametrizing its $P_{n}^{\sigma}$ colorings. As before, such an $m$-tuple represents a coloring if and only if whenever $x_{i}=0,\left|\operatorname{or} b_{\sigma}\left(x_{j}\right)\right|$ divides $l k\left(K_{i}, K_{j}\right)$ for all $x_{j} \neq 0$.

For any two components $K_{i}$ and $K_{j}$ of $L$ consider the path in the spanning tree connecting them. This gives a sequence of components whose consecutive linking numbers are not divisible by any cycle length of $\sigma$. As such, if $K_{i}$ is colored 0 , i.e. $x_{i}=0$, then each consecutive component in the sequence must also be colored 0 , namely $x_{j}=0$. Therefore, if any entry in $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ is zero, then all entries are zero.

In addition to the $n^{m}$ colorings using the positive integers there is a trivial coloring assigning all arcs 0 . Each coloring has a trivial $\theta$-weight, so $\Phi_{\theta}(L)=1+n^{m}$. Since the action of $\operatorname{End}\left(P_{n}^{\sigma}\right)$ on the tuples $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ determines the quiver $\mathcal{Q}_{P_{n}^{s}}^{S}(L)$, any other link satisfying the spanning tree condition will have an isomorphic quiver and equal cocycle invariant $\Phi_{\theta}$.

Corollary 2.3.3. Suppose that $\sigma$ has no fixed points. The pairwise linking numbers of a link with more than 2 components do not determine its quiver $\mathcal{Q}_{P_{n}^{\sigma}}^{S}$ or cocycle invariant $\Phi_{\theta}$.

Proof. Take any spanning tree in a complete, simple graph and give all edges a weight of 1. Weight all but one of the remaining edges 1 . There are infinitely many choices for the remaining weight that give non-isotopic links with isomorphic quivers and equal cocycle invariants.

## CHAPTER 3

## Surface-link Families with Arbitrarily Large Triple Point Number

Satoh and Shima proved many foundational results on the triple point number in the early 2000's:

Theorem 3.0.1 (Satoh '00 [54]). No surface-knot has a triple point number of 1.
Theorem 3.0.2 (Satoh '01 [55]). For any positive n, there exists a surface-knot whose triple point number is $2 n$.

Theorem 3.0.3 (Satoh-Shima '03 [59]). The 2-twist-spun trefoil has a triple point number of 4.

Theorem 3.0.4 (Satoh-Shima '05 [60]). The 3-twist-spun trefoil has a triple point number of 6.

Theorem 3.0.5 (Satoh '05 [56]). No 2-knot has a triple point number of 2 or 3.
Hatakenaka generalized the method used to compute the triple point number of the 2 -twistspun trefoil to show that the triple point number of the 2-twist-spun figure eight knot is between 6 and 8 and the triple point number of the 2-twist-spun (2,5)-torus knot is between 6 and 12 [31]. Satoh then returned to the problem to calculate these surface-knots' exact triple point number:

Theorem 3.0.6 (Satoh '16 [58]). The 2-twist-spun figure-eight knot and the 2-twist-spun (2,5)torus knot have a triple point number of 8 .

It is known that no surface-knot of genus one has a triple point number of 2 [1]. Currently, the only examples of surface-knots with triple point number of two are non-orientable surface-links. The only calculated triple point numbers have been even, although there are too few examples to suggest that the triple point number must always be even.

There are few infinite families of surface-knots with calculated or bounded triple point numbers. Kamada provided the first result of the kind:

Theorem 3.0.7 (Kamada '93 [36]). For any positive integer n, there exists some 2-knot $S$ such that $t(S)>n$.

Kamada's algebraic proof allows for the addition of trivial handles to generalize the result to connected, orientable surface-knots of any genus.

In 2001, Satoh showed that non-split 2-component surface-links whose components are trivial, non-orientable, and of arbitrary genus can achieve arbitrarily large triple point number [55]. His lower bound calculation relies on each component being non-orientable, $P^{2}$-irreducible, and having nonzero normal Euler number. In 2009, Kamada and Oshiro showed a similar result using symmetric quandles [40]. In 2010, Oshiro further explored their method to show that there are non-split 2-component surface-links with both components trivial and non-orientable whose triple point number can be made arbitrarily large regardless of normal Euler number [51].

Theorem 3.0.8 generalizes Oshiro's family and calculation by adding trivial components and extending the original quandle coloring:

Theorem 3.0.8. For any non-negative integers $k$ and $m$, there exists a non-split $k+m+1$ component surface-link $F=\cup_{i=1}^{k} F_{i} \cup \cup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}^{\prime} \cup G$ such that
(i) $F_{i}$ is trivial and orientable of arbitrary genus $g_{i}$,
(ii) $F_{i}^{\prime}$ is trivial and non-orientable of arbitrary even genus $g_{i}^{\prime}$,
(iii) $G$ is trivial and orientable of genus $m+k$,
(iv) $F-G$ is a trivial surface-link,
(v) $t(F)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}^{\prime}$.

Section 3.1 provides background information on the weight of symmetric quandle 3 -cocycles, Section 3.2 describes the induced broken sheet diagram of a motion picture, and Section 3.3 proves Theorem 3.0.8.

### 3.1. The Weight of a Symmetric Quandle 3-cocycle

This section begins with some equivalent definitions for use in this chapter.
A quandle is a set $X$ with a binary operation $(x, y) \mapsto x^{y}$ such that
(i) for any $x \in X$, it holds that $x^{x}=x$,
(ii) for any $x, y \in X$, there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $z^{y}=x$, and
(iii) for any $x, y, z \in X$, it holds that $\left(x^{y}\right)^{z}=\left(x^{z}\right)^{\left(y^{z}\right)}$.

For a quandle $X$, a good involution $\rho$ of $X$ is an involution such that
(i) for any $x, y \in X, \rho\left(x^{y}\right)=\rho(x)^{y}$, and
(ii) for any $x, y \in X, x^{\rho(y)}=x^{y^{-1}}$.

A quandle paired with a good involution is called a symmetric quandle.
Let $(X, \rho)$ be a symmetric quandle and $A$ an abelian group. A homomorphism $\phi: \mathbb{Z}\left(X^{3}\right) \rightarrow A$ is a symmetric quandle 3-cocyle of $(X, \rho)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) For any $(a, b, c, d) \in X^{4}$,

$$
\phi(a, c, d)-\phi\left(a^{b}, c, d\right)-\phi(a, b, d)+\phi\left(a^{c}, b^{c}, d\right)+\phi(a, b, c)-\phi\left(a^{d}, b^{d}, c^{d}\right)=0
$$

(ii) for any $(a, b) \in X^{2}, \phi(a, a, b)=0$ and $\phi(a, b, b)=0$, and
(iii) for any $(a, b, c) \in X^{3}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\qquad \begin{array}{c}
\phi(a, b, c)+\phi(\rho(a), b, c)=0 \\
\quad \phi(a, b, c)+\phi\left(a^{b}, \rho(b), c\right)=0 \\
\text { and } \quad \phi(a, b, c)+\phi\left(a^{c}, b^{c}, \rho(c)\right)=0
\end{array} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For any symmetric quandle $(X, \rho)$, there is an associated chain and cochain complex. Symmetric quandle 3 -cocycles are cocycles of this cochain complex and represent cohomology classes of $H_{Q, \rho}^{3}(X ; A)$, see [11], [38], [40].

Let $L$ be a classical link diagram. Divide over-arcs at each crossing to produce the semi-arcs of $L$. For a symmetric quandle $(X, \rho)$, an assignment of a normal orientation and $X$ elements to each semi-arc satisfies the coloring conditions if the following hold:
(i) Suppose that the two adjacent semi-arcs coming from an over-arc at a crossing of $L$ are labeled with $X$ elements $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. If the normal orientations are coherent then $x_{1}=x_{2}$, otherwise $x_{1}=\rho\left(x_{2}\right)$. See the top row of Figure 3.1.
(ii) Suppose that the two under-arcs at a crossing are labeled with $X$ elements $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, and that one of the semi-arcs coming from the over-arc is labeled $x_{3}$ with a normal orientation pointing toward the under-arc labeled with $x_{2}$. If the normal orientations of the under-arcs are coherent, then $x_{1}^{x_{3}}=x_{2}$, otherwise $x_{1}^{x_{3}}=\rho\left(x_{2}\right)$. See the bottom row of Figure 3.1.


Figure 3.1. Coloring conditions of a link diagram.

An $(X, \rho)$-coloring of $L$ is the equivalence class of an assignment of normal orientations and elements of $X$ to the semi-arcs of $L$ satisfying the coloring conditions. The equivalence relation is generated by basic inversions. Such an inversion reverses the normal orientation of a semi-arc and changes the assigned element $x$ to $\rho(x)$.

Let $D$ be a broken sheet diagram. Divide over-sheets along the double point curves and call the result semi-sheets of $D$. Note that every semi-sheet is orientable even if $F$ is non-orientable. For a symmetric quandle $(X, \rho)$, an assignment of a normal orientation and an element of $X$ to each semi-sheet satisfies the coloring conditions if the following hold:
(i) Suppose that two adjacent semi-sheets coming from an over-sheet of $D$ about a double point curve are labeled by $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. If the normal orientations are coherent then $x_{1}=x_{2}$, otherwise $x_{1}=\rho\left(x_{2}\right)$. See the top row of Figure 3.2.
(ii) Suppose that two adjacent semi-sheets $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ coming from under-sheets about a double point curve are labeled by $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, and that one of the two semi-sheets coming from an over-sheet of $D$, say $S_{3}$, is labeled by $x_{3}$. Assume that the normal orientation of $S_{3}$ points from $S_{1}$ to $S_{2}$. If the normal orientations of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are coherent, then $x_{1}^{x_{3}}=x_{2}$, otherwise $x_{1}^{x_{3}}=\rho\left(x_{2}\right)$. See the bottom row of Figure 3.2.

An $(X, \rho)$-coloring of $D$ is the equivalence class of an assignment of normal orientations and elements of $X$ to the semi-sheets of $D$ satisfying the coloring conditions. The equivalence relation


Figure 3.2. Coloring conditions of a broken sheet diagram.
is generated by basic inversions. Such an inversion reverses the normal orientations of a semi-sheet and changes the assigned element $x$ to $\rho(x)$ [40], [51].

Let $C$ be an $(X, \rho)$-coloring of a broken sheet diagram $D$. For a triple point $\tau$ of $D$, choose one of the eight 3 -dimensional complementary regions around the triple point and call the region specified. There are 12 semi-sheets around a triple point. Let $S_{B}, S_{M}$, and $S_{T}$ be the three of them that face the specified region, where $S_{B}, S_{M}$, and $S_{T}$ are semi-sheets of the bottom, middle, and top sheet respectively. Let $n_{B}, n_{M}$, and $n_{T}$ be the normal orientations of $S_{B}, S_{M}$, and $S_{T}$. Through basic inversions, it is assumed that each normal orientation points away from the specified region. Let $x, y$, and $z$ be the elements of $X$ assigned to the semi-sheets $S_{B}, S_{M}$, and $S_{T}$ whose normal orientations $n_{B}, n_{M}$, and $n_{T}$ point away from the specified region. The color of the triple point $\tau$ is the triple $C_{\tau}=(x, y, z)$.

Let $\phi: \mathbb{Z}\left(X^{3}\right) \rightarrow A$ be a symmetric quandle 3-cocycle of ( $X, \rho$ ). The $\phi$-weight of the triple point is defined by $\epsilon \phi(x, y, z)$ such that $\epsilon$ is +1 (or -1 ) if the triple of the normal orientations $\left(n_{T}, n_{M}, n_{B}\right)$ is (or is not) coherent with the orientation of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ at the triple point. The triple point of Figure 3.3 is positive. The $\phi$-weight of a diagram $D$ with respect to a symmetric quandle


Figure 3.3. A positive colored triple point with $C_{\tau}=(x, y, z)$.
coloring $C$ is

$$
\phi(D, C)=\sum_{\tau}(\phi-\text { weight of } \tau) \in A,
$$

where $\tau$ runs over all triple points of $D$. The value $\phi(D, C)$ is an invariant of an $(X, \rho)$-colored surface-link $(F, C)[40,51]$. Denote $\phi(D, C)$ by $\phi(F, C)$.

### 3.2. Induced Broken Sheet Diagram of a Motion Picture

Given a surface-knot $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{4}$ and a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$, perturb $F$ such that the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$ in the direction of $\mathbf{v}$ is a Morse function. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathbb{R}_{t}^{3}$ denote the affine hyperplane orthogonal to $\mathbf{v}$ that contains the point $t \mathbf{v}$. Morse theory allows for the assumption that all but finitely many of the non-empty cross-sections $F_{t}=\mathbb{R}_{t}^{3} \cap F$ are classical links. The decomposition $\left\{F_{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called a motion picture of $F$. It may also be assumed that the exceptional cross-sections contain minimal points, maximal points, and/or immersed links with double points representing saddles $[10,38]$.

There is a product structure between Morse critical points implying that only finitely many cross-sections are needed to decompose, or construct, $F$. Note that, a sole cross-section of a product region does not uniquely determine its knotting, ambient isotopy class relative boundary, see [10]. Project the cross-sections $\left\{F_{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ onto a plane to get an ordered family of planar diagrams containing classical link diagrams, minimal points, maximal points, and link diagrams with transverse


Figure 3.4. Relationship between Reidemeister III moves and triple points.
double points. These planar diagrams are stills of the motions picture. The collection of all stills will also be referred to as a motion picture. The double points of the immersed link diagrams can be replaced with bands to give information about the double points' smoothings in the stills immediately before and after.


Figure 3.5. Orientation at a saddle point.

The product structure between critical points also implies that cross-sections between consecutive critical points represent the same link. Therefore, there is a sequence of Reidemeister moves and planar isotopies between the stills of a motion picture that exists between consecutive critical points.

A motion picture induces a broken sheet diagram. Associate the time parameter of a Reidemeister move with the height of a local broken sheet diagram. A translation of each Reidemeister move to a broken sheet diagram is done in [39]. A Reidemeister III move gives a triple point diagram
seen in Figure 3.4, a Reidemeister I move corresponds to a branch point, and a Reidemeister II move corresponds to a maximum or minimum of a double point curve, Figures $5 \& 6$ of [39].

The triple points of the induced broken sheet diagram are in correspondence with the Reidemeister III moves between stills.

For a symmetric quandle $(X, \rho)$, an $(X, \rho)$-coloring of an immersed link diagram with transverse double points is an assignment of $X$ elements to each arc such that the symmetric coloring conditions are satisfied at each crossing, each of the four arcs at a double point are given the same color, and the normal orientations of the arcs at a double point satisfy Figure 3.5. Geometric justification of the coloring constraints at a double point, as well as an example of replacing a double point with a band, is shown in Figure 3.6.


Figure 3.6. Induced saddle sheet.

An $(X, \rho)$-coloring of a motion picture is a consistent $(X, \rho)$-coloring of each still. Consistent means that stills separated by a Reidemeister move, or planar isotopy, have colorings consistent with the unique coloring extension of the move. An $(X, \rho)$-coloring of a motion picture gives an $(X, \rho)$-coloring of the induced broken sheet diagram. Give each induced sheet the same color as any arc that traces the sheet in the motion picture. With the addition of the appropriately colored saddle sheets, an $(X, \rho)$-coloring of the entire broken sheet diagram is achieved.

### 3.3. A Surface-link Family with Arbitrarily Large Triple Point Number

For non-negative integers $s$ and $t$, let $A_{s, t}$ denote the direct sum of $s$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $t$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}, A_{s, t}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{s} \oplus(\mathbb{Z})^{t}$. Every element of $A_{s, t}$ is of the form $\left(\alpha_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_{s}\right) \oplus\left(\beta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \beta_{t}\right)$, where $\alpha_{i}$ is an entry of the $i$ th copy of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\beta_{j}$ is an entry of the $j$ th copy of $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$ be the elements of $A_{s, t}$ whose entries are all zeros except $\alpha_{i}=1$ and $\beta_{j}=1$.

Consider a broken sheet diagram realizing the triple point number of the surface-knot it represents. If a symmetric quandle 3 -cocycle has $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients and only takes the values $1,-1$ or 0 , then the absolute value of the cocycle's weight cannot be greater than the number of triple points in the diagram. Since the weight of a symmetric quandle 3-cocycle is an invariant, the triple point number bounds the weight of the cocycle. This is the principle behind the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3.0.1 (Oshiro '10 [51]). Let $(X, \rho)$ be a symmetric quandle, and let $\phi: \mathbb{Z}\left(X^{3}\right) \rightarrow A_{s, t}$ be a 3-cocycle of $(X, \rho)$ such that for any generator $(a, b, c) \in X^{3}$ of $\mathbb{Z}\left(X^{3}\right)$ it holds that

$$
\phi(a, b, c) \in\left\{0, p_{i}, \pm q_{j}\right\} .
$$

If the invariant $\phi(F, C)$ of a surface-knot $F$ with an $(X, \rho)$-coloring $C$ is equal to $\left(\alpha_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus\right.$ $\left.\alpha_{s}\right) \oplus\left(\beta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \beta_{t}\right)$, then we have $t(F) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left|\beta_{j}\right|$ where the sum is taken in $\mathbb{Z}$ by regarding $\alpha_{k}=0$ or 1 as an element of $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $P_{3}$ be the quandle whose multiplication table is shown in Table 5.1. The involution $\rho$ : $P_{3} \rightarrow P_{3}$ defined by $\rho(0)=0$ and $\rho(1)=2$ is a good involution of $P_{3}$ [51].

| $P_{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |

Table 3.1. Multiplication table of $P_{3}$.

Define a map $\theta: P_{3}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\theta(a, b, c)= \begin{cases}1 \oplus 0 & (a, b, c) \in\{(0,1,0),(0,2,0)\} \\ 0 \oplus 1 & (a, b, c) \in\{(1,0,2),(2,0,1)\} \\ 0 \oplus-1 & (a, b, c) \in\{(1,0,1),(2,0,2)\} \\ 0 \oplus 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The linear extension $\theta: \mathbb{Z}\left(P_{3}^{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is a symmetric quandle 3-cocycle of ( $P_{3}, \rho$ ) [51]. This cocycle satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.4.2.1, so the $\theta$-weight of a $\left(P_{3}, \rho\right)$-colored broken sheet diagram is a lower bound on the surface-knot's triple point number.


Figure 3.7. $\left(P_{3}, \rho\right)$-colored motion picture of $F, 1$ of 5 .


Figure 3.8. $\left(P_{3}, \rho\right)$-colored motion picture of $F, 2$ of 5.


Figure 3.9. $\left(P_{3}, \rho\right)$-colored motion picture of $F, 3$ of 5 .


Figure 3.10. $\left(P_{3}, \rho\right)$-colored motion picture of $F, 4$ of 5 .


Figure 3.11. $\left(P_{3}, \rho\right)$-colored motion picture of $F, 5$ of 5 .

Proof of Theorem 3.0.8. Let $F$ be the surface-link whose motion picture is shown in Figures $3.7,3.8,3.9,3.10$, and 3.11. This motion picture is $\left(P_{3}, \rho\right)$-colored. The first still shows the sole minimum of each component. There are $m$ non-orientable components $F_{i}^{\prime}, k$ orientable components $F_{i}$, and one component $G$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}^{\prime} \cup \cup_{i=1}^{k} F_{i}$ is a trivial surface-link.

There are $2(k+m)$ saddle points, one minimum, and one maximum in the motion picture restricted to $G$. Therefore, the trivial orientable surface-knot $G$ has genus $k+m$. For any nonnegative $g_{i}$, there are $g_{i}$ saddle points of $F_{i}$ in still (iv) and $g_{i}$ in still (ix). Each $F_{i}$ has a sole maximum, shown in still (xi). Therefore, $F_{i}$ has genus $g_{i}$ and bounds an obvious handlebody. For any positive even $g_{i}^{\prime}$, there are $\frac{g_{i}^{\prime}}{2}$ saddle points of $F_{i}^{\prime}$ in still (iv), $\frac{g_{i}^{\prime}}{2}-1$ in still (ix), and $\frac{g_{i}^{\prime}}{2}$ in still (xii). Since each $F_{i}^{\prime}$ has $\frac{g_{i}^{\prime}}{2}$ maxima, $F_{i}^{\prime}$ has genus $g_{i}^{\prime}$.

There are $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{g_{i}^{\prime}}{2}$ Reidemeister III moves between still (v) and (vi). These moves induce negative triple points each colored $(2,0,2)$. The sum of their $\theta$-weights is $0 \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{g_{i}^{\prime}}{2}$. Between still (xiii) and (xiv), there are $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{g_{i}^{\prime}}{2}$ Reidemeister III moves giving positive triple points each
colored ( $1,0,2$ ). The $\theta$-weight sum of these triple points is $0 \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{g_{i}^{\prime}}{2}$. Therefore, the $\theta$-weight of the induced broken sheet diagram is $0 \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}^{\prime}$, and Lemma 5.4.2.1 implies that $t\left(F=\cup_{i=1}^{k} F_{i} \cup\right.$ $\left.\cup_{i=1}^{m} F_{i}^{\prime} \cup G\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}^{\prime}$ since the induced broken sheet diagram has $\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}^{\prime}$ triple points.

## CHAPTER 4

## On the Triple Point Number of Surface-links in Yoshikawa's Table

A singular link diagram is an immersed link diagram in the plane with crossings and transverse double points called vertices. At each vertex assign a marker, a local choice of two non-adjacent regions in the complement of the vertex. Such a marked singular link diagram is called a chdiagram $[10,38,39,63]$. One of the two smoothings of a vertex connects the two regions of the marker, the positive resolution $L^{+}$, and one separates the marker's regions, the negative resolution $L^{-}$, see Figure 4.1. If $L^{-}$and $L^{+}$are unlinks, then the ch-diagram is said to be admissible. Admissible ch-diagrams represent surface-links and induce broken sheet diagrams, this is described in Section 5.1, and every surface-link defines an admissible ch-diagram.


Figure 4.1. Smoothings of a marked vertex.

Including the 3 Reidemeister moves of classical link diagrams, there are 8 moves on ch-diagrams called Yoshikawa moves, see p. 61 of [38]. Two admissible ch-diagrams represent equivalent surfacelinks if and only if they are Yoshikawa move equivalent, Theorem 3.6.3 of [38]. The ch-index of a ch-diagram is the number of marked vertices plus the number of crossings. The ch-index of a surfacelink $F$, denoted $\operatorname{ch}(F)$, is the minimum of ch-indices $\operatorname{ch}(D)$ among all admissible ch-diagrams $D$ representing $F$. A sufrace-link $F$ is said to be weakly prime if $F$ is not the connected sum of any two surfaces $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ such that $\operatorname{ch}\left(F_{i}\right)<\operatorname{ch}(F)$. Yoshikawa classified weakly prime surface-links whose ch-index is 10 or less in [63]. He generated a table of their representative ch-diagrams up to
orientation and mirror. A rendition of his table is done in Figure 4.2. His notation is of the form $I_{k}^{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{c}}$ where $I$ is the surface-link's ch-index and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{c}$ are the genera of its components.

This paper considers the triple point number of the surface-links represented in Yoshikawa's table. Section 5.2 tabulates the known triple point numbers of the surface-links from his table and calculates or provides bounds on the triple point number of the remaining surface-links.

### 4.1. Induced Broken Sheet Diagrams of Admissible Ch-diagrams

Material from Chapter 3 is repeated for clarity. Given a surface-knot $F \subset \mathbb{R}^{4}$ and a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$, perturb $F$ so that the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$ in the direction of $\mathbf{v}$ is a Morse function. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathbb{R}_{t}^{3}$ denote the affine hyperplane orthogonal to $\mathbf{v}$ that contains the point $t \mathbf{v}$. Morse theory allows for the assumption that all but finitely many of the nonempty cross-sections $F_{t}=\mathbb{R}_{t}^{3} \cap F$ are classical links; the exceptional cross-sections contain minimal points, maximal points, and/or singular links. The decomposition $\left\{F_{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called a motion picture $[23,41]$.

There is a product structure between Morse critical points implying that only finitely many cross-sections are needed to decompose, or construct, $F$. Note that, a sole cross-section of a product region does not uniquely determine its knotting, i.e. its ambient isotopy class relative boundary, see [41]. Project the cross-sections $\left\{F_{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ onto a plane to get an ordered family of planar diagrams containing classical link diagrams, minimal points, maximal points, and singular link diagrams. These planar diagrams are stills of the motion picture. The collection of all stills is also referred to as a motion picture of the surface-link. The product structure between critical points implies that cross-sections between consecutive critical points represent the same link. Therefore, there is a sequence of Reidemeister moves and planar isotopies between the stills of a motion picture that exists between consecutive critical points. There is a translation of Reidemeister moves in a motion picture to sheets in a broken sheet diagram.

Associate the time parameter of a Reidemeister move with the height of a local broken sheet diagram. A Reidemeister III move gives a triple point diagram, a Reidemeister I move corresponds to a branch point, and a Reidemeister II move corresponds to a maximum or minimum of a double point curve, see Figure 4.8. Triple points of the induced broken sheet diagram are in correspondence


$2_{1}^{-1}$


(2)


Figure 4.2. Author's rendition of Yoshikawa's table found in [63].
with the Reidemeister III moves in the motion picture. To generate a broken sheet diagram of the entire surface-link include sheets containing saddles for each saddle point in the motion picture.


Figure 4.3. Induced saddle sheet.

Now, consider an admissible ch-diagram. There is a finite sequence of Reidemeister moves that takes $L^{-}$and $L^{+}$to crossing-less diagrams $O^{-}$and $O^{+}$. Translate these Reidemeister moves to a broken sheet diagram. In between the still of $L^{-}$and $L^{+}$and for each marked vertex include sheets containing the saddles traced by transitioning from $L^{-}$to $L^{+}$in the local picture of Figure 4.1. These saddles are locally pictured in Figure 4.3. Finally, cap-off $O^{-}$and $O^{+}$with trivial disks to produce a broken sheet diagram of a surface-link.

### 4.2. The Triple Point Numbers of Surface-Links Represented in Yoshikawa's Table

A surface-link $F$ is ribbon if there is a trivial 2-link $\mathcal{O}$ and a collection of embedded (3dimensional) 1-handles attaching to $\mathcal{O}$ such that $F$ is the result of surgery along these 1-handles. These 1-handles intersect $\mathcal{O}$ only in their attaching regions. A surface-link with triple point number 0 is pseudo-ribbon.

The three trivial surface-knots $0_{1}, 2_{1}^{1}$, and $2_{1}^{-1}$ are clearly pseudo-ribbon. Most of the remaining surface-links in Yoshikawa's table are ribbon.


Figure 4.4. Marked vertex pattern.


Figure 4.5. Motion picture of the saddles represented by Figure 4.4.
Theorem 4.2.1. Admissible ch-diagrams with vertices paired and isolated in the pattern of Figure 4.4 represent ribbon surface-links.

Proof. Figure 4.5 shows that the unlink diagrams of the positive and negative resolutions are identical, up to a small planar isotopy. The positive and negative resolutions are the boundary of trivial disk systems, families of disjoint 2-disks with one maximal (or minimal) point. Between these trivial disk systems include a product region representing the aforementioned small planar isotopies between the two resolutions to produce a collection of 2 -knots each with just one minimal point and one maximal point. With no saddles, the constructed surface-link with all 2 -sphere components is a trivial 2-link since any two trivial disk systems that have the same boundary are smoothly ambient isotopic (rel boundary) [38]. Figure 4.6 shows that the addition of the saddles represented by the marked vertices is equivalent to 1 -handle surgery on this trivial 2 -link.


Figure 4.6. Ribbon handle associated with the given marked vertex pattern.

As a corollary to Theorem 4.2.1, all nontrivial surface-links of Yoshikawa's table except $8_{1}^{-1,-1}$, $10_{2}, 10_{3}, 10_{1}^{1,1}, 10_{2}^{0,-2}$, and $10_{1}^{-1,-1}$ are ribbon.

Theorem 4.2.2 (Satoh '01 [55], Kamada-Oshiro '09 [40]). The triple point number of $8_{1}^{-1,-1}$ is 2.

A surface in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is called $\mathbf{P}^{2}$-irreducible if it is not the connected sum $F_{1} \# F_{2}$ where $F_{1}$ is any surface and $F_{2}$ is one of the two standard projective planes, i.e. $2_{1}^{-1}$ or its mirror. All surfaces in Yoshikawa's table except for $2_{1}^{-1}$ are irreducible, Section 5 of [63]. Satoh's lower bound calculation relies on each component being non-orientable, $\mathbf{P}^{2}$-irreducible, and having nonzero normal Euler number.

Lemma 4.2.2.1 (Satoh '01 [55]). For a $\mathbf{P}^{2}$-irreducible surface-link $F=F_{1} \cup \cdots \cup F_{n}$

$$
t(F) \geq\left(\left|e\left(F_{1}\right)\right|+\cdots+\left|e\left(F_{n}\right)\right|\right) / 2
$$

where $e\left(F_{i}\right)$ denotes the normal Euler number of the surface-knot $F_{i}$.
Kamada-Oshiro later proved $t\left(8_{1}^{-1,-1}\right)=2$ using the symmetric quandle cocycle invariant. This method does not depend on the normal Euler number of the surface-link's components.

Theorem 4.2.3 (Satoh-Shima '04 [59] '05 [60]). The triple point number of the 2-twist-spun trefoil is 4, and the triple point number of the 3-twist-spun trefoil is 6 .

Since $10_{2}$ represents the 2 -twist-spun trefoil and $10_{3}$ represents the 3 -twist-spun trefoil, Satoh and Shima's results give $t\left(10_{2}\right)=4$ and $t\left(10_{3}\right)=6$.

Theorem 4.2.4. The surface-link $10_{1}^{1,1}$ is pseudo-ribbon.
Proof. Figure 4.7 shows that both the negative and positive resolutions of $10_{1}^{1,1}$, s marked vertex diagram only need Reidemeister II moves to achieve crossing-less diagrams. Thus, there is a broken sheet diagram representing $10_{1}^{1,1}$ with no triple points.

Theorem 4.2.5. The surface-link $10_{1}^{-1,-1}$ has a triple point number no greater than 12 and no less than 2.

Proof. Figures 4.10 and 4.11 illustrate a motion picture of $10_{1}^{-1,-1}$ whose induced broken sheet diagram has 12 triple points. Since $10_{1}^{-1,-1}$ is $\mathbf{P}^{2}$-irreducible [63] and each component is a projective plane, Lemma 5.4.2.1 implies that $2 \leq t\left(10_{1}^{-1,-1}\right)$.


Figure 4.7. Negative and positive resolutions of $10_{1}^{1,1}$.

| $F$ | $0_{1}$ | $2_{1}^{1}$ | $2_{1}^{-1}$ | $6_{1}^{0,1}$ | $7_{1}^{0,-2}$ | $8_{1}$ | $8_{1}^{1,1}$ | $8_{1}^{-1,-1}$ | $9_{1}$ | $9_{1}^{0,1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t(F)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |


| $F$ | $9_{1}^{1,-2}$ | $10_{1}$ | $10_{2}$ | $10_{3}$ | $10_{1}^{1}$ | $10_{1}^{0,1}$ | $10_{2}^{0,1}$ | $10_{1}^{1,1}$ | $10_{1}^{0,0,1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t(F)$ | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| $F$ | $10_{1}^{0,-2}$ | $10_{2}^{0,-2}$ | $10_{1}^{-1,-1}$ | $10_{1}^{-2,-2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t(F)$ | 0 | $t\left(10_{2}^{0,-2}\right) \leq 10$ | $2 \leq t\left(10_{1}^{-1,-1}\right) \leq 12$ | 0 |

Table 4.1. Triple point number data on the surface-links represented in Yoshikawa's table [63].

Theorem 4.2.6. The surface-link $10_{2}^{0,-2}$ has a triple point number no greater than 10.
Proof. A motion picture of an induced broken sheet diagram of $10_{2}^{0,-2}$ is shown in Figure 4.9. This motion picture has 10 Reidemeister III moves that occur between the stills connected by an arrow.

Remark 4.2.1. Figure 4.9 shows that the Klein bottle component of $10_{2}^{0,-2}$ has a normal Euler number of 0. Therefore, Lemma 5.4.2.1 does not give a positive lower bound. The symmetric quandle cocycle invariant has proven useful for generating lower bounds on the triple point number
of non-orientable surface-links, see [11, 40,51]. A symmetric quandle must have a good involution with a fixed point in order to color $10_{2}^{0,-2}$. The trivial symmetric quandles of [52] color $10_{2}^{0,-2}$ but the given symmetric quandle cocycles have a weight of 0 for all such coloring. The dihedral quandle $R_{4}$ colors $10_{2}^{0,-2}$ and the identity is a good involution of $R_{4}$, but there are no calculated symmetric quandle cocycles of $\left(R_{4}, i d\right)$. None of the other explicitly defined symmetric quandles of [11, 40,51,52] color $10_{2}^{0,-2}$ or admit a coloring that gives a non-zero weight with the explicitly defined symmetric quandle cocycles.


Figure 4.8. Relationship between Reidemeister moves in a motion picture and broken sheet diagrams.


Figure 4.9. A motion picture of $10_{2}^{0,-2}$.


Figure 4.10. A motion picture of $10_{1}^{-1,-1}, 1$ of 2 .


Figure 4.11. A motion picture of $10_{1}^{-1,-1}, 2$ of 2.

## CHAPTER 5

## The Shadow Quandle Cocycle Invariant of Knotoids

Shadow quandle coloring and the shadow quandle cocycle invariant were defined in [9]. In fact, a version of shadow quandle coloring of knotoid diagrams was defined, but the diagrams were called 1-knot arcs and the color of an endpoint arc equaled the color of its adjacent region. This was defined in the context of cross-sections of surface-links. This paper generalizes and reformulates the original definitions of shadow quandle coloring and the shadow quandle cocycle invariant of 1-knot arcs in the context of knotoids.

The shadow cocycle invariant is used to distinguishes the knot-type knotoid $3_{1}$ from its mirror in Theorem 5.4.1. Moreover, the shadow coloring number and shadow cocycle invariant are used to distinguish infinitely many knotoids from their mirrors in Theorems 5.2.14 and 5.4.2.

Section 5.1 introduces knotoids and multi-linkoids, Section 5.2 defines the shadow coloring number of knotoids and uses it to distinguish infinitely many knotoids from their mirrors, Section 5.3 defines the shadow quandle cocycle invariant for knotoids, and Section 5.4 proves several chirality and crossing number results of knotoids and multi-linkoids using the invariant.

### 5.1. Knotoids

Knotoids are equivalence classes of knotoid diagrams.

Definition 5.1.1. A knotoid diagram $\kappa$ in an orientable surface $\Sigma$ is a generic immersion of the unit interval with crossing information given at each double point. Additionally, the image of 0 and 1 are distinct and called the head and leg of $\kappa$. A trivial knotoid diagram is an embedding of the unit interval.

The three Reidemeister moves are defined on knotoid diagrams away from the head and leg. The supporting disk of the move is disjoint from either endpoint.

Definition 5.1.2. Consider the equivalence relation on knotoid diagrams generated by Reidemeister moves and planar isotopy. The equivalence classes of this relation are called knotoids. Knotoids in $\Sigma=S^{2}$ and $\Sigma=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ are referred to as classical.

Definition 5.1.3. A classical knotoid is called a knot-type knotoid if it admits a diagram with both endpoints in the outer region.

In $S^{2}$, a knotoid is a knot-type knotoid if it admits a diagram with both endpoints in the same region. Each knotoid has an associated knot by connecting the endpoints of any representative diagram by any generic simple arc that is given under crossing information wherever it meets the knotoid diagram. When a classical knotoid is knot-type then its invariants are closely related to the invariants of its associated knot.

Definition 5.1.4 (Turaev '12 [62]). Each knotoid diagram has a closed 2-disk neighborhood B $\subset$ $\Sigma$ that meets the diagram along a radius of $B$. This neighborhood is called a regular neighborhood of the endpoints. Given knotoid diagrams $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ representing the knotoids $\kappa$ and $\kappa^{\prime}$, pick regular neighborhoods $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ of the leg of $\kappa$ and the head of $\kappa^{\prime}$. Glue $\Sigma-\operatorname{Int}(B)$ to $\Sigma^{2}-\operatorname{Int}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ along a homeomorphism $\partial B \rightarrow \partial B^{\prime}$ carrying the only point of $D \cap \partial B_{1}$ to the only point of $D^{\prime} \cap \partial B_{2}$. This creates the product knotoid diagram $D D^{\prime}$ in $\Sigma \# \Sigma$. Moreover, this product is invariant of diagram, i.e. $\kappa \kappa^{\prime}:=D D^{\prime}$ is a well-defined knotoid product for any diagrams $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ of $\kappa$ and $\kappa^{\prime}$.

Turaev also described that when the knotoids $\kappa \subset S^{2}$ and $\kappa^{\prime} \subset S^{2}$ have diagrams $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ such that the head of $D^{\prime}$ is adjacent to the outer region, a diagram of $\kappa \kappa^{\prime}$ is given by concatenating $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ at the leg of $D$ and head of $D^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood of the leg of $D$. This concatenation gives a knotoid diagram $D D^{\prime}$ representing $\kappa \kappa^{\prime}$.

Definition 5.1.5. A knotoid is prime if it cannot be written as a product of two non-trivial knotoids.

Goundaroulis, Dorier, and Stasiak systematically classified prime classical knotoids up to five crossings [25]. This classification is up to orientation and the symmetry-related involutions mir(•), $\operatorname{sym}(\cdot)$, and $\operatorname{rot}(\cdot)$, see Figure 5.1. The mirror reflection, $\operatorname{mir}(\cdot)$, changes each crossing. Symmetry, $\operatorname{sym}(\cdot)$, reflects a knotoid diagram with respect to the vertical line $0 \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The composition of mirror reflection and symmetry is called rotation, $\operatorname{rot}(\cdot)$.


Figure 5.1. Involutions of a classical knotoid diagram.

They introduced a two-number notation of knotoids, analogous to the Rolfsen notation of knots and links. The first number represents the crossing number of the knotoid and the second number represents an index to distinguish knotoids in the same surface with the same crossing number. A knot-type knotoid is given the Rolfsen notation of its associated knot.

Definition 5.1.6. A multi-linkoid diagram in a closed surface $\Sigma$ is a generic immersion of a number of unit intervals $[0,1]$ and circles $S^{1}$ into $\Sigma$. The images of the points 0 and 1 of the unit intervals are pairwise disjoint. Crossing information is given at every transverse double point.

Definition 5.1.7. Consider the equivalence relation on multi-linkoids diagrams generated by Reidemeister moves away from the endpoints and planar isotopy. The equivalence classes of this relation are called multi-linkoids.

### 5.2. Shadow Quandle Colorings of Knots and Knotoids

Recall:

Definition 5.2.1. A quandle is a set $X$ with a binary operation $(x, y) \mapsto x^{y}$ such that
(i) for any $x \in X$, it holds that $x^{x}=x$,
(ii) for any $x, y \in X$, there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $z^{y}=x$, and
(iii) for any $x, y, z \in X$, it holds that $\left(x^{y}\right)^{z}=\left(x^{z}\right)^{\left(y^{z}\right)}$.

Example 5.2.2. The trivial quandle $T_{n}$ of order $n$ is determined by the relation $x^{y}=x$ for all $x, y \in E_{n}$.

Example 5.2.3. For any group $G$, the relation $g^{h}=h^{-1} g h$ for all $g, h \in G$ defines a quandle on $G$ called the conjugation quandle and $g^{h}=h g^{-1} h$ defines the core quandle.

Example 5.2.4. Let $\Lambda$ denote the ring of Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{Z}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$. Let $X$ be a left $\Lambda$ module, and define a quandle operation by $x^{y}=t x+(1-t) y$. This quandle is called the Alexander quandle.

Example 5.2.5. The dihedral quandle $R_{n}=\mathbb{Z} / n \mathbb{Z}$ of order $n$ is defined by $x^{y}=2 y-x$. The quandle operation can be calculated through the symmetries of regular n-gons. Let $R_{n}$ be the vertices of the regular n-gon cyclicly ordered clockwise or counter-clockwise. For two points $x, y \in R_{n}$, the product $x^{y}$ is the reflection of $x$ across the line containing $y$ and the center of the $n$-gon. See Figure 5.2.


Figure 5.2. The dihedral quandle operation determined by the symmetries of a regular $n$-gon.

Example 5.2.6. There are only three quandles of order 3: $T_{3}, R_{3}$, and $P_{3}$. The multiplication table of $P_{3}$ is given in Table 5.1.

$$
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
P_{3} & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 2 & 2
\end{array}
$$

Table 5.1. Multiplication table of $P_{3}$.

Definition 5.2.7. For a quandle $X$, a quandle coloring or $X$-coloring of an oriented knot or knotoid diagram is an assignment of $X$ elements called colors to each arc such that the assignment satisfies the relation of Figure 5.3 at each crossing.

The co-orientation normal is a more convenient orientation marking for verifying this relation. Given an oriented arc, the conventional normal vector direction is shown in Figure 5.3.


Figure 5.3. Quandle coloring condition around a crossing.

Definition 5.2.8. For a quandle $X$, an $X$-set is a set $Y$ with a map $*: Y \times X \rightarrow Y ;(y, x) \mapsto y * x$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) For any $x \in X, Y \rightarrow Y ; y \mapsto y * x$ is a bijection.
(ii) For any $y \in Y$ and any $a, b \in X,(y * a) * b=(y * b) *(a * b)$.

Let $D$ be the generic immersion of a knot into the plane. Since this projection is a 4 -valent planar graph, the complement $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash D$ (or $S^{2} \backslash D$ ) is a collection of connected components called regions. The set of regions of $D$ is denoted Region $(D)$. With the addition of crossing information, the set of $D$ 's arcs is denoted $\operatorname{Arc}(D)$.

For a generic immersion of a knotoid into a surface $D \subset \Sigma, \Sigma \backslash D$ is a collection of connected components called regions, Region $(D)$. With crossing information, $D$ is a collection of arcs $\operatorname{Arc}(D)$; two arcs are distinct since they contain the head and leg.

Definition 5.2.9. Let $X$ be a quandle and $Y$ an $X$-set. For any oriented knot diagram $D$, an $(X, Y)$-coloring of $D$ is a map $c: \operatorname{Arc}(D) \cup \operatorname{Region}(D) \rightarrow X \cup Y$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $c(\operatorname{Arc}(D)) \subset X$ and $c(\operatorname{Region}(D)) \subset Y$.
(ii) The restriction of $\left.c\right|_{\operatorname{Arc}(D)}: \operatorname{Arc}(D) \rightarrow X$ is an $X$-coloring of $D$.


Figure 5.4. Coloring condition of region separated by an arc, $c\left(r_{1}\right)^{c(a)}=c\left(r_{2}\right)$.
(iii) Let $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ be adjacent regions of $D$ along an arc $a \in \operatorname{Arc}(D)$. If the co-orientation normal of a points from $r_{1}$ to $r_{2}$, then $c\left(r_{1}\right)^{c(a)}=c\left(r_{2}\right)$. See Figure 5.4.

Additional conditions are needed for knotoids:

Definition 5.2.10. Let $X$ be a quandle and $Y$ an $X$-set. For any oriented knotoid diagram $D$, an $(X, Y)$-coloring of $D$ is a map $c: \operatorname{Arc}(D) \cup \operatorname{Region}(D) \rightarrow X \cup Y$ satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $c(\operatorname{Arc}(D)) \subset X$ and $c(\operatorname{Region}(D)) \subset Y$.
(ii) The restriction of $\left.c\right|_{\operatorname{Arc}(D)}: \operatorname{Arc}(D) \rightarrow X$ is an $X$-coloring of $D$.
(iii) Let $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ be adjacent regions of $D$ along an arc $a \in \operatorname{Arc}(D)$ that does not meet the head nor leg. If the co-orientation normal of a points from $r_{1}$ to $r_{2}$, then $c\left(r_{1}\right)^{c(a)}=c\left(r_{2}\right)$. See Figure 5.4.
(iv) Let $r$ be the region of $D$ adjacent to an arc $a \in \operatorname{Arc}(D)$ that meets the head or leg. Regardless of orientation, $c(r)^{c(a)}=c(r)$. See Figure 5.5.


Figure 5.5. Coloring condition around an endpoint arc, $c(r)^{c(a)}=c(r)$.

An $(X, Y)$-coloring is also called a shadow quandle coloring.

Definition 5.2.11. The set of $(X, Y)$-colorings of a knot or knotoid diagram $D$ is denoted $\operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)$. The size of $\operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)$, when finite, is denoted by $\operatorname{col}_{(X, Y)}(D)$ and called the $(X, Y)$ coloring number or shadow coloring number by $(X, Y)$.

Proposition 5.2.1 (Proposition 9.4.3 of [38]). If two diagrams $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ represent equivalent oriented knots, then there is a bijection between $\operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)$ and $\operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. The shadow coloring number $\operatorname{col}_{(X, Y)}(D)$ is an invariant of an oriented knot.

After a Reidemeister move on a $(X, Y)$-colored knot diagram there is a unique coloring extending the original. This establishes the bijection of the proposition.

Proposition 5.2.2. If two oriented knotoid diagrams $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ represent equivalent knotoids, then there is a bijection between $\operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)$ and $\operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$. The shadow coloring number $\operatorname{col}_{(X, Y)}(D)$ is an invariant of a knotoid.


Figure 5.6. Marked arc and region created after a Reidemeister III move.

Proof. This follows from the proof of the knot case, Proposition 5.2.1, seen in [9,10, 38, 50]. After each Reidemeister move, there is a unique choice of color for any newly formed arc or region. For example, Figure 5.6 shows that after a Reidemeister III move there is a new region and arc created. Since a color $z$ assigned to the new region or arc must satisfy the relation $z^{x}=y$ for known colors $x$ and $y$, there exists a unique $z$ by the condition (ii) of Definition 5.2.1. Similar arguments work in the simpler cases of Reidemeister I and II moves. Since Reidemeister moves on knotoid diagram occur away from the head and leg, these unique extension arguments apply for both knots and knotoids.

Example 5.2.12. For a quandle $X$, the number of $X$-colorings of a knotoid diagram $D$ is an invariant denoted $\operatorname{col}_{X}(D)$. The proof of this follows from the proof of the shadow coloring number's invariance. It's inferred from Figure 5.7 that $\operatorname{col}_{R_{n}}\left(2_{4}\right)=\operatorname{col}_{R_{n}}\left(3_{2}\right)=n$ while $\operatorname{col}_{R_{3}}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{4}\right)\right)>3$ and $\operatorname{col}_{R_{5}}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{2}\right)\right)>5$, i.e. $2_{4}$ and $3_{2}$ are chiral.


Figure 5.7. A 3 -coloring of the planar knotoid $\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{4}\right)$ and a 5 -coloring of the classical knotoid $\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{2}\right)$.


Figure 5.8. Knotoid diagrams of $2_{1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)$.

Example 5.2.13. Consider the knotoid diagram of $2_{1}$ in Figure 5.8. This diagram is $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$ colorable. Since $2 y-x=x$ implies $y=x$ over $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$, a choice of the infinite region's color determines the color of the head arc. The head arc and infinite region's color uniquely determines a shadow coloring, a trivial one. Therefore, $\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(2_{1}\right)=3$. Now consider the diagram of $\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)$ in Figure 5.8. This diagram is also $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$-colorable. As before, a choice of the infinite region's color determines the color of the head arc. A second choice of color for either remaining arc uniquely determines a $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$-coloring. Thus, $\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)\right)=9$. Since $\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(2_{1}\right) \neq$ $\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)\right)$, the classical knotoid $2_{1}$ is chiral. Note, the standard 3-colorability makes this same distinction.

Theorem 5.2.14. The shadow coloring number distinguishes infinitely many knotoids from their mirrors.

Proof. Consider the knotoid diagrams of the products $\prod_{i=1}^{n} 2_{1}$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)$ in Figure 5.9. A recursion of $2_{1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)$ 's $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$-coloring choices determines the number of $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$ colorings of the products. In the case of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} 2_{1}$, the infinite region's color uniquely determines a trivial coloring as in Example 5.2.13. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} 2_{1}\right)=3 .
$$

In the case of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)$, the infinite region's color only determines the head arc's color. There are 3 choices of colors for the remaining arcs of the first $\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)$ factor. This choice also colors the first arc of the second factor. There are 3 choices of colors for the remaining arcs of the second $\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)$ factor. This inductively continues for each factor. Once the arcs are colored, the infinite region's color determines the color of the remaining regions. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)\right)=3^{n+1}
$$



Figure 5.9. Product diagrams of $2_{1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(2_{1}\right)$ powers.

Remark 5.2.1. Shadow quandle colorings and the shadow coloring number naturally extend to links and multi-linkoids.

### 5.3. The Shadow Quandle Cocycle Invariant of Knots and Knotoids

Let $X$ be a quandle and $Y$ an $X$-set. Let $C_{n}^{R}(X)_{Y}$ denote the free abelian group generated by the elements of the Cartesian product $Y \times X^{n}$. When $n=0, C_{0}^{R}(X)_{Y}=\mathbb{Z}[Y]$. If $n<0$, then $C_{n}^{R}(X)_{Y}=0$. Define a homomorphism $\partial_{n}: C_{n}^{R}(X)_{Y} \rightarrow C_{n-1}^{R}(X)_{Y}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n}\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i}\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{x}_{i}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i}\left(y * x_{i}, x_{1}^{x_{i}}, \ldots, x_{i-1}^{x_{i}}, \widehat{x}_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(C_{n}^{R}(X)_{Y}, \partial_{n}\right)$ is a chain complex $[10,22,38,50]$. Let $C_{n}^{D}(X)_{Y}$ denote the subgroup of $C_{n}^{R}(X)_{Y}$ generated by all elements $\left(y, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ such that $x_{i}=x_{i+1}$ for some $i$. For $n<2$, put $C_{n}^{D}(X)_{Y}=0$. Then $\left(C_{n}^{D}(X), \partial_{n}\right)$ is a chain sub-complex of $\left(C_{n}^{R}(X)_{Y}, \partial_{n}\right)$. Define $C_{n}^{Q}(X)_{Y}:=$ $C_{n}^{R}(X)_{Y} / C_{n}^{D}(X)_{Y}$ so that $\left(C_{n}^{Q}(X), \partial_{n}\right)_{Y}$ is a quotient complex. The homology groups of the chain complex $\left(C_{n}^{Q}(X), \partial_{n}\right)_{Y}$ are the quandle homology groups of $X$ with an $X$-set $Y$.

Definition 5.3.1. Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented knot or knotoid with co-orientation normals assigned to its arcs. Let $v$ be a crossing of $D$. Among the four regions around $v$ there is one such that both normals of its adjacent arcs point from the region. This region is called the specified region of $v$. A star will be placed in the corner of each crossing's specified region. The under arc adjacent to the specified region is called the specified arc.


Figure 5.10. Starred specified region of a colored crossing.

Definition 5.3.2. Let $X$ be a quandle, $Y$ an $X$-set, $A$ an abelian group, $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(C_{2}^{R}(X)_{Y}, A\right)$ a 2-cochain, and c an ( $X, Y$ )-coloring of an oriented knot or knotoid diagram D. For a crossing $v$
of $D$, let $W_{f}(v, c) \in A$ be defined by

$$
W_{f}(v, c)= \begin{cases}f\left(y, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \text { if } v \text { is positive } \\ -f\left(y, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \text { if } v \text { is negative }\end{cases}
$$

where ( $y, x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) are the colors of the specified region, the specified under-arc, and the over-arc. The value $W_{f}(v, c)$ is called the local weight at $v$, and $\left(y, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is the color of the crossing. The $f$-weight of $D$ is the total of the local weights and is denoted by

$$
W_{f}(D, c):=\sum_{v} W_{f}(v, c) .
$$

Definition 5.3.3. If $f$ satisfies the following two conditions, then the weight $W_{f}(D, c)$ is preserved under Reidemeister moves:
(i) For any $y \in Y$ and any $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -f\left(y, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+f\left(y * x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+f\left(y, x_{1}, x_{3}\right) \\
& -f\left(y * x_{2}, x_{1}^{x_{2}}, x_{3}\right)-f\left(y, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+f\left(y * x_{3}, x_{1}^{x_{3}}, x_{2}^{x_{3}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) For any $y \in Y$ and $x \in X, f(y, x, x)=0$.

In fact, these conditions are necessary and sufficient for a 2-cochain $f \in C_{2}^{R}(X)_{Y} \rightarrow A$ to be a 2-cocycle of the complex $\operatorname{Hom}\left(C_{*}^{Q}(X)_{Y}, A\right)$ [10, 22, 38, 50]. Such a homomorphism $f: Y \times X^{2} \rightarrow A$ satisfying the two conditions is called a quandle 2-cocycle of $(X, Y)$.

Theorem 5.3.4 (Theorem 9.4.5 of [38]). Let $f$ be a quandle 2-cocycle of ( $X, Y$ ) and $D$ an oriented diagram of a knot $K$. Define $\Phi_{f}(D):=\left\{W_{f}(D, c) \mid c \in \operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)\right\}$ as a multiset. It is an invariant of $K$, and if $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are cohomologous, then $\Phi_{f}(K)=\Phi_{f^{\prime}}(K)$.

For a subset $Y_{0} \subset Y$, let $\operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)_{Y_{0}}$ denote the subset of $\operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)$ consisting of $(X, Y)$ colorings such that the infinite region is colored by elements of $Y_{0}$. Then

$$
\Phi_{f}(D)_{Y_{0}}:=\left\{W_{f}(D, c) \mid c \in \operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)_{Y_{0}}\right\}
$$

is also an invariant.

Theorem 5.3.5. Let $f$ be a quandle 2-cocycle of $(X, Y)$ and $D$ an oriented diagram of a knotoid $\kappa$. Define $\Phi_{f}(D):=\left\{W_{f}(D, c) \mid c \in \operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)_{Y_{0}}\right\}$ as a multiset. It is an invariant of $\kappa$, and if $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are cohomologous, then $\Phi_{f}(\kappa)=\Phi_{f^{\prime}}(\kappa)$.

Proof. This follows from the proof of Theorem 5.3.4 seen in $[9,10,22,38,50]$. The proof of the former statement follows from analyzing the local weights of the crossings around a Reidemeister move. The $f$-weight does not change after a Reidemeister move. The proof of invariance among homology class representatives is a geometric consequence of examining the 1-cochain whose boundary is the difference $f-f^{\prime}$.

Definition 5.3.6. Let $D$ be a diagram of an oriented knot or knotoid. Let $A$ be an abelian group written multiplicatively and $X$ a finite quandle. For a quandle 2-cocycle $f$ of $(X, Y)$,

$$
\Phi_{f}^{\prime}(D):=\sum_{c \in \operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)} \prod_{v} W_{f}(v, c)
$$

is an element of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ and is an invariant of an oriented knot. This invariant is called the shadow quandle cocycle invariant. For a subset $Y_{0} \subset Y$, the invariant $\Phi_{f}^{\prime}(D)_{Y_{0}}$ is also defined by restricting the colorings to $\operatorname{Col}_{(X, Y)}(D)_{Y_{0}}$.

When $X=Y$, a quandle 3-cocycle of $X$ is a quandle 2-cocycle of $(X, Y)$.

Definition 5.3.7. Let $X$ be a quandle, $A$ an abelian group, and $\mathbb{Z}\left(X^{3}\right)$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by the elements of $X^{3}=X \times X \times X$. A homomorphism $f: \mathbb{Z}\left(X^{3}\right) \rightarrow A$ is a quandle 3-cocycle of $X$ if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) For any $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -f\left(x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+f\left(x_{0}^{x_{1}}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{3}\right) \\
& -f\left(x_{0}^{x_{2}}, x_{1}^{x_{2}}, x_{3}\right)-f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+f\left(x_{0}^{x_{3}}, x_{1}^{x_{3}}, x_{2}^{x_{3}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) For any $x, y \in X, f(y, x, x)=f(x, x, y)=0$.

There is an associated chain and cochain complex of $X$. Quandle 3-cocycles are cocycles of this cochain complex and represent cohomology classes of $H_{Q}^{3}(X, A)$, see $[7,8,10,38,50]$. These
complexes are analogous to the complexes defined in the beginning of this section with the $X$-set $Y$ omitted.

Theorem 5.3.8 (Mochizuki '10 [47]). Let $m>0$ be any odd integer, and let $p$ be any prime. Then, $H_{Q}^{3}\left(R_{m}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ if $m$ is divisible by $p$ and $H_{Q}^{3}\left(R_{m}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \cong 0$ otherwise.

Example 5.3.9. The cocycle $\theta_{3}: R_{3} \times R_{3} \times R_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{3}=\left\langle u \mid u^{3}=1\right\rangle$ given by

$$
\theta_{3}(x, y, z)=u^{(x-y)(y-z) z(x+z)}
$$

generates $H_{Q}^{3}\left(R_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$, and the cocycle $\theta_{5}: R_{5} \times R_{5} \times R_{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{5}=\left\langle t \mid t^{5}=1\right\rangle$ given by

$$
\theta_{5}(x, y, z)=t^{(y-x)\left(3 z^{5}+2 y^{3} z^{2}+2 y^{2} z^{3}+3 y z^{4}+3 x y^{4}+3 x y^{3} z+x y^{2} z^{2}+2 x y z^{3}+4 x z^{4}\right)}
$$

generates $H_{Q}^{3}\left(R_{5}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$. These cocycles have been used to distinguish the trefoil from its mirror [53], to distinguish the 2-twist-spun trefoil from its mirror [7, 53], and to calculate the triple point numbers of the 2-twist-spun trefoil, 2-twist-spun figure-eight, and 2-twist-spun (2,5)-torus knot [58, 59].

Example 5.3.10. Let $\phi: P_{3} \times P_{3} \times P_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ be given by

$$
\phi(a, b, c)= \begin{cases}1 \oplus 0 & (a, b, c)=(0,1,0),(0,2,0) \\ 0 \oplus 1 & (a, b, c)=(1,0,2),(2,0,1) \\ 0 \oplus-1 & (a, b, c)=(1,0,1),(2,0,2) \\ 0 \oplus 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The linear extension $\phi: \mathbb{Z}\left(P_{3} \times P_{3} \times P_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is quandle 3-cocycle of $P_{3}$. Oshiro used this linear extension as a symmetric quandle 3-cocycle to compute the triple point numbers of infinitely many non-orientable surface-links [51].

Remark 5.3.1. The shadow quandle cocycle invariant naturally extends to links and multilinkoids.

### 5.4. Applications of the Shadow Quandle Cocycle Invariant of Knotoids

Theorem 5.4.1. The knotoid $3_{1}$ is chiral.

Proof. The knotoid diagrams of $3_{1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{1}\right)$ in Figure 5.11 are $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$-colored. Since $2 y-x=x$ implies $y=x$ over $\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$, the infinite region's color determines the colors of the head and leg arcs. With $Y_{0}=\{0\}$, the head and leg arcs must also be colored 0 . Then, a choice of color for the marked arcs uniquely determines a $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$-coloring of the diagrams. Let $c_{i}$ be the coloring determined by coloring the marked arc $i$. Therefore, $\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(3_{1}\right)_{Y_{0}}=\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{1}\right)\right)_{Y_{0}}=3$ with one trivial coloring and two non-trivial. The two non-trivial colorings differ by the permutation $0 \mapsto 0,1 \mapsto 2,2 \mapsto 1$ and are illustrated in Figure 5.11.


Figure 5.11. Non-trivial $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$-colorings of $3_{1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{1}\right)$ with $Y_{0}=\{0\}$.

Recall that $\theta_{3}: R_{3} \times R_{3} \times R_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{3}=\left\langle u \mid u^{3}=1\right\rangle$ given by

$$
\theta_{3}(x, y, z)=u^{(x-y)(y-z) z(x+z)}
$$

generates $H_{Q}^{3}\left(R_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$. For each coloring, Table 5.2 tabulates the 3 -chains $\in C_{3}^{Q}\left(R_{3}\right)$ representing the sum of crossing colors and the $\theta_{3}$-weights of each coloring.

With respect to ( $R_{3}, R_{3}$ ), the shadow quandle cocycle invariants of these knotoids are

$$
\Phi_{\theta_{3}}^{\prime}\left(3_{1}\right)_{Y_{0}}=1+2 u^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right],
$$

| $c_{i}$ | Crossing Color Sum of $3_{1}$ | $W_{\theta_{3}}\left(3_{1}, c_{i}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{0}$ | $-(0,0,0)-(0,0,0)-(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $c_{1}$ | $-(2,2,1)-(2,0,2)-(2,1,0)$ | $u^{2}$ |
| $c_{2}$ | $-(1,1,2)-(1,0,1)-(1,2,0)$ | $u^{2}$ |


| $c_{i}$ | Crossing Color Sum of mir $\left(3_{1}\right)$ | $W_{\theta_{3}}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{1}\right), c_{i}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{0}$ | $(0,0,0)+(0,0,0)+(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $c_{1}$ | $(2,1,0)+(2,0,2)+(2,2,1)$ | $u$ |
| $c_{2}$ | $(1,2,0)+(1,0,1)+(1,1,2)$ | $u$ |

Table 5.2. $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$-colorings of $3_{1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{1}\right)$.
and

$$
\Phi_{\theta_{3}}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{1}\right)\right)_{Y_{0}}=1+2 u \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right] .
$$

Corollary 5.4.1. For $Y_{0}=\{0\}$,

$$
\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}\right)_{Y_{0}}=3^{n},
$$

where each knotoid $\kappa_{i}$ is either $3_{1}$ or $\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{1}\right)$.


Figure 5.12. Knotoid product with $3_{1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(3_{1}\right)$ factors.

Proof. Consider the knotoid diagram of $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}$ in Figure 5.12. This diagram is seen as the concatenation of $n$ diagrams from Figure 5.11 and is $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$-colorable. With $Y_{0}=\{0\}$, there are
$n$ independent choices of arc colors to uniquely determine a shadow coloring, the $n$ marked arcs of each $\kappa_{i}$ component seen in Figure 5.11.

Theorem 5.4.2. The shadow quandle cocycle invariant distinguishes infinitely many knot-type knotoids from their mirrors.


Figure 5.13. The colorings $c_{2}$ of $T_{3 k+1}$ and $c_{1}$ of $\operatorname{mir}\left(T_{3 k+1}\right)$.

Proof. For a non-negative integer $k$, consider the knotoid diagrams $T_{3 k+1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(T_{3 k+1}\right)$ shown in Figure 5.13. These diagrams represent knot-type knotoids and are ( $R_{3}, R_{3}$ )-colorable. Their associated knots are the $3 k+1$-twist knot and its mirror. With $Y_{0}=\{0\}$, the head and leg arcs must be colored 0 and a choice of color for the marked arc uniquely determines a ( $R_{3}, R_{3}$ )-coloring. Therefore, $\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(T_{3 k+1}\right)_{Y_{0}}=\operatorname{col}_{\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(T_{3 k+1}\right)\right)_{Y_{0}}=3$. The two non-trivial colorings differ
by the permutation $0 \mapsto 0,1 \mapsto 2,2 \mapsto 1$, one of which is shown in Figure 5.13. Let $c_{i}$ denote the coloring determined by coloring the marked arc $i$ in the diagrams of Figure 5.13.

These diagrams are drawn to show the repetition of the colorings every 3 twists. Each diagram will have $k$ copies of the illustrated middle twisted region. Recall that $\theta_{3}: R_{3} \times R_{3} \times R_{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{3}=$ $\left\langle u \mid u^{3}=1\right\rangle$ given by

$$
\theta_{3}(x, y, z)=u^{(x-y)(y-z) z(x+z)}
$$

generates $H_{Q}^{3}\left(R_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)$. Suppose that $m \geq 0$ is a multiple of 9 . Then, the crossing colors of the twisted region in $T_{m+1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(T_{m+1}\right)$ come in multiples of 3 and do not contribute to the $\theta_{3}$-weight of the diagram. Table 5.3 tabulates the crossing colors of the 3 crossings not in the $m$-twist region and the $\theta_{3}$-weights of each coloring. This table shows that

$$
\Phi_{\theta_{3}}^{\prime}\left(T_{m+1}\right)_{Y_{0}}=1+2 u^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right],
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{\theta_{3}}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(T_{m+1}\right)\right)_{Y_{0}}=1+2 u \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right] .
$$

| $c_{i}$ | Reduced Crossing Color Sum of $T_{m+1}$ | $W_{\theta_{3}}\left(T_{m+1}, c_{i}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{0}$ | $(0,0,0)+(0,0,0)-(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $c_{1}$ | $(0,0,1)+(0,1,0)-(0,1,2)$ | $u^{2}$ |
| $c_{2}$ | $(0,0,2)+(0,2,0)-(0,2,1)$ | $u^{2}$ |


| $c_{i}$ | Reduced Crossing Color Sum of $\operatorname{mir}\left(T_{m+1}\right)$ | $W_{\theta_{3}}\left(\operatorname{mir}\left(T_{m+1}\right), c_{i}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{0}$ | $-(0,0,0)-(0,0,0)+(0,0,0)$ | 1 |
| $c_{1}$ | $-(0,1,0)-(0,0,1)+(0,1,2)$ | $u$ |
| $c_{2}$ | $-(0,2,0)-(0,0,2)+(0,2,1)$ | $u$ |

TABLE 5.3. $\left(R_{3}, R_{3}\right)$-colorings of $T_{m+1}$ and $\operatorname{mir}\left(T_{m+1}\right)$ with $Y_{0}=\{0\}$.

For non-negative integers $s$ and $t$, let $A_{s, t}$ denote the direct sum of $s$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $t$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}, A_{s, t}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{s} \oplus(\mathbb{Z})^{t}$. Every element of $A_{s, t}$ is of the form $\left(\alpha_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_{s}\right) \oplus\left(\beta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \beta_{t}\right)$,
where $\alpha_{i}$ is an entry of the $i$ th copy of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\beta_{j}$ is an entry of the $j$ th copy of $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$ be the elements of $A_{s, t}$ whose entries are all zeros except $\alpha_{i}=1$ and $\beta_{j}=1$.

Lemma 5.4.2.1 (Kamda and Oshiro '09 [51]). Let $X$ be a quandle, and let $f: \mathbb{Z}\left(X^{3}\right) \rightarrow A_{s, t}$ be a 3-cocycle of $X$ such that for any generator $(a, b, c) \in X^{3}$ of $\mathbb{Z}\left(X^{3}\right)$ it holds that

$$
f(a, b, c) \in\left\{0, p_{i}, \pm q_{j}\right\} .
$$

If the $f$-weight $W_{f}(D, c)$ of a multi-linkoid diagram $D$ with an $(X, X)$-coloring is equal to ( $\alpha_{1} \oplus$ $\left.\cdots \oplus \alpha_{s}\right) \oplus\left(\beta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \beta_{t}\right)$, then for the multi-linkoid $\mu$ representing $D$

$$
c(\mu) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i}+\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left|\beta_{j}\right|,
$$

where the sum is taken in $\mathbb{Z}$ by regarding $\alpha_{k}=0$ or 1 as an element of $\mathbb{Z}$.

The original statement and proof of Kamada and Oshiro's Lemma 5.4.2.1 is phrased in terms of triple points in broken sheet diagrams and the triple point number of surface-links [51]. Since a quandle 3 -cocycle of $X$ is a quandle 2 -cocycle of $(X, X)$ and the $f$-weight of knotoids is an invariant like the $f$-weight of surface-links, Lemma 5.4.2.1 is a valid reinterpretation of their result in the context of shadow quandle cocycles and knotoids.

Consider a multi-linkoid diagram realizing the crossing number of the multi-linkoid that it represents. If a 3 -cocycle has $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients and only takes the values $1,-1$ or 0 , then the absolute value of the cocycle's weight cannot be greater than the number of crossings in the diagram. Since the weight of a quandle 3-cocycle is an invariant, the crossing number bounds the weight of the cocycle. This is the principle behind the lemma's proof.

Theorem 5.4.3. The shadow quandle cocycle invariant determines the crossing numbers of infinitely many multi-linkoids.

Proof. Take $n$ trivial knotoids diagrams. Generically immerse one copy of $S^{1}$ that runs under each knotoid diagram once, creating positive crossings, then simply loops back. See Figure 5.14. Let $M_{n}$ denote these multi-linkoid diagrams. These diagrams can be $\left(P_{3}, P_{3}\right)$-colored by coloring all arcs of the $S^{1}$ component 0 , all knotoid diagrams 2 , the region adjacent to the heads 2 , and the region adjacent to the legs 1 . Let $c$ denote this shadow coloring and $\phi$ the 3-cocycle of $P_{3}$ defined
in Example 5.3.10. There are $n$ positive crossings each colored ( $1,0,2$ ). Thus,

$$
W_{\phi}\left(M_{n}, c\right)=0 \oplus n \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}
$$

Lemma 5.4.2.1 implies that the crossing number of $M_{n}$ is $n$.


Figure 5.14. ( $P_{3}, P_{3}$ )-coloring of the multi-linkoids $M_{n}$.
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